## **Notice of Exemption**

Appendix E

To: (	Office of Planning and Research	From: (Public Agency): State of California							
	P.O. Box 3044, Room 113 Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Department of Transportation- District 11							
	County Clerk	4050 Taylor St., MS-242, San Diego, CA 92110							
	County of:	(Address)							
-									
Projec	et Title: Minor-B Cold Plane/ Grind and M	letal Beam Guardrail Installation (EA 11-3A011)							
Projec	st Applicant: State of California-Departme	ent of Transportation							
	et Location - Specific: 5 postmile 13.9-15.1 and I-8 PM 22.9-23.1								
Projec	t Location - City: La Mesa and SD Cour	Project Location - County: San Diego							
Descri To cor on cor	iption of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries rrect pavement issues on SB 125 and on WB ncrete V ditch and concrete drainage inlet st	of Project: 8, to protect maintenance workers while performing work tructure.							
Name of Public Agency Approving Project: California Department of Transportation- District 11									
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: California Department of Transportation- District 11									
Exem	pt Status: (check one):	•							
	Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15 Categorical Exemption. State type and se	5269(b)(c)); ection number: Class 1 ORC 21084;14 15300 et seq	•						
In cor	,	ithin current Caltrans right-of-way and consists of repair, ties. The project includes no expansion of existing use.							
	Agency ct Person: Debby Soifer	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 619-688-3160x0							
1.	d by applicant: . Attach certified document of exemption find . Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by th	ne public agency approving the project?   Yes   No							
Signa	ture:	Date: Z-21-19 Title: Sr. Environmull Pl	lanne						
	☑ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Signed b	by Applicant							
	vited: Sections 21083 and 211/0, Public Resource ce: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Res								
		APR 0 8 2019	•						
		STATE CLEARINGHOUSE							

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

1.1.5.D.6.125   22.9.23.1, 1.1.5.0.011   11.7000035   13.0.15.1								
Dist_Co_Ris_ (or Local Agency) P_M.P.M.	1-SD-8,125	•	11-3A011	1117000	0035			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Shefly describe project induting need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and citivities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if meassary.)  Caltrans is proposing to Cold plane/grind #3 lane of SB 125 approximately from postmile 13.9 to 15.1. Install netal beam guard rail on WB 8 approximately from 22.9 to 23.1. Grinding work will use a concrete pavement prinder (hauled with a semi) and a semi tanker for water delivery/situry capture. Guard rail work will use a truck nounted drill/driver and pick up truck with 2 axis trailer. See ECR for Environmental commitments. If any rhanges are made to the scope of the project, please inform Caltrans D-11 Environmental commitments. If any rhanges are made to the scope of the project, please inform Caltrans In pact Report under CEQA lead Agency  CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency  Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080lp): 14 CGR 15200 et seq.)  Categorically Exempt, Class 1(c). (PRC 21080lp): 14 CGR 15200 et seq.)  Categorically Exempt, Class 1(c). (PRC 21080lp): 14 CGR 15200 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements are true and exceptions do not support the statement of the proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not grow in the same of the proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not grow in the same of the proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions of once and the advanced proposal state score in the proposal and supporting information in the environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and difficulty adopted pursuant to low. Code § 69982.5 (Cortese List').  This project lose not clause a substantial advanced by the project will have a significant effect on the environmental proposal in the project does not clause a substantial	oistCoRte. (or Local Agend		E.A/Project N	No. Federal-/	Aid Project No. (Local	Project\/Project No.		
Caltrans is proposing to Cold plane/grind #3 Iane of SB 125 approximately from postmile 13.9 to 15.1. Install netal beam guard rail on WB 8 approximately from #2.9 to 23.1. Grinding work will use a concrete pavement grinder (hauled with a semi) and a semi tanker for water delivery/slurry capture. Guard rail work will use a truck mounted drill/driver and pick up truck with 2 axle trailer. See ECR for Environmental commitments. If any thanges are made to the scope of the project, please inform Caltrans D-11 Environmental.  CALTRANS CEGA DETERMINATION (Check one)  Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEGA Lead Agency	PROJECT DESCRIPTI	ON: (Briefly describe)	project including n					
Not Applicable - Caltrans Is not the CEQA Lead Agency	Caltrans is proposing to netal beam guard rail or prinder (hauled with a se nounted drill/driver and	Cold plane/grind # n WB 8 approximate emi) and a semi tan pick up truck with	3 lane of SB 12 bly from 22.9 to ker for water do 2 axle trailer. S	23.1. Grinding wo elivery/slurry capto ee ECR for Enviro	ork will use a cond ure. Guard rail wo inmental commitm	rete pavement ork will use a truck		
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:    Exampt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]: 14 CCR 15280 et seq.)   Categorically Exempt. Class 1 (c). (PRC 21081; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)   Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:   It has project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, processly imposed, and officially adopted pursuant to law.   There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.   The project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.   This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.   This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.   This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.   Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 16061[b][3].)   Olga Estrada	CALTRANS CEQA DE	TERMINATION (CI	neck one)					
Exempt by Statute, (PRC 21080lb); 14 CCR 15200 et seq.)   Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:   If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.   There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.   There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.   This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.   This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.   This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of historical resource.   Common Sense Exemption, [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (4 CCR 15061[b](3).   Olga Estrada   Print Name: Semik Epiriconmental Planner or Environmental Brayin Chile**   Partick Jenkins   Print Name: Semik Epiriconmental Planner or Environmental Brayin Chile**   Partick Jenkins   Print Name: Semik Epiriconmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Env			Ē	nvironmental Impact	t Report under CEQA			
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting Information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:  If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, proceedly mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.  There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.  There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").  This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Olga Estrada  Print Name: Senik Estimomental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Date  Print Name: Project Manager  D	Exempt by Statute. (PR			the above statements	s, the project is:			
If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, procisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.  There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.  There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.  This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.  This project does not clause a substantial adverse charge in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Olga Estrada  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Senik Estwormental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Spinature  Date  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Pint Name: Project Manager  Signature  Date  Pint Name: Project Manager  Date  Pint Name: Project Manager  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Pint Name: Project Manager  Date	Based on an examination				tements are true and e	exceptions do not		
There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.      This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.      This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").      This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").      This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").      Common Sense Exemption. This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)      Olga Estrada  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Seniar Exprisonmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Signature  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Patrick Jenkins  P	concern where de	signated, precisely map	ped, and officially	adopted pursuant to	law.			
This project to not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65982.5 ("Cortese List").     This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.  Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)  Olga Estrada  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Seniar E Evironmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Print	<ul> <li>There is not a reas</li> </ul>	sonable possibility that	the project will ha	ve a significant effect	on the environment du	ue to unusual		
Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[5][3].)  Olga Estrada  Print Name: Senike Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Patrick Jenkins  Print Name: Project Manager  Pri	<ul><li>This project does r</li><li>This project is not</li></ul>	located on a site includ	ed on any list con	npiled pursuant to Gov	vt. Code § 65962.5 ("C	Cortese List").		
Print Name: Seniar Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  2-21-9  Signature  Date  Print Name: Project Manager  Print Name: Project Manager  Print Name: Seniar Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  2-21-9  Signature  Date  Print Name: Project Manager  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  APR 0 8 2019  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Signature  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  APR 0 8 2019	Common Sense Exemp	otion. [This project does	s not fall within an	exempt class, but it c	can be seen with certa	inty that there is no		
Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Date  Signature  Date  Date  Date  Date  Signature  Date  D								
Accordance with 23 CEP 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has setermined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date  Signature		ntal Planner or	. F	rint Name: Project Mana	ger .			
n accordance with 23 CEP 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Signature	X /	7-5	21.15	The last	har	2/02/10		
Accordance with 23 CEP 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has setermined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327: The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date  Signature	Signature		ate S	Signature		Date		
n accordance with 23 CED 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has letermined that this project: does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  APR 0 8 2019  Signature  Date  Signature  Date  Signature			4.0	ngriaturo -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Signature  Date  Signature	n accordance with 23 CRB 7 letermined that this project: does not individually or cur requirements to prepare ar	nulatively have a signifi n Environmental Assess	cant impact on the	e environment as defi vironmental Impact Sta	ned by NEPA, and is			
23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:  23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)() 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)() Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer APR 0 8 2019  Signature  Date  Signature  Signature				7(b).				
Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State  23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.    Contact Office of Planning & Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer APR 0 8 2019	23 USC 326: The State that there are no unusual the requirements to preposertifies that it has carried Section 326 and a Memoral Memoral Section 326 and a Memoral Section 326	has determined that thi al circumstances as des pare an EA or EIS unde ad out the responsibility prandum of Understanc project is a Categorical c): activity (c)()	is project has no s cribed in 23 CFR r the National Env to make this dete ling dated May 31	771.117(b). As such, vironmental Policy Act mination pursuant to , 2016, executed between the properties of the properti	the project is categori t. The State has been Chapter 3 of Title 23,	ically excluded from assigned, and hereby United States Code,		
Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer  APR 0 8 2019  Signature  Date  Signature	Activity list	ed in Appendix A of th				d that the project is a		
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief  Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer APR 0 8 2019  Signature Date Signature	Categorical Exclusion ur Federal environmental la	gorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable ral environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the brandom of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.						
Environmental Branch Chief  APR 0 8 2019  Signature  Date  Signature  Signature					Geveraer's Offic	e of Planning & Moses		
Ognitatio Sato		ental Planner or		Print Name: Project Mana	ager/DLA Engineer	R 0 8 2019		
	Signature	[	Date .	Signature	STATECL	EARINGHOU		
		Observation of the control of the co			-1			