

Notice of Exemption

Appendix E

To: Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

County Clerk

County of: _____

From: (Public Agency): State of California
Department of Transportation- District 11
4050 Taylor St., MS-242, San Diego, CA 92110

(Address)

Project Title: Minor-B Cold Plane/ Grind and Metal Beam Guardrail Installation (EA 11-3A011)

Project Applicant: State of California-Department of Transportation

Project Location - Specific:
SR-125 postmile 13.9-15.1 and I-8 PM 22.9-23.1

Project Location - City: La Mesa and SD County Project Location - County: San Diego

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:
To correct pavement issues on SB 125 and on WB 8, to protect maintenance workers while performing work on concrete V ditch and concrete drainage inlet structure.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: California Department of Transportation- District 11

Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Project: California Department of Transportation- District 11

Exempt Status: (check one):

- Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);
- Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
- Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
- Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Class 1 ORC 21084;14 15300 et seq
- Statutory Exemptions. State code number: _____

Reasons why project is exempt:

In compliance with CEQA Class 1, the project is within current Caltrans right-of-way and consists of repair, maintenance, and minor alteration of public facilities. The project includes no expansion of existing use.

Lead Agency
Contact Person: Debby Soifer Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 619-688-3160x0

If filed by applicant:

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes No

Signature: _____ Date: 2-21-19 Title: Sr. Environmental Planner

Signed by Lead Agency Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at OPR: _____
Governor's Office of Planning & Research

APR 08 2019
STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

11-SD-8,125	22.9-23.1, 13.9-15.1	11-3A011	1117000035
Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)

Caltrans is proposing to Cold plane/grind #3 lane of SB 125 approximately from postmile 13.9 to 15.1. Install metal beam guard rail on WB 8 approximately from 22.9 to 23.1. Grinding work will use a concrete pavement grinder (hauled with a semi) and a semi tanker for water delivery/slurry capture. Guard rail work will use a truck mounted drill/driver and pick up truck with 2 axle trailer. See ECR for Environmental commitments. If any changes are made to the scope of the project, please inform Caltrans D-11 Environmental.

CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)

- Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA

Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:

- Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)
 Categorically Exempt. Class 1(c). (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)

Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply:

- If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.
- There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.
- There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.
- This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.
- This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
- This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.

- Common Sense Exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)]

Olga Estrada
 Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief

Patrick Jenkins
 Print Name: Project Manager

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

NEPA COMPLIANCE

In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:

- does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
- has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).

CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)

- 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:

- 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(____)
 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(____)
 Activity ____ listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State

- 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327. The environmental review, consultation, and any other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the Memorandum of Understanding dated December 23, 2016 and executed by FHWA and Caltrans.

Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief

Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer

APR 08 2019

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion:

Date of ECR or equivalent: