Notice of Exemption

2019048310_{Appendix E}

| To: Office of Planning and Research | From: (Public Agency): Caltrans, District 11 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 | 4050 Taylor Street, MS:242 | | | | |
| Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 County Clerk | San Diego, CA. 92110 | | | | |
| County of: | (Address) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | amp Asphalt Concrete Replacement Project (EA 3A256) | | | | |
| Project Applicant: Caltrans, District 11 | | | | | |
| Project Location - Specific: The project site is located on the westbound S The post miles for construction is R2.21/2.66. | state Route 52 Genesee Avenue offramp in San Diego, California. | | | | |
| Project Location - City: San Diego | Project Location - County: San Diego | | | | |
| Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project: Caltrans proposes to cold plane 0.20-feet and replace with 0.20-feet of rubberized hot mix asphalt-gap graded (RHMA-G) on the westbound State Route 52 Genesee Avenue offramp. There is severe asphalt concrete cracking and this project will extend the life of the pavement. | | | | | |
| Name of Public Agency Approving Project: <u>Caltrans, District 11</u> Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: <u>Caltrans, District 11</u> | | | | | |
| Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: Caltrans, District 11 | | | | | |
| Exempt Status: (check one): | | | | | |
| | (3); 15269(a)); | | | | |
| Reasons why project is exempt: Repair and maintenance of existing highways the purpose of public safety). | and streets, and similar facilities (this includes road grading for | | | | |
| Lead Agency Contact Person: Shay Lynn M. Harrison | Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 619-688-0190 | | | | |
| If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption 2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed I Signature: Signed by Lead Agency Signature | by the public agency approving the project? | | | | |
| Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resc Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Publi | | | | | |
| | APR 15 2019 | | | | |
| | STATECLEARINGHOUSE | | | | |

Revised 2011

2019048310

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

| | R2.21/2.66 | 3A256/1119000147 | , | - | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | P.M./P.M. | E.A/Project No. | Federal-Aid Project No. (Lo | ocal Project)/Project No. | | |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (In activities involved in this box. Use Contemporation of the second se | | | oose, location, limits, right-of-w | ay requirements, and | | |
| Cold plane 0.20-feet and replace State Route 52 Genesee Avenue and this proposed project will ex equipment storage to paved area existing maintenance pullout are | e offramp. The tend the life of the as within Caltrar | existing asphalt concre he pavement. The cor | ete (AC) has severe crackin tractor should be able to co | ng and degradation, | | |
| CEQA COMPLIANCE (for Sta | | | ······ | | | |
| Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply | | | | | | |
| (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.): If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern | | | | | | |
| where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law. | | | | | | |
| There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time. There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway. | | | | | | |
| This project does not damage a si This project is not located on a sit | | | | se List"). | | |
| This project does not cause a sub | | | | | | |
| CALTRANS CEQA DETERM | INATION (Che | eck one) | | | | |
| Not Applicable – Caltrans is r | not the CEQA Lea | | pplicable – Caltrans has pre ental Impact Report under C | | | |
| Exempt by Statute. (PRC 2108 | N 141 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Based on an examination of this | | | | is: | | |
| Categorically Exempt. <u>Class 1(c)</u>. (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.) Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b]]3].) | | | | | | |
| | inty that the activit | - • - | | | | |
| Shay Lynn M. Harrison And Name: Senior Environmental Pla | nnor or | | n Rizzutto | | | |
| Environmental Branch Chief | ninei Ui | Print Name | . Project Manager | | | |
| 1 0 0 1 | and b | 600 mg | | . 1 | | |
| 1 I land las 11 | Unila | | Br. By and | OULICIA | | |
| Signature | <u> </u> | te Signature | Shirk Khyell | | | |
| Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE | <u> </u> | te Signature | Show Klypel | OYILSII Date | | |
| | ely have a signific | examination of this prop ant impact on the environ | ment as defined by NEPA, and | n, the State has d is excluded from the | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative | ely have a signific onmental Assessn | examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environmeni | ment as defined by NEPA, and | n, the State has d is excluded from the | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Enviro | ely have a signific onmental Assessn ances pursuant to | examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environment 23 CFR 771.117(b). | ment as defined by NEPA, and | n, the State has d is excluded from the | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117. determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envire has considered unusual circumsta CALTRANS NEPA DETERM 23 USC 326: The State has de that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare an certifies that it has carried out the Section 326 and a Memorandur has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): acting 23 CFR 771.117(d): acting 23 CFR 771 | ely have a signific onmental Assessm ances pursuant to IINATION (Che termined that this mstances as desc EA or EIS under ne responsibility to m of Understandir is a Categorical E wity (<u>c)(26)</u> ivity (d)(1) | examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environmeni 23 CFR 771.117(b). ck one) project has no significan ribed in 23 CFR 771.117(the National Environmeni o make this determination og dated May 31, 2016, e Exclusion under: | ment as defined by NEPA, and al Impact Statement (EIS), and t impacts on the environment a b). As such, the project is cate al Policy Act. The State has be pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title xecuted between the FHWA a | n, the State has d is excluded from the d as defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from een assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117. determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envire has considered unusual circumsta CALTRANS NEPA DETERM 23 USC 326: The State has de that there are no unusual circumsta the requirements to prepare an certifies that it has carried out the Section 326 and a Memorandur has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity | ely have a signific onmental Assessm ances pursuant to IINATION (Che termined that this mstances as desc EA or EIS under ne responsibility to m of Understandir is a Categorical E ivity (<u>c)(26)</u> ivity (d)(1) ppendix A of the mination of this pro- USC 327. The ei- this project are be | examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environment 23 CFR 771.117(b). eck one) project has no significan- ribed in 23 CFR 771.117(the National Environment o make this determination og dated May 31, 2016, e Exclusion under: | ment as defined by NEPA, and al Impact Statement (EIS), and t impacts on the environment a b). As such, the project is cate al Policy Act. The State has be pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title xecuted between the FHWA a and the State ormation, the State has determ sultation, and any other actions d out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 | n, the State has d is excluded from the d as defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from een assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, nd the State. The State | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117. determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envire has considered unusual circumsta CALTRANS NEPA DETERN 23 USC 326: The State has de that there are no unusual circur the requirements to prepare an certifies that it has carried out th Section 326 and a Memoranduu has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): actii 23 USC 327: Based on an exarr Categorical Exclusion under 23 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understanding Shay Lynn M. Harrison | ely have a signific onmental Assessin ances pursuant to IINATION (Che termined that this instances as desc EA or EIS under the responsibility to m of Understandir Is a Categorical E ivity (<u>C)(26)</u> ivity | a examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environment 23 CFR 771.117(b). eck one) project has no significant ribed in 23 CFR 771.117(the National Environment on make this determination by dated May 31, 2016, e Exclusion under: MOU between FHWA a oposal and supporting inf nvironmental review, con aing, or have been, carrie 23, 2016 and executed the J. Shaw | ment as defined by NEPA, and al Impact Statement (EIS), and t impacts on the environment a b). As such, the project is cate tal Policy Act. The State has be pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title xecuted between the FHWA a and the State ormation, the State has determ sultation, and any other actions d out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 by FHWA and Caltrans. n Rizzutto | n, the State has d is excluded from the d as defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from een assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, nd the State. The State | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117. determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envire has considered unusual circumsta CALTRANS NEPA DETERN 23 USC 326: The State has dee that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare an certifies that it has carried out th Section 326 and a Memoranduu has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): actii 23 USC 327: Based on an exarr Categorical Exclusion under 23 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understanding | ely have a signific onmental Assessin ances pursuant to IINATION (Che termined that this instances as desc EA or EIS under the responsibility to m of Understandir Is a Categorical E ivity (<u>C)(26)</u> ivity | a examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environment 23 CFR 771.117(b). eck one) project has no significant ribed in 23 CFR 771.117(the National Environment on make this determination by dated May 31, 2016, e Exclusion under: MOU between FHWA a oposal and supporting inf nvironmental review, con aing, or have been, carrie 23, 2016 and executed the J. Shaw | ment as defined by NEPA, and al Impact Statement (EIS), and t impacts on the environment a b). As such, the project is cate al Policy Act. The State has be pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title xecuted between the FHWA a ind the State formation, the State has determ sultation, and any other actions d out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 by FHWA and Caltrans. | n, the State has d is excluded from the d as defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from een assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, nd the State. The State | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117. determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Enviro • has considered unusual circumstat CALTRANS NEPA DETERN ② 23 USC 326: The State has detthat there are no unusual circumstat Cetting and a Memorandum has determined that the project ③ 23 CFR 771.117(c): acting a CFR 771.117(c): acting a CFR 771.117(c): acting a State on an example and a Memorandum has determined that the project ○ 23 USC 327: Based on an example and a Categorical Exclusion under 23 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understanding Shay Lynn M. Harrison ○ Shay Lynn M. Harrison | ely have a signific onmental Assessin ances pursuant to IINATION (Che termined that this instances as desc EA or EIS under the responsibility to m of Understandir Is a Categorical E ivity (<u>C)(26)</u> ivity | a examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environment 23 CFR 771.117(b). eck one) project has no significant ribed in 23 CFR 771.117(the National Environment on make this determination by dated May 31, 2016, e Exclusion under: MOU between FHWA a oposal and supporting inf nvironmental review, con aing, or have been, carrie 23, 2016 and executed the J. Shaw | ment as defined by NEPA, and al Impact Statement (EIS), and t impacts on the environment a b). As such, the project is cate tal Policy Act. The State has be pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title xecuted between the FHWA a and the State ormation, the State has determ sultation, and any other actions d out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 by FHWA and Caltrans. n Rizzutto | n, the State has d is excluded from the d as defined by NEPA, and gorically excluded from een assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, nd the State. The State | | |
| NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117. determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envire has considered unusual circumsta CALTRANS NEPA DETERN 23 USC 326: The State has de that there are no unusual circum the requirements to prepare an certifies that it has carried out th Section 326 and a Memoranduu has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): acti 23 CFR 771.117(c): acti 23 USC 327: Based on an exarr Categorical Exclusion under 23 Federal environmental laws for Memorandum of Understanding Shay Lynn M. Harrison Mathematical Branch Chief | ely have a signific onmental Assessm ances pursuant to IINATION (Che termined that this mstances as desc EA or EIS under ne responsibility to m of Understandir is a Categorical E ivity (C)(26) ivity (C)(26) ivity (d)(1) ppendix A of the nination of this pro- USC 327. The ei- this project are be dated December | examination of this prop ant impact on the environ nent (EA) or Environment 23 CFR 771.117(b). eck one) project has no significan- ribed in 23 CFR 771.117(the National Environment o make this determination og dated May 31, 2016, e Exclusion under: MOU between FHWA a oposal and supporting inf nvironmental review, con- sing, or have been, carrie 23, 2016 and executed to J. Shaw Print Name te Signature | ment as defined by NEPA, and al Impact Statement (EIS), and t impacts on the environment a b). As such, the project is cate tal Policy Act. The State has be pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title xecuted between the FHWA a and the State ormation, the State has determ sultation, and any other actions d out by Caltrans pursuant to 2 by FHWA and Caltrans. n Rizzutto | n, the State has d is excluded from the d as defined by NEPA, and egorically excluded from een assigned, and hereby 23, United States Code, nd the State. The State nined that the project is a s required by applicable 23 USC 327 and the | | |

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

2019048310

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

| | | Continuation Sneet | |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------------|---|
| 11-SD-52 | R2.21/2.66 | 3A256/1119000147 | |
| DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) | P.M./P.M. | E.A/Project No. | Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No. |
| (Continued from page 1) | | | |

Please see the Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) for the total commitments regarding this project, which include commitments for community impacts, hazardous waste/materials, hydraulics, and NPDES/Stormwater, as identified below.

COMMUNITY IMPACTS

1. Please ensure public outreach is conducted to ensure the motoring public is aware of any lane closures and/or detours.

HAZARDOUS WASTE/MATERIALS

- 1. <u>Asphalt grindings</u> Asphalt grindings may be recycled for reuse or disposed of at an appropriate commercial facility.
- <u>Thermoplastic, traffic stripe, and/or pavement marking</u> Since this project will involve cold planning the entire AC surface, the resulting residue from the paint and/or thermoplastic will contain lead. The average lead concentrations are less than 1,000 mg/kg total lead and 5 mg/l soluble lead. This residue:
 - a) Is a nonhazardous waste
 - b) Does not contain heavy metals in concentrations that exceed thresholds established by the Health and Safety Code and 22 CA Code of Regulations
 - c) Is not regulated by the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 USC Section 6901 et seq.
- 3. Management of this material exposes workers to health hazards that must be addressed in the lead compliance plan (LCP). This LCP must be prepared by a certified industrial hygienist (CIH) and implemented for all workers involved in removal/application of any hazardous or non-hazardous lead-based paint, thermoplastic, painted traffic stripe, and/or pavement marking.

HYDRAULICS

1. Protect the existing GDO inlet, just east of the ramp bridge.

NPDES/STORMWATER COMPLIANCE

This project will be designed in conformance with the NPDES Permit Order 2012-0011-DWQ and Appendix E of the Caltrans Project Planning and Design Guide (PPDG). The Project Engineer needs to determine whether a Short Form Storm Water Data Report (SWDR) or a Long Form SWDR shall be prepared for the project. The Disturbed Soil Area (DSA) under or over one acre would determine whether a Water Pollution Control Program (WPCP) or a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is required prior to the start of construction.

Severnor's Office of Planning & Research

APR 15 2019 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE