

GEOTECHNICAL & SEISMIC ENGINEERING, CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION & MATERIALS TESTING SERVICES

CONSTRUCTION LEVEL GEOTECHNICAL STUDY

MAJESTIC CHINO LOGISTIC CENTER SOUTHEAST CORNER OF BICKMORE AVENUE AND MOUNTAIN AVENUE CITY OF CHINO, CALIFORNIA

PREPARED FOR: COMMERCE CONSTRUCTION CO., L.P. 13191 CROSSROADS PARKWAY NORTH 6th FLOOR CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA 91746

PREPARED BY: KOURY ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC. 14280 EUCLID AVENUE CHINO, CALIFORNIA 91710

PROJECT NO. 18-0817

OCTOBER 24, 2018, REVISED FEBRUARY 14, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2.	SITE CONDITIONS	. 2
3.	PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS	. 3
4.	FIELD EXPLORATION	. 4
5.	LABORATORY TESTING	. 5
6.	SOIL CONDITIONS	. 5
7.	GROUNDWATER	. 9
8.	SITE GEOLOGY	10
9.	SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS	11
9.1.	General	11
9.2.	Landsliding	12
9.3.	Liquefaction and Seismic Settlement	12
9.4.	Tsunamis and Seiches	13
10.	FLOODING	13
11.	COLLAPSIBLE SOILS	13
12.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13
12.1.	General	13
12.2.	Site Grading and Clearing	14
12.3 Gr	rading of Existing Ponds	15
12.4	General Grading Requirements	17
12.5	Fill Materials	18
12.6	Temporary Excavations	19
12.7	Floor Slabs	20
12.8	Seismic Coefficients	21
12.9	Shallow Foundations	21
12.10	Retaining Wall	23
12.11	Utility Trench Backfill	25
12.12	Drainage	26
12.13	Percolation Testing	26
12.14	Asphalt Concrete (AC) Pavement	28
12.15	Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) Vehicular Pavement	29
13.	SOIL EXPANSIVITY	31

14.	SOIL CORROSIVITY	32
15.	OBSERVATION AND TESTING	32
16.	CLOSURE	33
APPEN	DICES	34
REFER	ENCES	35



October 24, 2018, Revised February 14, 2019 Project No.18-0817

Mr. John R. Burroughs, LEED AP, President Commerce Construction Co., L.P. 13191 Crossroads Parkway North 6th Floor City of Industry, CA 91746

SUBJECT: Construction Level Geotechnical Study Majestic Chino Logistic Center Southeast Corner of Bickmore Avenue and Mountain Avenue City of Chino, CA

1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a Geotechnical Investigation performed by Koury Engineering & Testing, Inc. (Koury) for the construction of two large distribution center buildings and associated improvements known as Majestic Chino Logistic Center located at the southeast corner of Bickmore Avenue and Mountain Avenue in the City of Chino (see Figure A-1 in Appendix A). The geotechnical study was performed to evaluate the subsurface soil conditions at the site in order to provide geotechnical recommendations for design and construction of the proposed facilities. This report includes our findings and recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed buildings and associated improvements from a geotechnical studyout.

The recommendations provided within this submittal are based on the results of our field exploration, laboratory testing and engineering analyses. Our services were performed in general accordance with our Proposal No. 18-0817, dated August 20, 2018.

Our professional services have been performed using the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable geotechnical consultants practicing in this or similar localities. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report. This report has been prepared exclusively for Commerce Construction Co.,

L.P. and their consultants for the subject project. The report has not been prepared for use by other parties and may not contain sufficient information for the purposes of other parties or other uses.

2. SITE CONDITIONS

The site is bounded by Bickmore Avenue on the north, Mountain Avenue on the west, a golf course on the south, and a vacant lot and a concrete drainage channel on the east. Access is presently via Mountain Avenue on the west side. The site consists roughly of two rectangular areas; the northern area is parallel to Bickmore Avenue and measures about 2,420 feet in the east-west direction and 870 feet in the northerly direction. The southern area measures about 1,660 feet in the east-west direction, 1,340 feet in the northerly direction, and abuts against Mountain Avenue on the west.

The site was previously used as dairy farm and cattle raising. The site is presently vacant, and the buildings and cattle shelters have been removed. However, many of the slabs on grade, foundations, and most likely some of the underground utilities are still in place. At the time of the field exploration in September 2018, most of the site exposed bare ground. There was a few trees and shrubs along Mountain Avenue and around the seasonal water ponds.

The northeast corner of the site contains several water detention basins that are 3 to 5 feet deep; most of these basins have concrete-lined spillways. Within the same area, there is a relatively deep pond (roughly 80 to 100 feet wide, 200 feet long and 12 to 20 feet deep) containing vegetation and trash; this pond retains water during several months of the year. Two relatively smaller ponds were observed adjacent to Mountain Avenue; these ponds also retain storm water for several months of the year until the water evaporates. These ponds are about 10 to 12 feet deep.

The site generally slopes gently from north to south with elevations ranging from about 565 to 553 feet. Along the east property line, within the southeast portion of the site, there is a slope descending about 10 to 13 feet to a flood basin area. This slope gradually decreases in height in the north direction until it reaches a height of about 5 feet about 150 feet south of the proposed Building 1. We understand that a retaining wall ranging in height from about 1 to 12 feet and a length slightly over 400 feet will be constructed in the southeast corner of the Building 1 area to allow grading for the parking and driveway.

In its present state, the site has been cleared of the past structures such as buildings, shelters, and above ground ancillary facilities. The dominant features of the site are the many berms/levees that were constructed across the site. Many of these berms appear to have been constructed by pushing onsite soils into piles; however, the upper portion of some of the berms include imported soils. Within the northeast corner of the site, some of the berms are fitted with concrete spillways. Most of the berms have heights in the range of 3 to 6 feet and consist of relatively loose undocumented fill.

Within the northeastern portion of the proposed Building 2, there is an area measuring about 200 by 200 feet that was used to place import material. Several truckloads of soils were brought in and dumped in place without spreading. The average thickness of dumped material is on the order of $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 feet.

3. PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

Koury understands the proposed project include the construction of two one-story tilt-up concrete buildings with slab on grade and relevant parking lots and site work. The larger rectangular-shaped Building 1 located within the northern portion of the property will have a footprint of approximately 1,172,387 square feet. This northern building will be about 2,112 feet long and 555 feet wide. The smaller Building 2, to be located within the southern portion of the property, will have a footprint of about 910,087 square feet. This southern building will measure about 1,400 by 650 feet in plan.

In accordance with the conceptual grading plan, there will be two detention basins located immediately south of the smaller building with storage capacities of 14.1 acre-feet and 9.7 acre-feet, respectively. Other proposed improvements include storm and a sewer pump building, construction of parking lots, driveways, retaining walls and some off-site work such as widening Mountain Avenue along the project frontage and construction of curbs and gutters.

We understand that the building pad will be raised to elevation 567 feet, which is one foot above the flood elevation of 566 feet. Most of the Building 1 area will require fill in the range of 2 to 8 feet in thickness with an average of about 5 feet above existing grade at the boring and test pit locations except for the existing retention pond/basin areas where deeper fill will be required to reach design grade. The proposed fill thickness above the existing bottom of the main retention pond is anticipated to be on the order of 30 feet, not including the required overexcavation. This pond contains debris and vegetation, and stores water during several months of the year. The existing detention basin at the northeast corner of the proposed Building 1 is not part of this project. There will be relatively small permanent cuts in the range of 1 to 5 feet in localized areas north and south of Building 1.

Except for the proposed two basins and some localized areas along Mountain Avenue, the grades in the southern half of the site will be raised. The grades within the area of Building 2 will generally be raised by 10 to 12 feet above existing grades. There are two existing water ponds encroaching within the proposed Building 2 footprint along Mountain Avenue that will require about 22 feet or more of backfill above existing grade.

Architectural and structural design details for the buildings were not provided. For the purpose of this report we understand that the maximum column loads will be about 95 kips and wall load approximately 8 kips per lineal foot. We understand that bearing pressures of 2,500 psf for footing and 1,000 psf for slab on grade are typically used.

4. FIELD EXPLORATION

The field exploration programs, including the feasibility study, consisted of drilling 14 soil test borings in Building 1, 10 soil test borings in Building 2, two soil test borings in the Detention Basin A and one boring in the WQ Basin B. Truck-mounted hollow-stem auger drilling equipment was used to drill the test borings, which range in depth from about 16¹/₂ to 71¹/₂ feet. In addition, a rubber tire backhoe was used to excavate a total of 71 test pits ranging in depth from about 4¹/₂ to 16¹/₂ feet. The borings and test pits were excavated during the months of May 2017 and August and September of 2018.

The locations of the borings and test pits are shown on the Field Exploration Map, Figures A-2a and A-2b, Appendix A. Standard Penetration Test samples, California Ring samples and bulk samples were obtained from the borings for laboratory testing, and bulk samples were obtained from the test pits. The contractor used a 140-lbs automatic hammer to drive the samplers 18 inches into the soils.

5. LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory tests, including moisture content, dry unit weight, #200 sieve wash, pocket penetrometer, expansion index, plasticity index, consolidation, direct shear and maximum density were performed to aid in the classification of the materials encountered and to evaluate their engineering properties. Sulfates, chlorides, resistivity, and PH tests (corrosivity tests) were also performed on selected samples. The results of pertinent laboratory tests are presented on the boring logs in Appendix B, and/or in Appendix C.

6. SOIL CONDITIONS

The subsurface soil profile consists generally of artificial fill underlain by alluvial deposits. For the most part, the existing fill is generally 1 to 3 feet thick except for the berms/levees that were constructed, which range in height predominantly between 3 and 7 feet. The fill derived from onsite soils consists predominantly of medium plastic clay (lean clay with sand and sandy lean clay). The fill derived from previously imported material include various mixtures of soils, including asphalt, silty sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders, clay, and shale.

A large portion of the site contains a layer of younger alluvium underneath the fill and above the older alluvium. This younger alluvium generally ranges in thickness from about 2 to 6 feet and consists predominantly of lean clay with sand with localized areas of fat clay. The older alluvium was encountered at depths predominantly between 3½ and 6 feet, and for the most part also consists of clay (both fat and lean clay). The fat clay generally has a higher moisture content and is more expansive than the lean clay, and is considered a less desirable material.

California drive samples were obtained from the borings and test pits. Nuclear gauge tests were also performed in selected test pits. The test data for the drive samples within the test pits and the nuclear gauge tests is summarized in Table C-1 presented in Appendix C. The dry unit weights of the California drive samples range from about 72 to 134 pcf with an average of about 105 pcf. The nuclear gauge tests results (on shallow soils in test pits up to 7 ½ feet) indicate dry unit weight ranging from 86 to 118 pcf with an average of about 103 pcf. The insitu moisture contents of the tested soils range from about 8 to 29½ percent with an average of about 18 percent. Depending upon the time of the year, the soil moisture content near the ground surface may vary. Table 1 presents the results of the moisture content tests within the upper 2½ and 8 feet and of the test pits

and borings. This tables indicates that the moisture contents increase with depth and the moisture contents of the recent borings and test pits are lower than the previous borings, thus reflecting seasonal variation.

Test Pit / Boring	Min Moisture (%)		Max Moisture (%)		Average Moisture (%)	
	21⁄2 feet	8 feet	21⁄2 feet	8 feet	21⁄2 feet	8 feet
TP-1 to TP-21 (Old)	8.6	8.6	33.3	40.2	17.5	19.5
TP-22 to TP-72 (New)	4.2	4.2	37.1	39.4	15.1	18.4
B-1 to B-10 (Old)	8.1	8.1	21.9	29.8	15.9	17.9
B-11 to B-28 (New)	5.4	5.4	16.8	25.2	11.6	13.3

Table 1 – Moisture Content Test Results

The degree of saturation was calculated based on the moisture content and the dry density of the tested samples. The results of our calculations show that for the samples at depths between about $2\frac{1}{2}$ and 6 feet, the degree of saturation varies from about $40\frac{1}{2}$ to 100 percent with an average of about 82 percent. Soils with insitu degree of saturation of 85 percent or higher normally require higher compaction efforts to obtain 90 percent relative compaction.

Maximum density tests were performed to evaluate the required dry back of the soils to satisfy the compaction requirements. The following Table 2 indicates the range of optimum moisture for the tests performed to date, which provide some indication of the possible dry back required to facilitate compaction.

 Table 2 – Maximum Density Test Results

Test Pit Number/Depth	TP-7 /7'	TP-8 /12'	TP-10/2'	TP-40/3'-3.8'
Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	115.3	112.2	122.8	123.7
Optimum Moisture Content (%)	12.5	14.3	11.2	11.7

To aid in the soils classification and to correlate the soil plasticity with the soil expansion, four plasticity index tests (Atterberg Limits) were performed on samples from depths ranging between about 2 and 12 feet. As shown in Table 3, the liquid limits for the tested samples range between

about 39 and 68 and the plasticity index between 20 and 46, which indicate material ranging from low to high plasticity.

Test Pit Number/Depth	TP-5 /2'	TP-7 /8'	TP-8 /12'	TP-10 /2'
Liquid Limit	39	51	68	39
Plastic Limit	19	21	22	17
Plasticity Index	20	30	46	22

Table 3 – Plasticity Test Results

Our #200 sieve wash tests indicated that the sand has fines contents in the range of 6 to 46 percent (average of about 27%) and the clay and silt have fines contents in the range of 50 to 99 percent with an average of about 73%. The dry unit weights range from about 72 to 134 pcf with an average of approximately 105 pcf. The consolidation tests indicated moderate consolidation with low potential for expansion and collapse upon addition of water under pressures of 3200 psf; however, some of the rebound curves indicate that the soils could expand significantly under low confining pressures. One direct shear test on a sample remolded to about 90 percent relative compaction indicated a peak friction angle of about 26 degrees and a peak cohesion of approximately 386 psf. The corresponding ultimate values are 28 degrees and 12 psf, respectively

The site soils are generally expansive (EI>20). Table 4 presents the data for 39 tests with depths ranging between 1 and 12 feet. These tests indicate expansion index ranging from 20 to 162 with an average of about 79. Within the upper $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet, the range of expansion index is 20 to 102 with an average of about 68.

Boring/Test Pit	Depth (ft)	Field Moisture (%)	Percentage of Fines	Expansion Index
TP-1	6	25.4	84	78
TP-3	5	16.0	76	62
TP-5	2	23.3	71	50
TP-7	7	27.8	81	59
TP-8	12	23.3	84	90
TP-14	1	35.2	77	89
TP-15	3	31.0	86	60
TP-17	2	33.3	92	96
TP-19	1	17.1	79	24
TP-22	3-3.8	17.4	83	126
TP-22	4-4.8	16-4-18.8	81-80	62
TP-23	1.5-2.2	17.6	60	97
TP-26	Surface	13.4	80	20
TP-26	4.2-4.9	39.4	66	74
TP-27	3.5-4.2	18.4-20.9	65-66	144
TP-28	3-3.8	20.4	71	81
TP-30	3-3.5	15.9	86	48
TP-31	3.8-4.3	16.1	63	86
TP-32	3.8-4.3	8.3	79	61
TP-33	2.5-3	17.9	59	63
TP-37	3-3.5	22.2	70	105
TP-40	3-3.8	10-7-11.5	79-77	162
TP-42	2.7-3.2	12.8	65	62
TP-44	3-3.5	15.1	73	57
TP-48	1.8-2.3	13.5	75	64
TP-50	2-2.5	16.4	73	71
TP-53	3-3.5	20.8	63	50
TP-55	2-4	15.3	80	111
TP-58	3-3.5	14.9	78	59
TP-59	3.5-4			88
TP-60	22.5'	22.3	89	102

Table 4 – Expansion Index Test Results

Boring/Test Pit	Depth (ft)	Field Moisture (%)	Percentage of Fines	Expansion Index
TP-65	6-6.5	24	82	99
TP-70	2.5-3.5	12	57	160
TP-71	2.5-3	14.2	59	45
B-11	0-4	15-6-16.8	64-69	52
B-13	0-4	10.2-11.7	72-66	47
B-23	2-4	16.3	76	107
B-24	2-4	15.8	77	96
B-25	2-4	14.5	84	78

 Table 4 – Expansion Index Test Results (continued)

There is a rough correlation between in situ natural moisture content at depth and expansion index. For the same amount of fines, site soils with higher moisture and higher plasticity index tend to have higher expansion index.

7. GROUNDWATER

According to Carson and Matti, 1985, the depth to regional groundwater should be about 35 to 45 feet below the existing ground surface. Groundwater was encountered in the borings at depths between about 24 and 44 feet below the existing ground surface with corresponding elevations between approximately 518 and 539 feet (NAVD88). Groundwater seepage was encountered in three test pits at about elevations between 539 and 541 feet. The groundwater encountered in the test pits and within Boring B-26 is believed to be perched water. The following table summarizes the groundwater depths and elevations encountered in the borings and test pits.

Boring/Test Pit	Ground Elevation	Groundwater Depth	Groundwater Elevation
	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
B-1	557.5	32.5	525.0
B-2	554.3	31.0	523.3
B-7	562.0	28.0	534.0
B-8	556.5	33.0	523.5
TP-8	556.5	*15.5	*541.0
TP-15	552.4	*10.5	*542.4
B-16	560.7	41	518.7
B-24	563.0	27.5	535.5
B-26	563.0	**24	**539.0
TP-68	540.0	**2	**538.0

Table 5 - Summary of Groundwater Data

*Seepage **Perched water

Fluctuations of the groundwater level, localized zones of perched water, and elevated soil moisture contents should be anticipated during and following the rainy season.

8. SITE GEOLOGY

The site is located within the Upper Santa Ana River Valley, which consists of a series of coalescing alluvial fans formed by streams flowing out of the San Gabriel Mountains to the north. The valley lies within the Peninsular Ranges geomorphic province, which is characterized by alluviated basins, elevated erosion surfaces, and northwest-trending mountain ranges bounded by northwest trending faults. The site, which is located within the Chino Basin, is underlain by sediments deposited by the Santa Ana River and its tributaries such as the Chino Creek.

Morton and Miller (2006) show the site to be underlain by very old alluvial-fan deposits (See Figure A-3 in Appendix A). The sediments observed during drilling consisted predominantly of clay.

9. SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

9.1. General

The project site, like the rest of Southern California, is located within a seismically active region as a result of being located near the active margin between the North American and Pacific tectonic plates. The principal source of seismic activity is movement along the northwest-trending regional faults such as the San Andreas, San Jacinto, Newport-Inglewood and Whittier-Elsinore fault zones.

By definition of the California Geological Survey (CGS), an active fault is one which has had surface displacement within the Holocene Epoch (roughly the last 11,000 years). The CGS has defined a potentially active fault as any fault which has been active during the Quaternary Period (approximately the last 2,000,000 years). These definitions are used in delineating Earthquake Fault Zones as mandated by the Alquist-Priolo Geologic Hazard Zones Act of 1972 and as subsequently revised in 1997 as the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones. The intent of the act is to require fault investigations on sites located within Special Studies Zone to preclude new construction of certain inhabited structures across the trace of active faults.

The subject site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. Probably the most important fault to the site from a seismic shaking standpoint is the northwest trending Chino Fault, located approximately 1.4 miles southwest of the site. The Whittier Fault is located about 5³/₄ miles to the southwest. Based on the available maps, the "potentially active" Central Avenue Fault (presently not known to be active) passes through the southwest corner of Building 2. This fault is not known to have moved during the last 11, 000 years. Based on the information available at this time, it is our opinion that a Mw6.7 earthquake may occur on the Chino Fault and a Mw6.9 earthquake may occur on the nearest active segment of the Whittier Fault (see Figure A-4, Fault Map, for fault locations).

Large earthquakes could occur on other faults in the general area, but because of their greater distance and/or lower probability of occurrence, they are less important to the site from a seismic shaking standpoint. Due to the proximity of these faults, near field effects from strong ground motion associated with large earthquakes along these faults may occur at the site. These near field effects, including "fling" and directivity of strong ground motion, may result in significantly higher accelerations at the site, which is normally accounted for/mitigated by the structural design of the project.

9.2. Landsliding

The site is not located in a Landslide Hazard Zone on the State of California Seismic Hazard Zones Map. No evidence for landsliding was observed on or in the immediate vicinity of the site at the time of our field exploration. Based on topographic conditions, landsliding is not considered a potential hazard at the site.

9.3. Liquefaction and Seismic Settlement

Liquefaction may occur when saturated, loose to medium dense, cohesionless soils are densified by ground shaking or vibrations. The densification results in increased pore water pressures if the soils are not sufficiently permeable to dissipate these pressures during and immediately following an earthquake. When the pore water pressure is equal to or exceeds the overburden pressure, liquefaction of the affected soil layers occurs. For liquefaction to occur, three conditions are required:

- Ground shaking of sufficient magnitude and duration;
- Groundwater level at or above the level of the susceptible soils during the ground shaking; and
- Soils that are susceptible to liquefaction.

The Liquefaction Hazards zone on the State of California Seismic Hazards Zones Map indicates that the site is not located in a liquefaction susceptibility zone. However, for seismic settlement due to ground shaking should be considered. For seismic settlement analysis, we calculated an earthquake magnitude of Mw6.49 from a seismic-hazard deaggregation using the USGS Unified Hazard Tool. Our analysis also utilized a site acceleration of 0.71g (PGA_M) obtained from the USGS Design Maps Detailed Report. The SPT tests were performed with an automatic hammer and unlined SPT samplers.

Using the LiquifyPro software, we calculated maximum seismic settlements less than ¹/₂ inch for the alluvium to a depth of 50 feet (see result of calculations in Appendix C). Considering the recommendations in Section 7.66 of the SCEC Guidelines for Implementation of Special Publication 117 and our total seismic settlement calculations, it is our opinion that a relatively small differential settlement on the order of ¹/₄ inch in 30 feet may be used for the design seismic event.

9.4. Tsunamis and Seiches

The site is located at an average elevation of approximately 560 feet and 28 miles away from the coastline. There is no mapped major reservoir in the immediate vicinity and upslope of the site. Therefore, tsunamis and seiches are not considered potential hazards.

10. FLOODING

Except for localized areas within the southwest portion of the site, the project area lies outside the 100year flood zone as shown on the FEMA Flood Map # 06071C9335H, effective date 08/28/2008 (Figure A-5, Appendix A). The site is located at elevations between about 553 and 565 feet (NAVD88) and the existing Prado Dam spillway is reportedly near elevation 543 feet. However, it is understood that the spillway could be raised to elevation 566 feet, which would locate portions of the site, outside the building pads, within the 100-year flood zone.

11. COLLAPSIBLE SOILS

Soils prone to collapse are generally young and deposited by flash floods and wind. The onsite soil moisture contents are generally above optimum, which mitigate collapse potential. Our laboratory tests did not indicate significant collapse. Therefore, the potential for collapse is considered low. Overerexcavation and recompaction, and appropriate drainage are anticipated to mitigate the potential for hydrocollapse.

12. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

12.1. General

In our opinion, the planned improvements are feasible from a geotechnical engineering point of view. The main concerns from a geotechnical standpoint are the presence of fill, soft alluvium near the ground surface, the presence of deep-water ponds encroaching within the building pads, the soil expansion potential, and the soil consolidation due to the proposed fill.

For the proposed buildings, we understand that bearing pressures of 2,000 to 2500 psf are typically used for footings and 1,000 psf for slab on grade. The proposed buildings may be supported on conventional continuous footings or isolated pad footings underlain by engineered fill.

The following sections contain preliminary geotechnical recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed improvements and include our recommendations and discussions about bearing capacity, settlement, flatwork, slabs-on-grade, temporary excavations, and utility trenches.

12.2. Site Grading and Clearing

The site should be cleared of all remaining foundations, concrete and wood debris. One area of the proposed Building 2 contains bark at the ground surface. We recommend that this bark material be raked, and the material taken offsite along with any other onsite vegetation such as trees, shrubs and stumps. There is also some asphalt and oversize cobbles and boulders that should be taken offsite.

All existing fill should be removed, including all the earth berms, and should be replaced as engineered fill. Depending upon the grading time of the year, excessively wet, soft or dry soils may be encountered within the upper 2 to 3 feet of the ground surface. Due to soil expansion potential, all soil with moisture content less than 2 percent above optimum within the building pad area and less than 1 percent above optimum outside the building pad should be overexcavated unless indicated otherwise by the Geotechnical Engineer at the time of construction. To remove the most compressible soils, we anticipate that most of the removal will be on the order of 2½ to 3½ feet deep outside building areas and 4 to 6 feet deep within building areas, extending below the existing undocumented fill bottom. Deeper removal will be required in areas of wet soils and former basins. A table of estimated removal depths at the test pit and boring locations is enclosed in Appendix C and preliminary Remedial Grading Maps (Figure A-2e and A-2f) are presented in Appendix A.

Some of the soils to be removed near the surface generally have a lower expansion potential than the deeper soils. Except for the obvious area of high expansive soils near the surface, we suggest separating the upper 2 to $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet of soil and re-using these soils within the upper 2 feet of pavement subgrade or within the upper 6 to 7 feet of the building pad subgrade. Although less desirable, blending of soils with high expansion and low expansion potential may be acceptable if they are of similar composition (e.g. sandy lean clay with lean clay).

We anticipate the soils with an expansion index less than 80 ("moderate quality dirt") to perform satisfactorily below foundations provided the moisture content of the soils remain stable during the Page 14 of 35

project life. It is therefore important to provide good drainage and to avoid constructing planters immediately adjacent to buildings and other foundations. Soils with greater expansion potential should be placed at least 4 feet below footings and at least 5 feet away from the buildings/structures and in areas such as parking lots, driveways, and planters at the discretion of the owner.

12.3 Grading of Existing Ponds

There are two ponds within the northeast portion of the site (see blue highlighting on Figures A-2a and A-2e). Immediately outside the southeast end of Building 1, there is a former detention basin with a length of approximately 380 feet and a width ranging between about 40 and 80 feet (see Cross Section B-B', Figure A-2d). There is also a water pond encroaching approximately 150 feet within the footprint of the proposed Building 1 (see Cross Section A-A', Figure A-2c, in Appendix A). This water pond is about 80 to 100 feet wide and 200 feet long. The water pond stands about 12 to 20 feet below the high adjacent grades and contains trash and vegetation along most of its perimeter. There is a relatively low area east of the pond.

At the west end of Building 2, there are two water ponds encroaching within the footprint of the building (see blue highlighting on Figure A-2b and A-2f). These ponds, which are located east of Mountain Avenue, retain storm water for several months of the year until the water evaporates. These two ponds are separated by a driveway running east-west. The northern pond measures about 45 by 190 feet in plan and has a depth of 10 to 12 feet and the southern pond is about 80 to 100 feet wide and approximately 190 feet in long and has a depth similar to the northern pond.

During the recent field exploration, the water had evaporated from all the ponds and test pits were excavated in the three ponds. Two test pits were previously excavated within the desilting basin southeast corner of Building 1. The estimated depth of removal presented in the table below are based on our evaluation of the test pit data. The actual depth of removal may vary depending upon the conditions observed at the time of grading.

Pond/Basin Location	Test Pit No.	Anticipated Removal *Depth (feet)
East of Building 1	TP-68	11+
Southeast Corner of Building 1	TP-14 and TP-15	15+
West end of Bldg 2, north pond	TP-67	4+
West end of Bldg 2, south pond	TP-66	4+

*Based on the lowest portion of the pond. Exact depth to be determined at time of construction

Groundwater should be anticipated during the removal operation within the two ponds adjacent to Building 1. During and/or shortly after the rainy seasons, groundwater may also be encountered in the other ponds. The total fill depths above the existing pond bottoms are anticipated to be approximately 26 feet for the pond encroaching on the east end of Building 1, about 10 feet for the desilting pond at the southeast corner of Building 1 and 21 feet for the two ponds encroaching on the west end of Building 2.

The bottom of these ponds is anticipated to be "pumping" under the weight of rubber tire equipment. Track mounted backhoes or excavators are recommended for the bottom excavation of these ponds. To stabilize the subgrade for compaction purpose, we recommend placement of 18 inches of ³/₄-inch crushed rock wrapped with filter fabric (placed above and below the rock). The intent of the crushed rock is not only to stabilize the bottom for compaction purpose but also to provide a drainage path to enhance consolidation of the soils.

Considering the removal depths, the thickness of fill for these ponds will be in the range of about 20 to 30 feet. In order to reduce differential settlement, we suggest overexcavating, backfilling, and raising the grades to quasi final grades within the existing pond areas during the first phase of grading (at the beginning of grading) to accommodate as much settlement as possible before completing the project grading. For the pond encroaching on the east end of Building 1, to accelerate consolidation settlement, we recommend surcharging the pond area with about 10 feet of soil stockpile. We recommend installing two settlement monuments and to monitor the rate of settlement to determine the most appropriate time to remove the stockpile since we cannot accurately predict the amount of time required for settlement (a rough estimate is 6 months for about one half the anticipated settlement since not all settlement needs to occur). Because consolidation settlement depends on subsurface drainage and time, the surcharge load would have

to be doubled to reduce the estimated time to 4 months. The following table indicates roughly the anticipated surcharge depth versus time. The actual surcharge duration required should be based on settlement monitoring.

Estimated Duration (month)	6	51⁄2	4	31⁄2
Minimum Surcharge Depth (ft)	10	15	20	25

Surcharge Parameters

12.4 General Grading Requirements

- 1. All fill, unless otherwise specifically stated in the report, should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D1557 Method of Soil Compaction for clay soils and 95 percent relative compaction for sand and other granular soils.
- 2. No fill should be placed until the area to receive the fill has been adequately prepared and approved by the Geotechnical Consultant or his representative.
- 3. Fill soils should be kept free of debris and organic material.
- 4. Rocks or hard fragments larger than 4 inches may not be placed in the fill without approval of the Geotechnical Consultant or his representative, and in a manner specified for each occurrence. There should not be any concentrations of particles sizes of 2 inches or greater; proper mixing should be performed. If encountered, oversize materials should be disposed outside the structural fill and flatwork areas at the locations designated by the Engineer.
- 5. The fill material should be placed in lifts which, when loose, should not exceed 8 inches per lift. Each lift should be spread evenly and should be thoroughly mixed during the spreading operation to obtain uniformity of material and moisture.
- 6. When the moisture content of the fill material is lower than the specified value or is too low to obtain adequate compaction, water should be added and thoroughly dispersed until the soil has a moisture within 2½ percent of optimum moisture content for sand material and 125 percent of optimum for clay soils placed 6 feet below finish subgrade and 130 percent of optimum for clay soil placed within 6 feet of finish subgrade unless indicated otherwise in this report and/or by the Geotechnical Engineer at the time of construction. The moisture content of finished clay subgrade should be maintained until the time of hardscaping by frequent watering or by covering the surface with visqueen, granular material or other methods as agreed upon by the owner representative. The moisture content should be checked for compliance prior to construction above the subgrade.
- 7. When the moisture content of the fill material is too high to obtain adequate compaction, the fill material should be aerated by blading or other satisfactory methods until the soil has a moisture content as specified herein.
- 8. Permanent fill and cut slopes should not be constructed at gradients steeper than 2:1(H: V).

Based on the few maximum density tests performed to date, the optimum moisture contents of the onsite clay soils appear to be predominantly in the range of 11 to 15 percent. It should be noted that some of the clay soils have a high degree of saturation and moisture contents about 5 to 12 percent above optimum and outside the compactable moisture range. The contractor will have to select appropriate excavation and compaction equipment to avoid disturbing the high moisture content subgrade soils and to be able to compact the fill to the project specifications above relatively soft subgrade. Any scarified clay soils must be compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction as determined by ASTM D1557.

12.5 Fill Materials

12.5.1. Onsite Materials

The onsite shallow clay soils encountered in the borings and test pits are considered to have a low to very high expansion potential. In general, the soils with high expansion potential should not be re-used as backfill within the upper 6 feet of finished subgrade (at least 4 feet below footings and 4 feet below flatwork subgrade). The clay soils with low to moderate expansion potential are considered suitable for backfilling purpose at shallow depths provided they are free of deleterious and oversize materials and are properly processed and moisture conditioned. Import materials will also be needed for backfilling purpose.

Overexcavation and re-compaction will induce fill shrinkage. Many factors such as mixing, relative compaction of the fill, and topographic approximations will affect shrinkage. We cannot estimate the exact amount of shrinkage; however, in our opinion, the shrinkage may be on the order of 15 percent for existing soils excavated and recompacted to 90 percent relative compaction. This estimate does not include the material that will be required to fill in the excavations after the removal of any subsurface structures from the prior use of the site and removal of topsoil.

12.5.2. Import

Import materials should contain sufficient fines (binder material) to be relatively impermeable and result in a stable subgrade when compacted. Soils with high expansion potential expansion are not recommended for import. Where possible, the imported materials should have an expansion index (EI) less than about 80 and should be free of organic materials, debris, and cobbles larger than 4 inches. Selective grading is suggested to place the better import materials (lower expansion) within

the upper portion of the fill below building areas (at least 4 feet below footings and at least 5 feet away from the buildings) and to place the less desirable import material (more clayey and higher expansion) below parking lots, driveways, and other areas as designated by the owner representative. The contractor should also consider that some of the better soils may be encountered at shallow depths in the borrow sites, which may affect the grading sequence. A bulk sample of potential import material, weighing at least 35 pounds, should be submitted to the Geotechnical Consultant at least 48 hours before fill operations. Other than aggregate base and bedding sand, all proposed import materials should be tested for corrosivity, should be environmentally cleared from contamination and should be approved by the Geotechnical Consultant prior to being imported onsite.

12.6 Temporary Excavations

Temporary excavations adjacent to un-surcharged areas are anticipated to be stable vertically to a depth up to 5 feet in fill and alluvium. For deeper excavations up to a depth of 8 feet, we recommend a gradient no steeper than ³/₄:1 (H:V) for unsurcharged excavations unless shoring is used.

The tops of slopes should be barricaded to prevent vehicles and storage loads within 6 feet of the tops of slopes or within ½ the slope height, whichever is greater. A greater setback may be necessary when considering heavy vehicles, such as concrete trucks and cranes; we should be advised of such heavy vehicle loadings so that specific setback requirements can be established. When excavating adjacent to existing footings or building supports, proper means should be employed to prevent any possible damage to the existing structure. Un-shored excavations should not extend below a 1¼:1 (H:V) plane extending downward from the lower edge of adjacent footings and should start at least 2 feet away from the footing edge. Where there is insufficient space to slope back an excavation, shoring may be required. All regulations of State and Federal OSHA should be followed.

Temporary excavations are assumed to be those that will remain un-shored for a period of time not exceeding one week. In dry weather, the excavation slopes should be kept moist, but not soaked. If excavations are made during the rainy season (normally from November through April), particular care should be taken to protect slopes against erosion. Mitigative measures, such as installation of berms, plastic sheeting, or other devices, may be warranted to prevent surface water from flowing over or ponding at the top of excavations.

12.7 Floor Slabs

12.7.1. General

We understand that 7-inch thick slabs with 4000 psi concrete will be used for the building floors. We also anticipate that 6 inches of crushed miscellaneous aggregate base will be placed below the building slabs.

The building code requires minimum slab reinforcement consisting of #3 bars at 18 inches on center both directions when a slab is supported on soils with an expansion index greater than 20. In addition, the soils should be moisture conditioned to at least 130 percent of optimum as indicated in the grading section of this report unless indicated otherwise by the Geotechnical Engineer at the time of construction. The subgrade moisture content should be re-tested and confirmed within 48 hours prior to placement of the aggregate base.

12.7.2 Moisture Sensitive Floor Covering

Water vapor transmitted through floor slabs is a common cause of floor covering problems. In areas where moisture-sensitive floor coverings (such as tile, hardwood floors, linoleum or carpeting) are planned, a vapor retarder should be installed below the concrete slab to reduce excess vapor transmission through the slab.

The function of the recommended impermeable membrane (vapor retarder) is to reduce the amount of soil moisture or water vapor that is transmitted through the floor slab. The membrane should be at least 15-mil thick Stego Wrap, Class A, and care should be taken to preserve the continuity and integrity of the membrane beneath the floor slab. The vapor retarder should conform to ASTM E1745.

Another factor affecting vapor transmission through floor slabs is the water to cement ratio in the concrete used for the floor slab. A high water to cement ratio increases the porosity of the concrete, thereby facilitating the transmission of water vapor through the slab. The project Structural Engineer should provide recommendations for design of building slabs in accordance with the latest version of the applicable codes. We recommend a concrete with a water cement ratio not exceeding 0.45. The placement of sand above the vapor retarder is the purview of the Structural Engineer.

12.8 Seismic Coefficients

Under the Earthquake Design Regulations of Chapter 16A, Section 1613A of the CBC 2016, and based on the mapped values, the coefficients and factors presented in Table 6 were calculated using the USGS web site (refer to Figure A-6 in Appendix A).

The site class is determined in accordance with ASCE 7 Chapter 20 using shear wave velocity, SPT blow count or undrained shear strength. For a site to be classified as Site Class D the weighted average SPT blow count should be between 15 and 50 and the average weighted undrained shear strength should be between 1,000 and 2,000 psf within the upper 100 feet of soil. The SPT blow count test results presented on the boring logs indicate that the requirements for Class D are met.

Site Class (CBC 2016 – 1613A.3.2)	D
Seismic Design Category based on Occupancy Category III	D
(CBC 2016-1604A.5 &1613A.3.5)	D
Mapped Acceleration Parameter for Short Period (0.2 Second), S_S	2.001
Mapped Acceleration Parameter for 1.0 Second, S ₁	0.732
Adjusted Maximum Spectral Response Parameter for	2.001
Short Period (0.2 Second), S _{MS}	2.001
Adjusted Maximum Spectral Response Parameter for	1 099
1.0 Second Period, S _{M1}	1.077
Design Spectral Response Acceleration Parameter, S _{DS}	1.334
Design Spectral Response Acceleration Parameter, S _{D1}	0.732
Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA _M)	0.718

Table 6 – Seismic Coefficients and Factors

Project Site Coordinates: Longitude: W117.66298° Latitude: N33.957164° (WGS84)

12.9 Shallow Foundations

<u>*General*</u>: For the purpose of preparing this report, we assumed that the proposed building structure will impose maximum column load of about 95 kips and wall loads less than 8 kips per lineal foot. The recommendations for preparation of the subgrade underlying the footings are provided in the

"Earthwork" Section of this report. The Structural Engineer should design foundations in accordance with the requirements of the applicable building code.

Footings should have a minimum width of 2 feet for isolated footings and 18 inches for continuous footings. The bottom of building footings should be located at least 36 inches below the lowest adjacent finish grade, and reinforcement should consist of a minimum of two No.5 bars, top and bottom or equivalent as determined by the Structural Engineer.

The proposed building structures may be supported on isolated and/or strip footings designed using a net allowable bearing pressure of 2,250 pounds per square foot (psf) for footings supported on at least 3½ feet of engineered fill as indicated in the grading section of this report and embedded at least 3 feet below the lowest adjacent grade. A one-third increase in the bearing value may be used when considering wind or seismic loads. In the event of new footings located within one footing width of an existing footing, we recommend reducing the bearing pressure of the new footing by 30 percent.

Minor footings may be required for low height exterior landscape walls (4 feet or less in height), or other small ancillary structures. These footings should be supported on at least 2¹/₂ feet of new engineered fill and should be embedded at least 24 inches. A vertical bearing pressure of 2,000 psf may be used for these footings.

Lateral Resistance of Footings: Lateral load resistance may be derived from passive resistance along the vertical sides of the foundations, friction acting at the base of the foundations, or a combination of the two. A coefficient of friction of 0.30 may be used between the footings, floor slabs, and the supporting soils comprised of engineered fill. Where a vapor retarder is used below the slab, the friction coefficient should not exceed 0.12. The passive resistance of level properly compacted fill soils in direct contact with the footings may be assumed to be equal to the pressure developed by a fluid with a density of 200 pcf, to a maximum pressure of 2,000 psf. A one-third increase in the passive value may be used for wind or seismic loads. The frictional resistance and the passive resistance of the soils may be combined provided that the passive resistance is reduced by one third. We recommend that the first foot of soil cover be neglected in the passive resistance calculations if the ground surface is not protected from erosion or disturbance by a slab, pavement or in a similar manner.

Estimated Settlement of Footings: Based on the results of our analyses and provided that our recommendations in preceding sections of this report are followed, we estimate that once the settlement due to fill placement has occurred, the total static settlement of isolated and/or strip footings under sustained loads will be on the order of 1 inch for the anticipated maximum structural load. The maximum static differential settlement due to building loading, over a horizontal distance of 20 feet, is anticipated to be on the order of ¹/₂ inch for similarly loaded footings. The differential settlement during the design seismic event is anticipated to be on the order of ¹/₄ inch between adjacent columns located 30 feet apart.

The settlement during fill placement is anticipated to be on the order of 1 to 2½ inches depending upon the fill thickness except for the areas of deep ponds where the settlement is anticipated to be on the order of 3 to 5 inches. Except for the pond areas, a large portion of the calculated settlement is anticipated to have occurred one month following grading.

12.10 Retaining Wall

We have assumed that retaining walls will have a maximum height of 12 feet as shown on the drawings along the eastern portion of Building 2. Design earth pressures for retaining walls depend primarily on the allowable wall movement, wall inclination, type of backfill materials, backfill slopes, surcharges, and drainage. The earth pressures provided assume that non-expansive soil backfill will be used and a drainage system will be installed behind the walls so that hydrostatic pressure will not develop. If a drainage system is not installed, the cantilever level-backfilled walls, under static conditions, should be designed to resist a hydrostatic pressure equal to that developed by a fluid with a density of 90 pcf for the full height of the wall.

Determination of whether the active or at-rest condition is appropriate for design will depend on the flexibility of the wall. Walls that are free to rotate at least 0.002 radians (deflection at the top of the wall of at least 0.002 x H, where H is the unbalanced wall height) may be designed for the active condition. Walls that are not capable of this movement should be assumed rigid and designed for the at-rest conditions. Assuming that the backfill behind the retaining walls will consist of import sand, the recommended static active and at-rest earth pressures are as follow.

Wall Movement	Backfill Condition	Equivalent Fluid Pressure
Free to Deflect	Level	45
Restrained	Level	65

Table 7 - Earth Pressures for Retaining Walls

The above lateral earth pressures do not include the effects of surcharge (e.g., traffic, footings, sloping ground) or compaction-induced wall pressures. Any surcharge (live, including traffic, dead load, or slope) located within a 1:1 plane drawn upward from the base of the excavation should be added to the lateral earth pressures. The lateral contribution of a uniform surcharge load located immediately behind walls may be calculated by multiplying the surcharge by 0.33 for cantilevered walls and 0.5 for restrained walls. For vehicular surcharge, adjacent to driveways or parking areas a uniform lateral pressure of 100 pounds per square foot, acting as a result of an assumed 300 pounds per square foot traffic surcharge should be used. The onsite clay soils should not be used as backfill for the walls unless the soil expansion is considered in the design due to an increase of lateral pressure.

Walls should be waterproofed using appropriate membranes, and properly drained or designed to resist hydrostatic pressures. The waterproofing membrane should be covered with a protection board or equivalent to prevent perforation during backfilling.

Except for the upper 1½ feet, the backfill immediately behind retaining walls (minimum horizontal distance of 12 inches measured perpendicular to the wall) should consist of free-draining ¾-inch crushed rock wrapped with filter fabric. The upper 1½ feet of cover backfill should consist of relatively impervious onsite material. A 4-inch diameter perforated PVC pipe, placed perforations down at the bottom of the crushed rock layer, leading to a suitable gravity outlet, should be installed at the base of the walls. Geocomposite panel drains may be used as an alternative to extending the crushed rock to within 1½ feet of the ground surface for the wall drain. With wall drain panels, the 4-inch diameter perforated pipe located at the heel of the wall/footing should be surrounded with one cubic foot of ¾-inch crushed rock. All drainage should be directed to the street or to a storm drain in non-erosive devices.

In the event of a large earthquake, the lateral earth pressure on walls may be significant. When combining both static and seismic lateral earth pressures, a decreased factor of safety may be used in the design of retaining walls when checking for sliding and overturning stability. For cantilever walls, we have calculated the seismic increment of lateral pressure using the Mononobe-Okabe equation assuming the seismic coefficient to be 1/3 of the peak acceleration (PGA_M). We suggest using a dynamic earth pressure increment of 30 psf/ft for cantilever yielding walls with level backfill assuming the walls will not exceed 10 feet in height. The pressure should be taken as an inverted triangular distribution with the zero pressure point at the toe of the wall and 30 H (psf where H in feet) at the top of the wall, where H is the wall height in feet. The point of application of the dynamic thrust may be taken at 0.6H above the toe of the wall. The Structural Engineer should determine if a seismic increment of lateral earth pressure is applicable based on wall heights and allowable wall movements.

The proposed wall along the east property line is located above a 10 to 13-foot high slope. Due to the proximity of the slope and expansive soils, we recommend a footing embedment of at least 3 feet; the edge of the footing should be located at least 6 feet from the slope face. The passive pressure should be reduced to 120 pcf due to the slope proximity and the presence of expansive soils. The thickness of engineered fill below wall footings should be at least 3 feet. It appears that portions of the slopes were constructed without placing and compacting the fill in thin lifts and without appropriate compaction, and the soils were found to be loose/soft. Deep removal should be anticipated along large portions of the slopes. All undocumented fill should be removed in the vicinity of the retaining walls and slopes.

12.11 Utility Trench Backfill

Bedding material surrounding utility lines and extending to a point 12 inches above the lines should consist of non-expansive soil to support and/or to protect the lines. Where bedding is required, a minimum of 4-inch thick bedding material should be placed below the bottom of the utility lines, on a firm and unyielding subgrade. The bedding material should meet the specifications provided in the latest edition of the "Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction" (Greenbook).

Above the bedding, up to finished subgrade in areas other than landscape and up to one foot below flatworks and pavements, utility trenches should be backfilled with onsite materials or imported

materials with a low expansion potential and mechanically compacted to at least 90% of the maximum dry density of the soils.

For utility trenches within the building areas, the backfill should be compacted to the minimum required relative compaction indicated under the "Grading" Section of this report. The backfill material should be observed, tested and approved by the Geotechnical Consultant.

When adjacent to any footings, utility trenches and pipes should be laid above an imaginary line measured at a gradient of $1\frac{1}{2}$ (H:V) projected down from the bottom edges of any footings. Otherwise, the pipe should be designed to accept the lateral effect from the footing load, or the footing bottom should be deepened as needed to comply with this requirement. Backfill consisting of 2-sack sand cement slurry may also be used.

12.12 Drainage

Foundation, slab, flatwork, and pavement performance depends greatly on proper drainage within and along the boundary of the improvements. Perimeter grades surrounding any type of structures should be sloped in a manner allowing water to drain away from the structure and not pond next to the foundations. Per the 2016 CBC, landscape areas within 10 feet of structures should slope away at gradients of at least 5 percent. Paved areas within 10 feet of structures should slope away at gradients of at least 2 percent. Proper drainage is recommended for all surfaces to reduce the risk of soil movement due to soil expansion.

Common measures to mitigate expansion risk include removal of the more susceptible material and recompaction, preventing and repairing promptly utility line leaks, maintaining site drainage and drainage devices, and proper management of landscape watering to reduce the likelihood of water infiltrating deeper materials. To reduce the potential for overwatering, irrigation should be performed under the management of experienced landscape architects, and not under the control of a landscape contractor.

12.13 Percolation Testing

Three percolation tests were performed; one located near the west side of Detention Basin A, south of Building 2, and two percolation tests within the WQ Basin B. The drilling for the holes and

percolation testing were performed on a sunny day. No significant rain had occurred for several weeks prior to percolation testing. The depths of the holes for the three percolation tests were 20, 15 and 22 feet.

Since the County of San Bernardino does not have specific infiltration test procedures for storm water disposal, Koury performed the tests in substantial conformance with the boring percolation test procedures of the County of Riverside as defined in County Document, Riverside County – Low Impact Development BMP Design Handbook, Rev. 9/2011. The test procedures consisted of drilling 8-inch diameter boreholes to the test depths and placing a 2-inch layer of filter gravel at the bottom of the holes. We also placed a 3½-inch diameter perforated pipe in the holes and backfilled the annulus with clean gravel to avoid caving in the test zone. The procedure involved pre-soaking the percolation zone prior to testing. Following presoaking, the infiltration testing began by filling the bottom of the holes with water and measuring the drop-in water level. For the percolation tests, the water column height in P-1, P-2 and P-3 was about 5, 2½ and 8½ feet, respectively. Based on the infiltration rate during the presoaking period, we selected measuring intervals of 10 and 30 minutes per the test method. The water level drop measurements were repeated several times until consistent results were noted.

The in-situ field percolation tests performed provide short-term percolation rates, which apply mainly to the initiation of the infiltration process due to the short time of the test (minutes to hours instead of days) and the amount of water used. Where appropriate, the short-term infiltration rates should be converted to long-term infiltration rates using reduction factors ranging from 3 to 12 depending upon the degree of infiltrate quality, maintenance access and frequency, site variability, subsurface stratigraphy variation, hydraulic gradient, volume of water to be disposed of and other factors. The small-scale percolation testing cannot model the complexity of the effect of interbedded layers of different soil composition and our test results should be considered index values of infiltration rate.

We have applied a correction factor of 4 and calculated long-term infiltration rates of the percolation tests. For percolation tests P-2 and P-3, the estimated percolation rates are negligible. For percolation test P-1, the long-term rate of infiltration is on the order of 0.3 in/hr or less. The calculations are presented in Appendix C. Based on the boring logs, the

fines contents of the soils are high and a low infiltration rate should be expected. The boring logs presented in Appendix B indicate the fines contents of the soils for different depths.

Other Geotechnical Considerations

The areas of the percolation tests were found to be underlain by alluvium materials consisting predominantly of clay at shallow depth, which are relatively impervious and are not conducive to infiltration and other methods of storm water disposal should be considered (e.g. bioswale). Groundwater was encountered at relatively shallow depths in the proposed WQ Basin. Therefore, onsite infiltration is not considered practical.

12.14 Asphalt Concrete (AC) Pavement

The required pavement structural sections depend on the expected wheel loads, volume of traffic, and subgrade soils. The characteristics of subgrade soils are determined by R-value testing. Based on soil classification and laboratory testing results, an R-value of 5 was selected for pavement design. The R-value may be confirmed by additional testing once pavement subgrade level has been reached, if necessary, at the time of construction. The following pavement sections were calculated based on assumed traffic indices of 4, 5, 6 and 7. The project Civil Engineer should determine the traffic index to be used for different areas of the site.

Traffic Index	Asphalt Thickness (Inches)	hickness Base Course (CAB) Thickness (Inches)	
4	3.0	7.0	
5	3.0	10.0	
6	3.5	13.0	
7	4.0	15.0	

 Table 8 – Alternative Pavement Sections for Vehicular Traffic

Base course material should consist of Crushed Aggregate Base (CAB) as defined by Section 200-2.2 of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction ("Greenbook"). Base course and asphalt concrete should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density of that material. Crushed Miscellaneous Base (CMB) may be used only if the supplier can demonstrate that the aggregate does not contain contaminated material and provide documentation to that effect.

The subgrade underlying the pavement areas should be prepared as discussed under the grading section of this report to remove all soft and dry soils present at subgrade level. Prior to fill placement, the exposed surface should be scarified to a minimum depth of 8 inches, moisture conditioned and compacted to at least 90% of the maximum dry density obtained per ASTM D1557. The subgrade should be in a "non-pumping" condition at the time of compaction. Prior to placement of the aggregate base, the moisture content of the upper foot of clay subgrade should be verified to be at least 125 percent of optimum moisture unless approved otherwise by the Geotechnical Engineer.

Any onsite surficial organic soils within landscaped/turf areas should not be used as subgrade materials. Where feasible, the overexcavation should be laterally extended a minimum of 2 feet beyond the perimeters and edges of parking areas, roadways and curbs. Any abandoned footing and/or underground concrete structure within the work limit should be removed entirely and the excavation should be backfilled to grade.

In order to increase pavement performance and extend the pavement life, concrete curbs and gutters could be deepened to extend below the base course material and be seated in the compacted subgrade. Priority should be given to areas where heavier traffic is anticipated and where irrigation may be greater. The intent of deepening the curbs and gutters is to form a "cut-off" wall to reduce the amount of water flow through the base course material from adjacent landscaped areas. Subgrade soils, which become soaked as a result of water flowing through base course material, can reduce the life of the pavement and cause heaving of the pavement. The curbs should be deepened to an elevation of at least 6 inches below the bottom level of the proposed base course section. Proper pavement surface drainage and maintenance is important since longer lasting pavements are associated with soils that do not become excessively moist or soils that have a low expansion potential below the aggregate base.

12.15 Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) Vehicular Pavement

The grading recommendations for vehicular PCC pavement are provided in Section 12.2 of this report. Base course material used in the pavement sections should consist of Crushed Aggregate Page 29 of 35

Base (CAB) as defined by Section 200-2.2 of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Greenbook 2018) or by Crushed Miscellaneous Base (CMB), as defined by Section 200-2.4 of the Greenbook. The aggregate base course should be compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density of that material. Better pavement performance is anticipated where the soils immediately below the aggregate base are prevented from gaining moisture.

The subgrade underlying the pavement areas should be prepared as discussed under the grading section of this report to remove all soft and dry soils present at subgrade level. Prior to placement of the aggregate base, the moisture content of the upper foot of clay subgrade should be verified to be at least 130 percent of optimum moisture unless approved otherwise by the Geotechnical Engineer. The subgrade should be in a "non-pumping" condition at the time of aggregate base placement.

The recommendations presented herein should be used for design and construction of the slabs and pertaining grading work underlying vehicular pavement areas. A minimum modulus of rupture of 550 psi for concrete has been assumed in designing of the PCC pavement sections; this corresponds to a concrete compressive strength of approximately 4,000 psi at 28 days. A qualified design professional should specify traffic index for different areas of the site.

Traffic Index	Portland Cement Concrete Thickness (inches)	Base Course (CAB) Thickness (inches)	
4	6.5	4.0	
5	7.0	4.0	
6	7.5	4.0	
7	8.0	4.0	

Table 9 - PCC Pavement Sections

The following recommendations should also be incorporated into the design and construction of PCC pavement sections:

• The pavement sections should be reinforced at the discretion of the owner with No. 3 rebar spaced at 18 inches on centers each way to reduce the amount of shrinkage cracking.

- Joint spacing in feet should not exceed twice the slab thickness in inches, e.g., 10 feet for a 5inch thick slab. Regardless of slab thickness, joint spacing should not exceed 10 feet due to the presence of expansive soil.
- Layout joints should form square panels. When this is not practical, rectangular panels can be used if the long dimension is no more than 1.5 times the short one.
- Control joints should have a depth of at least 1/4 the slab thickness, e.g., 1 inch for a 4-inch thick slab.
- Where the pavement does not abut against a curb or gutter, an 8-inch thickened edge should be constructed if landscaping is anticipated.
- Pavement section design assumes that proper maintenance such as sealing, and repair of localized distress will be performed on a periodic basis to prevent the subgrade soils to gain moisture.

Exterior concrete slabs for pedestrian traffic or landscape should be at least four inches thick. Weakened plane joints should be located at intervals of no more than about 6 feet unless slabs thicker than 4 inches are used. A thickened edge (10-inch deep) is recommended at the exterior edge of the flatwork adjacent to landscape subject to irrigation. The upper 18 inches of the clay subgrade should have a moisture content of at least 130 percent of optimum prior to placement of the granular soils. The concrete strength for pedestrian walkways should be at least 2,500 psi unless determined otherwise by the Structural Engineer.

13. SOIL EXPANSIVITY

The subsurface soils encountered in our borings and test pits consist mostly of lean to fat clay. These types of material generally have a moderate to very high susceptibility to expansion when facing seasonal cycles of saturation/desiccation. The expansion index test indicated a range between about 20 and 162 with an average of about 79, which is generally considered a moderate to high expansion potential. The plasticity index test also indicated a moderate expansion potential; however, the expansion potential should be expected to vary throughout the site. As such, the recommendations provided in this report regarding drainage system, moisture content during compaction, presoaking if needed, the use of sand/aggregate base blankets and other pertinent recommendations for site improvements should be incorporated into the design and construction.

14. SOIL CORROSIVITY

The corrosion potential of the onsite materials to steel and buried concrete was preliminarily evaluated. Laboratory testing was performed on selected soil samples to evaluate pH, minimum resistivity, chloride and soluble sulfate content. These tests are only an indicator of soil corrosivity for the samples tested. Other soils found on site may be more, less, or of a similar corrosive nature. Imported fill materials should be tested to confirm that their corrosion potential is not significantly more severe than those noted. The test results are presented in the following table.

Boring	Depth (ft)	Minimum Resistivity (ohm-cm)	рН	Soluble Sulfate Content (ppm)	Soluble Chloride Content (ppm)
B-6	0 - 2	288	8.2	819	910
TP-4	2.5 - 3	1,550	7.4	112	65
B-18	0-2	1,770	7.9	147	25
B-23	2 - 4	1,740	7.8	215	45
TP-27	3.5 – 4.2	1,310	8.5	226	145

Table 10 - Corrosion Test Results

Based on the minimum resistivity results, some of the near-surface site soils are severely corrosive towards buried ferrous metals. The concentrations of soluble sulfates indicate that the potential of sulfate attack on concrete in contact with the onsite soils is "negligible" based on ACI 318 Table 4.3.1. Cement Type II may be used in the concrete. Maximum water-cement ratios are not specified for the sulfate concentrations; however, the Structural Engineer should select a concrete with appropriate strength.

Further interpretation of the corrosivity test results, including the resistivity value, and providing corrosion design and construction recommendations are the purview of a corrosion specialists/consultants.

15. OBSERVATION AND TESTING

This report has been prepared assuming that Koury Engineering & Testing, Inc. will perform all geotechnical-related field observations and testing. If the recommendations presented in this report
are utilized, and observation of the geotechnical work is performed by others, the party performing the observations must review this report and assume responsibility for the recommendations contained herein. That party would then assume the title of "Geotechnical Consultant of Record". A representative of the Geotechnical Consultant should be present to observe all grading operations as well as all footing excavations. The proposed import soils should be tested prior to transportation on site.

16. CLOSURE

The findings and recommendations presented in this report were based on the results of our field and laboratory investigations, combined with professional engineering experience and judgment. The report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practice. We make no other warranty, either expressed or implied. Subsurface variations between borings and test pits should be anticipated. Koury should be notified if subsurface conditions are encountered, which differ from those described in this report since updated recommendations may be required. Samples obtained during this investigation will be retained in our laboratory for a period of 45 days from the date of this report and will be disposed after this period.

Should you have any questions concerning this submittal, or the recommendations contained herewith, please do not hesitate to call our office.

Respectfully submitted,

KOURY ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.

Jacques B. Roy P.E. G.E.

Principal Geotechnical Engineer



Distribution: 1. Addressee (1 wet stamped copy + a pdf copy via e-mail) 2. File (B)

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Maps and Plans

Vicinity Map – Figure A-1 Field Exploration Points – Figures A-2a and A-2b Cross Section A-A' – Figure A-2c Preliminary Remedial Grading Maps – Figure A-2d and A-2e Geology Map – Figure A-3 Fault Map – Figure A-4 Flood Map – Figure A-5 Response Spectrum – Figure A-6

Appendix B: Field Exploratory Boring and Test Pit Logs

Borings B-1 through B-28

Test Pits 1 through 72 (Test Pit 34 was omitted)

Appendix C: Laboratory Test Results and Calculations

REFERENCES

- 1. California Division of Mines and Geological Survey, 1998, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 045 for the Prado Dam 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, California.
- 2. California Division of Mines and Geological Survey, 2003, Earthquake Fault Zones, Prado Dam Quadrangle, May 1, 2003.
- 3. City of Chino General Plan, Safety Element, 2010, Final Report.
- 4. <u>http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov</u>
- 5. Standards Specifications for Public Works Construction, 2018, Public Works Standard, Inc.
- 6. US Army Corps of Engineers, Laboratory Soils Testing, Engineering Manual EM 1110-2-1906, dated 8/26/86.
- 7. US Army Corps of Engineers, Geotechnical Investigations, Engineering Manual EM 1110-1-1804, dated 1/01/2001.
- 8. US Army Corps of Engineers, Settlement Analysis, Engineering Manual EM 1110-1-1904, dated 9/30/1990.
- 9. US Army Corps of Engineers, Bearing Capacity of Soils, Engineering Manual EM 1110-1-1905, dated 10/30/1992.
- 10. US Army Corps of Engineers, Slope Stability, Engineering Manual EM 1110-2-1902, dated 10/31/2003.
- 11. US Army Corps of Engineers, Groundwater Hydrology, Engineering Manual EM 1110-2-1421, dated 02/28/1999.
- United States Geological Survey, 2006, Geology Map of the San Bernardino & Santa Ana 30' X 60' Quadrangles, California, Version 1.0, compiled by Douglas M. Morton et al, 2006.
- 13. United States Geological Survey, 2015, Prado Dam Quadrangle, 7.5-Minute Series (Topographic) map Quadrangle, California.
- 14. USGS, U. S. Seismic Design Maps, Web Site <u>http://earthquake.usgs.gov/designmaps/</u><u>us/application.php.</u>
- 15. USGS, Earthquake Hazards Program, Unified Hazard Tool, Web Site <u>http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/.</u>

APPENDIX A

Maps and Drawings











S ----> N





	Figure:
on B-B'	A-2d





			2yf _{3a}		
	Oyaa 14		aa		
10 10 10 10 10 10	Qvofa Qvf3a 200 200 200				
Tpy	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Qvot		Qvofa	Qvoa
	Tpsc 7			15	
			Qyaa		a
LEGEND Voung alluvial-valley deported Reference: Geologic Map of the San Be	osits Very old alluvial-fan deposits	on 1.0 Compiled by	Douglas M. Morton ar	0 1 1 Id Fred K. Miller, 2006	2 Mile
	Project Name:	Project No.:	18-0817	Drawing Title:	Figure:
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.	Construction Level Study	Date: O	ctober 2018	Geology Map	A-3



EXPLANATION

Fault traces on land are indicated by solid lines where well located, by dashed lines where approximately located or inferred, and by dotted lines where concealed by younger rocks or by lakes or bays. Fault traces are queried where continuation or existence is uncertain. Concealed faults in the Great Valley are based on maps of selected subsurface horizons, so locations shown are approximate and may indicate structural trend only. All offshore faults based on seismic reflection profile records are shown as solid lines where well defined, dashed where inferred, queried where uncertain.

KOURY	OC Prado	-		Eault Man Logond	× 40
	Project Name:	Project No.:	18-0817	Drawing Title:	Figure:
///////////////////////////////////////	Brawley Seismic Zone, a linear zone of seismic San Andreas faults	micity locally up to	10 km wide associ	ated with the releasing step between	the Imperial and
	rocks.		su uctural domains	s. may mulcate disconti- nutites bety	veen oasement
/?	Fault Zoning Act. This Act requires the State Structural discontinuity (offshore) separating	e Geolo- gist to delir	eate zones to enco	ompass faults with Holocene displac	ement.
491	Numbers refer to annotations listed in the ap ment, and pertinent references including Ear	pendices of the acco thquake Fault Zone	mpanying report. maps where a fau	Annotations include fault name, age It has been zoned by the Alquist-Pric	e of fault displace- blo Earthquake
		OTHEI	R SYMBOL	S	
	.2. Low angle fault (barbs on upper plate). Faul offshore faults, barbs simply indicate a rever	lt surface generally o se fault regardless o	lips less than 45° f steepness of dip	but locally may have been subseque	ntly steepened. On
t	-? - Arrow on fault indicates direction of dip.				
↓	-? - Arrows along fault indicate relative or appar	ent direction of later	al movement.		
•	A Bar and ball on downthrown side (relative or	DDITIONAL r apparent).	L FAULT SY	MBOLS	
	2. Pre-Quaternary fault (older that 1.6 million y category because the source of mapping used	years) or fault without d was of reconnaiss	at recognized Qua ace nature, or was	ternary displacement. Some faults as not done with the object of dating fa	re shown in this ult displacements.
	years; possible exceptions are faults which d based on Fault Map of California, 1975. See	isplace rocks of und Bulletin 201, Apper	ifferenti- ated Plic ndix D for source of	-Pleistocene age. Unnumbered Quat data.	ternary faults were
	Quaternary fault (age undifferentiated). Most	be younger, but lack t faults of this categor	or younger overly ory show evidence	of displacement some- time during	the past 1.6 millior
	Late Quaternary fault displacement (during g	past 700,000 years).	Geomorphic evide	ence similar to that described for Ho	locene faults ex-
(ponds, scarps showing little erosion, or the fores, and triangular faceted spurs. Recency of	ollowing features in faulting offshore is	Holocene age dep based on the inter	osits: offset stream courses, linear s preted age of the youngest strata disp	carps, shutter ridg- placed by faulting.
	Holocana fault displacement (during part 11	700 years) without	historic record Ge	oomorphic evidence for Holocene fo	ulting includes sag
1969 	causative earthquake indicated. Squares to ri occurred (creep either continuous or intermit	ght and left of date i ttent between these e	ndicate termi- nal end points).	points between which triggered crea	ep slippage has
CREEP	tive locations where fault creep has been obs	served and recorded.	that has been trigg	vared by an earthquake on some oth	ar fault. Date of
1992	Fault that exhibits fault creep slippage. Hach	ures indicate linear	extent of fault cree	ep. Annotation (creep with leader) in	dicates representa-
▶ 1951 ◀	No triangle by date indicates an intermediate	e point along fault br	eak.		
1838 ▷ < 1838	Deta has also de la friende de la destre la color de la destre la de	mack triangle indicat	es uncertain or es	limated location of rupture terminal	on point.
1906 ► < 1906	A triangle to the right or left of the date indic	cates termination poi	nt of observed sur	face displacement. Solid red triangle	e indicates known
	earthquakes, e.g. extensive ground breakage, of the associated earthquake is indicated. Wh movement may be indicated, especially if ea (b) fault creep slippage - slow ground displac (c) displaced survey lines.	, not on the White W here repeated surface rlier reports are not cement usually with	folf fault, caused be ruptures on the s well documented a out accompanying	by the Arvin-Tehachapi earthquake o ame fault have occurred, only the da as to location of ground breaks. a earthquakes.	f 1952). The date te of the latest
?	 Fault along which historic (last 200 years) di (a) a recorded earthquake with surface runtur 	isplacement has occur	arred and is associ	ated with one or more of the following ed surface breaks caused by ground	ng: shaking during
	FAULT CLASSIFICAT	ION COLOR	CODE (Ind	licating Recency of Mov	vement)

October 2018

Date:

Construction Level Study





S s =	2.001 g	S _{мs} =	2.001 g	S _{DS} =	1.334 g
S 1 =	0.732 g	S _{м1} =	1.099 g	S _{D1} =	0.732 g

For information on how the SS and S1 values above have been calculated from probabilistic (risk-targeted) and deterministic ground motions in the direction of maximum horizontal response, please return to the application and select the "2009 NEHRP" building code reference document.



For PGA_M, T_L , C_{RS} , and C_{R1} values, please view the detailed report.

Although this information is a product of the U.S. Geological Survey, we provide no warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy of the data contained therein. This tool is not a substitute for technical subject-matter knowledge.

KOURY	Project Name:	Project No.:	18-0817	Drawing Title:	Figure:
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.	OC Prado Construction Level Study	Date: OC	tober 2018	Response Spectrum	A-6

APPENDIX B

Field Exploratory Boring and Test Pit Logs

KEY TO LOGS

SOILS CLASSIFICATION									
	MAJOR DIVISIONS	;	GRAPHIC LOG	USCS SYMBOL	TYPICAL NAMES				
	GRAVELS	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
COARSE	UNAVEED	LESS THAN 5% FINES		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
SOILS	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILT MIXTURES				
	LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE	MORE THAN 12% FINES		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXTURES				
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SANDS	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
	SANDS	LESS THAN 5% FINES		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES				
	50% OR MORE OF COARSE	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES				
	SMALLER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE	MORE THAN 12% FINES		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES				
	SILTS AN	ID CLAYS		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY				
FINE GRAINED SOILS				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS				
		LESS MAN 30		OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY				
	SILTS AN	ID CLAYS		МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SANDY OR GRAVELLY ELASTIC SILTS				
50% OK MORE OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	י דואו ו חוווס			СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS				
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS				
HIGH	SOILS		PT	PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS					

GRAIN SIZES									
		SAND	GRAVEL		VEL				
SILT AND CLAT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE	COBBLES	BOULDERS		
	#200	#40	#10	#4	3/4"		12"		
SIEVE SIZES									

KEY TO LOGS (continued)

SPT/CD BLOW COUNTS VS. CONSISTENCY/DENSITY										
FINE-GRAINED S	OILS (SILT	S, CLAYS, etc.)	GRANULAR SOILS (S	GRANULAR SOILS (SANDS, GRAVELS, etc.)						
CONSISTENCY	*BLC	DWS/FOOT	PELATIVE DENSITY	*BLOWS/F	TOOT					
CONSISTENCT	SPT	CD	RELATIVE DENSIT	SPT	CD					
SOFT	0-4	0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-4	0-8					
FIRM	5-8	5-9	LOOSE	5-10	9-18					
STIFF	9-15	10-18	MEDIUM DENSE	11-30	19-54					
VERY STIFF	16-30	19-39	DENSE	31-50	55-90					
HARD	over 30	over 39	VERY DENSE	over 50	over 90					

* CONVERSION BETWEEN CALIFORNIA DRIVE SAMPLERS (CD) AND STANDARD PENETRATION TEST (SPT) BLOW COUNT HAS BEEN CALCULATED USING "FOUNDATION ENGINEERING HAND BOOK" BY H.Y. FANG. (VALUES ARE FOR 140 Lbs HAMMER WEIGHT ONLY)

DESCRIPTIVE ADJECTIVE VS. PERCENTAGE								
DESCRIPTIVE ADJECTIVE	PERCENTAGE REQUIREMENT							
TRACE	1 - 10%							
LITTLE	10 - 20%							
SOME	20 - 35%							
AND	35 - 50%							

*THE FOLLOWING "DESCRIPTIVE TERMINOLOGY/ RANGES OF MOISTURE CONTENTS" HAVE BEEN USED FOR MOISTURE CLASSIFICATION IN THE LOGS.

APPROXIMATE MOISTURE CONTENT DEFINITION						
DEFINITION	DESCRIPTION					
DRY	Dry to the touch; no observable moisture					
SLIGHTLY MOIST	Some moisture but still a dry appearance					
MOIST	Damp, but no visible water					
VERY MOIST	Enough moisture to wet the hands					
WET	Almost saturated; visible free water					

KOURY ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.							Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 o Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	.: B-1 f:2
imple No.	Aoisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6")epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	toil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevaHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :	tion: Geoboden, Inc. 05/17/2017
Sa	° 2 C	I We	Blo		Gra	S	Description	Additional Tests
1	21.9			0	X		Fill: Sandy Lean CLAY, dry wood chips at the surface	#200 Wash Fines = 73%
2	15.2		3 7 11		X		ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, very stiff, moist, brown with white inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 4 tsf
3	23.0	95	4 16 16	5		CL		#200 Wash Fines = 66% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	17.8		3 6 10				Older ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 51% PP = 4.0 tsf
5	25.7	104	2 7 19			SM	Silty SAND; layers of sandy silt, medium dense, moist to very moist, yellowish brown and pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 43%
6	25.7		3 5 8			с∟∕сн	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 3.5 tsf
7	21.6	108	3 9 18	20		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 69% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
8	19.4		6 10 17	25 				#200 Wash Fines = 70% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
9	34.7	92	6 15 20	30 <mark></mark> 30 		СН	Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, pale brown with some yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 87% PP=3.2-3.5 tsf
10	22.7		7 15 15	35		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown with some green	#200 Wash Fines = 61% PP = 3.5 tsf
				_	Ground	lwater	SPT Bulk 🖾 CD 🗖 SPT 🗖	3

-	K	DU	RY					Project No. : 18-0817Boring No.Project Name : OC PradoBoring No.	.: B-1
	& TES	STING	, INC.					Sheet: 2 or	f:2
				u	6		Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Eleval	ion:	
e No	ture t (%	Jnit (pci	oer 6	1 (ft)	ocati	c Lo	ype (S)	Hammer Weight: 140 lbs Drop Height: 30" Drilling Co.:	Geoboden, Inc.
Idmi	Aoist nten	Jry L ight	1 smo	epth	ple L	aphio	ioil T (USC	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Drilled :	05/17/2017
Sa	² °	9W	Blo		Sam	G	S	Description	Additional Tests
11	20.1		15 33	40				Layers of sandy silt	#200 Wash Fines = 50%
			50/6"	_			CL		PP = 4.5 tst
								Eat CLAX: hard maint to your maint yellowish brown	
12	28.8		7	45 -	M			Fat CLAT, hard, moist to very moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash
12	20.0		33		Α				PP = 3.5 tsf
				_					
				_			СН		
			12	50-					#200 Wash
13	29.2	97	17 27	_					Fines = 88% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
				55				Silty SAND: layors of sandy silt yory danse, moist light alive	
14	21.0	111	11 22		X			brown	#200 Wash Fines = 44%
			42		Π		SM		
				_					#000 Mash
15	20.3		12 24	60			CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, yellowish brown	#200 WashFines = 54%PP = 4.5 tsf
			50/6"					Sandy SILT: lavers of silty sand, hard, vellowish brown	
				_			ML		
				_					
16	18.6	109	25 44	65 —	M			Poorly Graded SAND with SILT; very dense, wet, light olive brown	#200 Wash
	. 0.0	100	50/6"	-	μ				Fines = 12%
				_			SP-SM		
				_					
			13	70					#200 Wash
17	18.8	109	26 28	-					Fines = 6%
				-	$\left[\right]$			End of Boring @ 71'6"	
				-					
				75					
				`					
				_					
				-					
				00	1	Ground	lwater .	🔽 📕 Bulk 🖾 CD 🔳 SPT 🔀	

(RING , INC.				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 o Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	.: B-2 f:2
imple No.	Aoisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ows per 6"	epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	ioil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevaHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :	tion: Geoboden, Inc. 05/17/2017
Sa	° °	I We	Bld		Sam Gr	S	Description	Additional Tests
1	17.0			° _	X		Fill: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 71%
2	16.5		5 7 9		X		ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, concretions, brown with some white	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	21.8	107	2 5 6	5		CL		#200 Wash Fines = 81% PP=2.5-2.7 tsf
4	23.7		3 3 4		X		Sandy Lean CLAY; firm, very moist, brown with some white	#200 Wash Fines = 64% PP = 2.5 tsf
5	36.4	87	3 5 12			СН	Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
6	20.7		2 6 18	15 	X		Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown with some yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 2-2.7 tsf
7	22.8	109	8 14 14	20		CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, very moist, olive gray with some yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 3.5 tsf
8	24.4		8 5 6	25 	X		Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 73% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
9	16.3		10 20 36		<u> </u>	SM	Silty SAND; layers of clayey sand, fine, dense, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 35%
10	32.7		8 9 15	35 	X	ML	SILT; layers of lean clay (2-3" thick), very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP = 1.5-2.5 tsf
				40		CL		
					Ground	dwater	Bulk 🐹 CD 🔳 SPT 🔀	i

(ENG				2			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	b.: B-2
ample No.	Moisture ontent (%)	Dry Unit eight (pcf)	ows per 6"	Depth (ft)	nple Location	raphic Log	Soil Type (USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Eleva Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling Co. : Location : See Figure A-2 Date Drilled :	Geoboden, Inc. 05/17/2017
ũ	[–] ŏ	Ň	BI		San	อิ	0,	Description	Tests
11	21.2		5 9 17 8	40 45 _	V		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, caliche, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 51% PP = 4.2 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 63%
			14			Ground	water	End of Boring @ 46' 6" Groundwater encountered @ 31'	PP = 2.7 tsf

-(K ENG			-			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Shoot : 1	o.: B-3
nple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	vs per 6"	epth (ft)	le Location phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling Co. : Location : See Figure A-2	ation: Geoboden, Inc. : 05/17/2017
San	Con	DI	Blov	ð	Gra	So (I	Description	Additional Tests
1	17.6		6	° 			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, moist, black	#200 Wash Fines = 73%
-	2		24			CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; some concretions, very stiff, moist, light	#200 Wash Fines = 74%
3	26.2	102	7 15 23				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, light olive brown with white inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	20.8		3 5 9					#200 Wash Fines = 58% PP = 3-4 tsf
5	30.6	95	7 11 19			СН	Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 4.5 tsf
6	15.9		3 7 7			сц/сн	Lean to Fat CLAY; some concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, pale yellow to light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 3 - 3.5 tsf
7	18.4	113	6 13 19	_ _ 20 		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
							End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered	

(K		RY		_		Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	b.: B-4	
0.		STING cl)	, INC.	- -	ation) 0	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Eleva	of:1	
Imple N	Aoisture ntent (Dry Uni	ows per	epth (fi	<u>ple Locz</u> abhic L	oil Typ	Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling Co. : Location : See Figure A-2 Date Drilled :	Co. : Geoboden, Inc. illed : 05/17/2017	
Sa	≥ ° C	I We	Blo		Gra	S	Description	Additional Tests	
1	19.6			0			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, moist to very moist, very dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 70%	
2	21.5		4 4 4		X	CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown with some white specs	#200 Wash Fines = 63%	
3	3 18.3 113 6 5 - SC					SC	Clayey SAND; moist, mottled brown and yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 38%	
						SM	Silty SAND; fine, moist, yellowish brown		
4	4 30.9 2 4 7 7 10 CH						OLDER ALLUVIUM: Fat CLAY with SAND; some concretions and calcium carbonate, stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 81% PP = 3.5 tsf	
5						CL/C	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, green to grayish brown with white specs	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP=3.5-4.5 tsf	
6	27.3		3 4 7		X	СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 84% PP = 3-4 tsf	
7	16.2	122	3 14 25	- - 20		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 64% PP = >4 5 tsf	
				25 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered		

-(RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	b. : B-5
imple No.	Moisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6")epth (ft)	aphic Log	toil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :	ation: Geoboden, Inc. 05/18/2017
Sa	≥ ° S	I We	Blo		U U U	S	Description	Additional Tests
1	11.3						FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 65%
2	11.5		9 7 9			CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of subrounded gravel, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 51% PP = 3.5 4.5 tsf
3	11.9	126	5 13 17	5 <u></u> 		SC	Clayey SAND; trace of gravel, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 39% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	4 33.0 $7 \\ 13 \\ 18 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 $						OLDER ALLUVIUM	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	41.3	78	7 13 15				Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 4.5 tsf
6	34.4		335			СН	soft to firm, greenish gray	#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 0.75 tsf
7	28.1	102	5 8 11	20 		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 3.5 tsf
				25			End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered	

Very Big Big Big Big Big Big Big Big Big Big				RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	o.: B-6 of:1
$\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{1}$ 1	imple No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6")epth (ft)	aphic Log	toil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method :Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevHammer Weight :140 lbsDrop Height :30"Drilling Co.Location :See Figure A-2Date Drilled	vation: Geoboden, Inc. : 05/18/2017
18.1791 $P = 0.5$ <	S	≥ ° °	I We	Blc		Gra	S	Description	Additional Tests
2 21.5 106 $\frac{9}{15}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10$	1	8.1						FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, dry, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 74%
2 21.5 106 16 15 Image: Constraint of the second seco				9		Ň			#200 Wash
3 14.3 4 40.7 80 6 10 Fat CLAY: trace of gravel, layers of silty sand, stiff to very stiff, yellowish brown with white specs #200 Was Fines = 25 5 26.2 72 6 10 10 #200 Was Fines = 72 6 25.0 101 4 9 10 10 7 25.0 101 4 9 10 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2	21.5	106	16 15			CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; few concretions, very stiff, moist, mottled white with dark yellowish brown	Fines = 77%
4 40.7 80 $\frac{6}{12}$ $\frac{100}{14}$	3	14.3		4 6 7				Sandy Lean CLAY: trace of gravel, layers of silty sand, stiff to very stiff, yellowish brown with white specs	#200 Wash Fines = 52% PP = 4.5 tsf
5 26.2 72 $4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $10 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ $	4	40.7	80	6 9 12				Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP=3.5-3.8 tsf
6 25.0 101 $\frac{4}{8}$ $\frac{15}{11}$ CH stiff #200 Was 7 25.0 101 $\frac{4}{7}$ $\frac{20}{11}$ CL Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, light olive 7 25.0 $\frac{4}{7}$ $\frac{4}{13}$ $\frac{20}{11}$ End of Boring @ 21' 6' 8 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 9 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 9 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 10 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 10 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 11 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 120 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 13 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 14 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 15 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 16 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 16 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 17 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 18 $\frac{100}{11}$ $\frac{100}{11}$ 19 $\frac{100}{11}$ 10 $\frac{100}{11}$ 10 $\frac{100}{11}$ 10 $\frac{100}{11}$ 10 $\frac{100}{11}$	5							abundant concretions, calcium carbonate, stiff	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 1.5-2.5 tsf
7 25.0 4 7 13 20 CL Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown #200 Was Fines = 70 PP = 2.5'3 No groundwater encountered PP = 2.5'3	6	25.0	101	4 8 9				stiff	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 1.5-2 tsf
End of Boring @ 21'6" No groundwater encountered	7	25.0		4 7 13	20 <u>-</u>		CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 70% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
40 40					25			End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered	

(Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	ring No.: B-7
nple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	vs per 6"	epth (ft)	ne Location phic Log	il Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling Location : See Figure A-2	und Elevation: ing Co. : Geoboden, Inc. Drilled : 05/18/2017
Sar	Con	Vei	Blov	De	Gra	Sc (I	Description	Additional Tests
1	16.4	110	10	0 			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; few concretions, stiff, moist, dark vellowish brown with some white specs ALLUVIUM:	#200 Wash
1	10.4	119	28			CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; few concretions, very stiff, mo dark yellowish brown with some white inclusions	ist, $PP = >4.5 \text{ tsf}$
2	19.8		3 5 7	5			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; few concretions, very stiff, mo very moist, dark yellowish brown with some white specs	ist to #200 Wash Fines = 76% PP=3.5-4.5 tsf
3	31.5	90	2 3				Fat CLAY; firm, very moist, pale yellow with some brow	n #200 Wash Fines = 93%
4	34.5		4 3 4 5		K		calcium carbonate, stiff, pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 88%
5	29.8	95	5 6 9			СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, light oli brown	ive #200 Wash Fines = 81%
6	22.7		5 7 11	20				#200 Wash Fines = 82%
7	29.1	100	4 9 15	25			Sandy Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, mottled light brown and white	t olive #200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
8	24.0		22 12 15		K	CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, moist to very n light olive brown	noist, #200 Wash Fines = 65%
9	38.3	88	9 19 29			сн	Fat CLAY with SAND; small pockets of organic, stiff to stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	very #200 Wash Fines = 83% PP = 2-2.7 tsf
				40			Bulk 🕅 CD	SPT 🔀

		RING , INC.					Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	.: B-7 f:2
ample No. Moisture ontent (%)	Dry Unit eight (pcf)	ows per 6"	Jepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevaHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :	tion: Geoboden, Inc. 05/18/2017
°, s,	- ×	Bld		Sam	ē	0)	Description	Additional Tests
10 23.5		7 16 27	40	X		ML	Sandy SILT; layers silty sand and lean clay with sand, stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 69%
11 23.9	104	7 12 18	45			СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; thin lenses of sandy silt, stiff, moist to very moist, light yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 77% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
12 21.1	12 21.1 9 15 30 12 21.1 CL					CL	Sandy Lean Clay; very stiff, moist, light olive brown with some rusty brown	#200 Wash Fines = 63%
					Ground	water	Groundwater encountered @ 28' 0"	

$\left($			RY RING		-			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 o	.: B-8 f:2
mple No.	loisture itent (%)	hry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	Iphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevaHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :	tion: Geoboden, Inc. 05/22/2017
Sai	C or	D Wei	Blo	ă	Samp	Gra	s,)	Description	Additional Tests
1	18.9		7	0	8			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, very dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76%
2	18.4	111	8 12	-			CI	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; moist, stiff, very dark brown	Fines = 75% PP =1.5-2 tsf
3	15.5		5 7 15	5	X		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, calcium carbonate, very stiff to hard, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 70%
4	16.4	108	7 10					Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, olive brown	Fines = 73% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
5	5 22.1 5 12 CH						СН	Sandy Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist, pale yellow	#200 Wash Fines = 58%
			12					Lean to Fat CLAY; firm to stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown with some white inclusions	
6	18.5	109	18 50/5"	 15 			CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; few concretions, very stiff, moist, light olive brown with some white	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
7	22.8		15 15 25	20 	X		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY with SAND; few concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown with some white	#200 Wash Fines = 67%
8	33.9	93	9 16 41	25 <u>-</u> 			сн	Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
9	21.4		36 29 20	30 	X		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, white inclusion, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 62%
10	22.6	111	18 45 50	35 — 			SM	Silty SAND; fine, dense, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 21%
						Ground	water	7 Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 SPT 🔀	

Properties Sheet: 2 of : 2 93	/	K		RY					Project No. : 18-0817 Broject Name : OC Brado Boring	No.: B-8
view United and the set of a set of	(ENG & TE	INEE	RING					Sheet :	2 of: 2
a b b b b b c Additional Tests brown Test Processing brown 11 16.0 13 40 13 40 13 Silty SAND; fine to coarse, medium dense, moist, light olive Processing processing brown 12 20.5 13 40 13 SM Processing brown Processing brown Processing processing brown Processing processing brown Processing processing brown Processing processing brown Processing processing brown Processing processing brown Processing processing brown Processing procesprocessing procesprocessing procesprocessing proces	ample No.	Sample No. Moisture Dry Unit Veight (pcf) Slows per 6" Graphic Log Sail Type (USCS)							Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround BHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling CLocation : See Figure A-2Date Drill	Elevation: :o.: Geoboden, Inc. led: 05/22/2017
11 16.0 13 40 A Sity SAND; fine to coarse, medium dense, moist, light olive #200 Wash Fines = 15% 12 20.5 13 45 Tace of gravel #200 Wash Fines = 15% 12 20.5 14 45 Fines = 16% 14 14 14 150 160 15 14 14 150 160 16 16 160 160 17 160 160 160 18 160 160 160 19 160 160 160 10 160 160 160 11 160 160 160 19 165 160 160 10 165 160 160 10 165 170 160 10 165 170 160 10 165 170 160 11 160 170 160 11 160 170 160 11 160 170 160 11 160 170 170 11 170 170 170 11 170 170 170 <	Sa	≥ ° S	I We	Blc		Sam	Gra	S	Description	Additional Tests
12 20.5 Image: Trace of gravel Fines = 16% End of Boring @ 46'6' Groundwater encountered @ 33' 50	11	16.0		7 12 13	40 45	X		SM	Silty SAND; fine to coarse, medium dense, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 15% #200 Wash
End of Boring @ 46' 6' Groundwater encountered @ 33'	12	20.5			_	X			trace of gravel	Fines = 18%
Groundwater Groundwater Bulk CD ■ SPT							Ground	water	End of Boring @ 46' 6' Groundwater encountered @ 33'	

			RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	o.: B-9 of:1	
mple No.	foisture ntent (%)	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Ele Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling Co. Location : See Figure A-2 Date Drilled	ration: Geoboden, Inc. : 05/22/2017	
Sa	⊆ ⊆ C	Nei	Blo	D	Gra	Š,	Description	Additional Tests	
1	19.8	104	9 18	0 		CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, very dark brown ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist to very moist, few	#200 Wash Fines = 79%	
2	29.8		28 8 18 25	5		СН	Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, pale yellow with light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 88%	
3	33.6	88	7 9 17				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 3.0 tsf	
4	32.9		7 17 22				Sandy Fat CLAY; thin layers of sandy lean clay, stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 67% PP = 1.5 tsf	
5	22.8		12 14 17			СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 2.5 tsf	
6	44.6	79	9 16 26	20 			Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist, light olive brown with white	#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP=3.5-4.5 tsf	
				25 - - - - - - - - - -			End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered		

-	K		RY		a .			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Boring No.	: B-10
e No.	ure t (%)	Init (pcf)	Der 6"	(ft)	ocation	c Log	ype (S)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30"		ion: Geoboden, Inc.
ample	Moist onten	Dry L eight	l smo	Depth	ple L	aphi	Soil T (USC	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Drilled :	05/22/2017
ů	- ŭ	Ň	BI		San	ъ		Description		Additional Tests
1	12.9		40		\mathbb{X}			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown		#200 Wash Fines = 75%
2	13.9	121	12 25 37					Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, brown		#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	15.5		7 15 20	5	X		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowis	sh brown	#200 Wash Fines = 67% PP = 2.5 tsf
								OLDER ALLUVIUM:		
4	23.3	102	10 15	10				Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, olive brown		#200 Wash Fines = 86% PP=3.2-4.2 tsf
			19				CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist, light olive browhite specs	wn with	
5	37.5		15 25 25	15 <mark>-</mark> - - - -	X		СН	Fat CLAY; firm to stiff, moist to very moist, pale y	ellow	#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 1.5 tsf
6	23.6	106	9 19 22	20			CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, r moist, light olive brown with green	noist to very	#200 Wash Fines = 81% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
				25 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered		

KOURY ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.							Project No. : 18-0817 Boring No. : B-11 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 of : 1		.: B-11 f:1
mple No.	Aoisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	lepth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Drilling Co. : (Date Drilled :	t ion: Geoboden, Inc. 09/05/2017
Sa	∑ C	D We	Blo	Δ	Sam Gra	s	Description		Additional Tests
1	15.6			0	X		Fill: Sandy Lean CLAY; slightly moist, brown		#200 Wash Fines = 64% El = 52
2	16.8	104	3 12 18	. . .		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, very pale bro	own	#200 Wash Fines = 69% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	19.2		6 8 12	5	X	CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to ver to very moist, olive brown to pale brown inclusion	ery stiff, moist s	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	26.5	97	7 7 10				Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, pale brown w specks	<i>v</i> ith red	#200 Wash Fines = 92% PP = 1.7 - 2.2 tsf
5	21.7		6 6 8		X		concretions and soft zones		#200 Wash Fines = 97% PP = 2 - 4.5 tsf
6	27.5	124	1 5 10 4 6 7		X	СН	olive brown		#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 1 - 1.2 tsf consolodation #200 Wash Fines = 81% PP = 2.7 - 3.7 tsf
							End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered	SPT	

							Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Boring No. : B-12	
mple No.	oisture ry Unit (%) ght (pcf) ws per 6" oisture ws per 6" oisture oi				Iphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sheet: 1 O Drilling Method: Hollow Stem 6" Auger Sampling Method: Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Eleva Hammer Weight: 140 lbs Drop Height: 30" Drilling Co.: Location: See Figure A-2 Date Drilled:	Sheet : 1 of : 1 Ground Elevation: Drilling Co. : Geoboden, Inc. Date Drilled : 08/29/2018	
Sa	⊡ N	Wei	Blo	Ŭ mes	Gra	°,	Description	Additional Tests	
1	7.4		6				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, firm, slightly moist to moist, brown to dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 71% #200 Wash	
2	11.5	118	12 13 8 25 38	5		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark brown to yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 72% Fines = 4.5 tsf	
4	18.0		4 4 6				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 57% PP = 3-3.5 tsf	
5	38.0	84	6 9 9				Loop to Eat CLAV with SAND; firm to stiff, maint to your	#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 3.7 tsf	
6	28.9		2 2 2			с⊔∕сн	moist, grayish brown to yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 2.7-3.5 tsf	
7	21.8	100	10 7 8	20			Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, grayish brown with dark brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 65% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf	
8	21.7		11 7 6	25 		CL	6" layer of silty sand with trace of gravel	#200 Wash Fines = 51% PP = 2.5 tsf	
9	23.8		5 9 13	30 <u>-</u> 			Very stiff	#200 Wash Fines = 65% PP = 3-3.5 tsf	
				35 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			End of Boring @ 31' 6" No groundwater encountered Bulk⊠d CD■ SPT▼		

KOURY ENGINEERING ENGINEERING								Project No.: 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 of : 1	
mple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Eleva Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling Co. : Location : See Figure A-2 Date Drilled :	tion: Geoboden, Inc. 09/05/2018
Sai	C or	Vei Vei	Blo	ă	Samp	Grag So (L		Description	Additional Tests
1	10.2			0	\mathbb{X}			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, brown	#200 Wash Fines 72% EI = 47
2	11.7		8 9 15		Ā			ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, very stiff, moist, brown with pale brown inclusioins	#200 Wash Fines = 66% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	17.2	107	12 33 50/4"	5			CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean Clay; abundant concretions, hard, pale brown with very pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 71% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	22.1		4 5 8		X			olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	13.0	116	5 12 16				SC	Clayey SAND; fine medium dense, moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 33% Consolidation
6	31.1		356		X		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, light yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 1.5-2.5 tsf
7	23.1	107	4 7 16	20					#200 Wash Fines = 70% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
				25				End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered	
-(RY RING	-			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet :	No.: B-14 1 of :1	
----------	-----------------------	------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------	-----------	-------------------	--	---	
mple No.	Noisture ntent (%)	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	aphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround EHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling CLocation : See Figure A-2Date Drill	Elevation: o.: Geoboden, Inc. ed: 08/30/2018	
Sa	Col Col	Wei	Blo	Ŭ	Gra	ů,	Description	Additional Tests	
1	7.3			0			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; slightly moist, powdery, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 72%	
2	7.7	114	14 16 17			CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf	
3	18.6		6 8 13				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, caliche stringers, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 73% PP = 4.5 tsf	
4	34.7	88	5 12 22					#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 4.5 tsf	
5	39.2		4 6 7			СН	Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowis brown	n #200 Wash Fines = 89% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf	
6	26.7	98	6 7 10	- - - 15 - -		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with rusty pockets	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 2-2.5 tsf Consolidation	
				20			End of Boring @ 16' 6" No groundwater encountered		

$\left($			RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado She	oring No.: B-15 eet:1 of:1
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	hry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft) de Location	aphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGroHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"DrillLocation : See Figure A-2Date	bund Elevation: Iling Co. : Geoboden, Inc. te Drilled : 08/29/2018
Saı	⊆ G	D Wei	Blo	Samp Samp	Gra	S, C	Description	Additional Tests
1 2	11.8 13.5		34	°		CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; fragments, debris, rock, some orga concretions, firm to stiff, slightly moist, dark brown	anics, #200 Wash Fines = 67% #200 Wash Fines = 72%
			5				ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; firm to stiff, moist, brown	PP = 4.5 tsf
3	16.1	118	8 10 10	5 <u></u> 		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist brown	#200 Wash Fines = 63% t, pale PP = 4.5 tsf Consolidation
4	29.7		3 4 7				Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, light of brown	live #200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	33.9	90	8 15 17				Fat CLAY; little gravel/concretions, very stiff, light olive	#200 Wash Fines = 92% PP = 4.5 tsf Gravel = 14%
6	31.7		3 3 4			СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 86% PP = 2-3 tsf Consolidation t to very
7	29.1	96	4 7 10	20				#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
				25			End of boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered	
	-				-		Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT

$\left($			RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger		.: B-16 f:2
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	rry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	Iphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Hollow Cleff of AdgetSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround EleveHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :		t ion: Geoboden, Inc. 08/29/2018
Sai	⊆ G	D Wei	Blo	D	Gra	S S	Description		Additional Tests
1	7.2			°			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; slightly moist, brown		#200 Wash Fines = 76%
2	14.8	121	10 8 8			CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, trace of concr moist, dark yellowish brown	etions, stiff,	#200 Wash Fines = 69% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	18.3		4 5 6	5 - 2			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, olive brown with pale brown		#200 Wash Fines = 64% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	37.7	97	4 6 11						#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP = 4-4 5 tsf
5	35.1		2 4 7			СН	Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, olive with mottled dark brown		Consolidation #200 Wash Fines = 92% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
6	21.5	110	7 13 15				Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, o mottled dark brown	live with	#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 4.5 tsf Consolidation
7	26.1		4 5 8		X	CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown		#200 Wash Fines = 86% PP= 3.5 -4 tsf
8	18.6	114	5 15 20	25 - - - - - - - - - - - -		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, very stiff, mois brown with reddish brown	st, light olive	#200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 4.5 tsf Consolidation
9	18.2		5 11 14	30 -)					#200 Wash Fines = 46%
10	22.2	103	12 28 50	35 <mark>-</mark> - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		SC	Clayey SAND; layers of sandy clay, concretions, r dense, moist, light olive brown	nedium	#200 Wash Fines = 34%

Sheet: 2 of: Sheet: 2 of: Origing Method: Hollow Stem 8' Auger Sampling Method: Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Elevatio Description 11 16.0 7 6 9	.: B-16
o S ā T ā ā T Description 11 16.0 26 40 40 SC Clayey Sand; layers of sandy clay, concretions, medium dense, moist, light olive brown 12 10.0 134 7 45 SC Clayey Sand; layers of sandy clay, concretions, medium dense, moist, light olive brown 12 10.0 134 7 45 SC Poorly Graded SAND with SILT and GRAVEL; fine to coarse, very moist, grayish brown 13 23.3 50/6" 50 SC End of Boring @ 51'6" Groundwater encountered @ 44' 60 1 65 1 65 1 65 1	ion: Geoboden, Inc. 08/29/2018
11 16.0 7 40 40 Sc Clayey Sand; layers of sandy clay, concretions, medium dense, moist, light olive brown 12 10.0 134 7 18 50 50 13 23.3 50/6" 50 50 Find of Boring @ 51' 6" 14 55 1 60 1 60	Additional Tests
12 10.0 134 7 18 50 45 14 50 Poorly Graded SAND with SILT and GRAVEL; fine to coarse, very moist, grayish brown 13 23.3 31 50/6" 50 End of Boring @ 51'6" Groundwater encountered @ 44' 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 16 14 14 14 17 150 14 14 18 14 150 14 19 14 150 14 19 150 14 150 19 16 16 16 10 16 16 16 11 16 16 16 11 16 16 16 11 16 16 16 11 16 16 16 11 16 16 16 11 16 16 16	#200 Wash Fines 40%
13 23.3 31 50/6" End of Boring @ 51' 6" Groundwater encountered @ 44' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< th=""><th>#200 Wash Fines = 9%</th></t<>	#200 Wash Fines = 9%
End of Boring @ 51' 6" Groundwater encountered @ 44' 55	#200 Wash Fines = 9%

view uning <		K ENG & TE		RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	Boring No. Sheet : 1 of	: B-17 :1	
is - S is is <t< td=""><td>ample No.</td><td>Moisture Intent (%)</td><td>Dry Unit ∳ight (pcf)</td><td>ws per 6"</td><td>Jepth (ft) ble Location</td><td>aphic Log</td><td>soil Type (USCS)</td><td>Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2</td><td>Ground Elevat Drilling Co. : (Date Drilled :</td><td colspan="2">round Elevation: rilling Co. : Geoboden, Inc. ate Drilled : 08/30/2018</td></t<>	ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ∳ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	Jepth (ft) ble Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Drilling Co. : (Date Drilled :	round Elevation: rilling Co. : Geoboden, Inc. ate Drilled : 08/30/2018	
1 11.6 11	Se	ိ ပိ	Ne I	Blo	Sam	Gr	0	Description		Additional Tests	
1 11.6 11				11				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, slightly moist, very dark b	brown	#200 Wleeb	
2 13.3 3 24.0 103 $\frac{6}{12}$ $\frac{6}{112}$ $\frac{6}{$	1	11.6	116	15 16			CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, very stiff, moist to moist, olive brown with white inclustions	slightly	#200 Wash Fines = 81% PP = 4.5 tsf	
3 24.0 103 62 17 4 10 33.8 4 8 10 4 4 8 10 4 4 8 10 4 10 4 <	2	13.3		5 6 7				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, very pale br zones of olive brown	own with	#200 Wash Fines = 66% PP = 4.5 tsf	
4 33.8 4 10 14 Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown with white and reddish brown 5 35.9 86 111 15 14 15 15 35.9 86 114 15 16 End of Boring @ 16' 6' No groundwater encountered 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 30 1	3	24.0	103	6 12 17						#200 Wash Fines = 89% PP = 4.5 tsf	
5 35.9 86 11 14 16 15 14 16 End of Boring @ 16' 6" No groundwater encountered 1 20 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 25 1 1 1 1 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 31 30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>4</td> <td>33.8</td> <td></td> <td>4 6 8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>CL/CH</td> <td>Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very brown with white and reddish brown</td> <td>moist, olive</td> <td>#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 4.2-4.5 tsf</td>	4	33.8		4 6 8			CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very brown with white and reddish brown	moist, olive	#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 4.2-4.5 tsf	
End of Boring @ 16' 6" No groundwater encountered	5	35.9	86	11 14 16	15 <u></u> 					#200 Wash Fines = 91% PP = 4.5 tsf	
					- 20 - - 25 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			End of Boring @ 16 6" No groundwater encountered			

$\left($			RY RING	·	-			Project No. : 18-0817 Boring N Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	o.: B-18 of:1	
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	bry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	Iphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. 32Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled	ation: Geoboden, Inc. : 08/30/2018	
Sal	Col M	D Wei	Blo	ă	Samp	Gra	s,)	Description	Additional Tests	
1	7.3			0	\mathbb{X}			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; slightly moist, crumbly, brown	#200 Wash Fines = 80% Corrosivity	
2	7.8	113	17 22 23				CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 80% PP = 4.5 tsf	
3	14.0		5 5 7	5	X			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 58%	
4	4.3	111	11 24 14				SP-SM	Poorly Graded SAND with SILT; fine to coarse, medium dense, grayish brown with reddish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 11%	
5	32.4		2 4 7	10 <u> </u>	X		СН	Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, gray with reddish brown and white	#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP = 1.5-2.5 tsf	
6	26.4	100	6 7 8	15 <u>-</u> 			сц/сн	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 83% PP = 2.3-2.6 tsf	
7	20.1		4 8 12	 20	X		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 66% PP = 2.4 tsf	
								End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered		

Drilling Method : Holivo Stent 8' Auger origing of the second se				RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	o.: B-19 of:1
6 2 3 3 0 0 0 Description Add 1 5.4 12 5.5 112 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1	mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	bry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround ElevHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled	ation: Geoboden, Inc. : 08/30/2018
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sai	Col	D Wei	Blo	ă	Samp Gra	s, C	Description	Additional Tests
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	5.4			0	X		FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76%
3 13.3 3 3 5 73 10 <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>10.5</td><td>112</td><td>5 6 9</td><td></td><td></td><td>CL</td><td>ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown</td><td>#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf</td></td<>	2	10.5	112	5 6 9			CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
4 29.8 93 73 10 5 10 10 10 6 22.1 109 6 10 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>13.3</td><td></td><td>3 5 8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with white inclusions</td><td>#200 Wash Fines = 70% PP = 4.5 tsf</td></t<>	3	13.3		3 5 8				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with white inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 70% PP = 4.5 tsf
5 33.3 5 10 10 CH Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, pale brown #20 6 22.1 109 6 12 10 15 CL Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, light olive brown #20 7 12 15 15 CL Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, light olive brown #20 8 22.1 109 6 12 15 No groundwater encountered	4	29.8	93	7 13 17					#200 Wash Fines = 96% PP = 4.0-4.2 tsf
6 22.1 109 6 15 CL Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, light olive brown #20 7 12 15 15 15 16 16'6' 109 12 15 16 16'6' No groundwater encountered	5	33.3		5 7 8		X	СН	Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 4.5 tsf
End of Boring @ 16' 6" No groundwater encountered	6	22.1	109	6 12 12	15 <u>-</u>		CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 84% PP = 4.5 tsf
40					20 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			End of Boring @ 16' 6" No groundwater encountered	

			RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	.: B-20 f:1	
mple No.	loisture tent (%)	rry Unit ght (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft) le Location	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Drilling Co. : (Date Drilled :	t ion: Geoboden, Inc. 08/30/2018
Sai	⊆ ⊆	D Wei	Blo	De Samp	Gra	S S	Description		Additional Tests
1	9.4		9				Fill: Lean CLAY with SAND; crumbly, slightly moist,	dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 77%
2	9.4		10 11				ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, slightly moist, with white specks	dark brown	Fines = 77%
3	11.6	119	20 28 33	5		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff to hard brown with white specks	d, moist, dark	#200 Wash Fines = 69% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	19.3		4 7 12				Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, sti brown with white specks	ff, moist,	#200 Wash Fines = 84% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	28.9	94	9 11 13	15 <mark></mark>		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, light olive brown	moist to very	#200 Wash Fines = 84% PP = 2.5-2.7 tsf
6	26.9		5 6 8	20 <u>-</u> 			concretions		#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 1-1.5 tsf
				25			End of Boring @ 21' 6" No groundwater encountered		

(K		RING		4			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	.: B-21
nple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	vs per 6" .0	spth (ft)	le Location	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sneet : 1 o Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 6" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2 Date Drilled :	tion: Geoboden, Inc. 09/04/2018
Sar	Con	D Wei	Blov	ð	Samp	Gra	SC SC	Description	Additional Tests
1	8.6		7 11 13	•				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, rootlets, crumbly, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 4.5 tsf E.I.=
2	10.2	108	12 22 25	5			CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel/concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with white specks	#200 Wash Fines = 81% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	18.1		11 12		X				#200 Wash Fines = 74%
4	15.4	114	13 33 47	10 <mark>-</mark> - - -				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel/concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, brown with white inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 61% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	24.6		12 18 33				CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff to hard, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 4.5 tsf
6	20.9		7 10 15	20	X		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with white specks	#200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
7	31.6	89	5 10 15				с∟∕сн	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist to very moist, brown with yellowish brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 1.7-2.7 tsf #200 Wash
8	21.7		5 9 14		X			End of Boring @31.5' No groundwater encountered	#200 Wash Fines = 73% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
				40				Bulk 🕅 CD 🖬 SPT 🗖]]

(RING , INC.		_			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	Boring No. Sheet : 1 of	: B-22
ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit eight (pcf)	ows per 6"	Jepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Drilling Co. : (Date Drilled :	ion: Geoboden, Inc. 09/05/2018
ŝ	- °	- Me	Blo		Sam	Ģ	0	Description		Additional Tests
1	14.5			- ⁰				Fill: Lean Clay with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, brown	moist, dark	#200 Wash Fines = 78%
2	13.8	121	6 13 17	-				ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, m with white specks	oist, brown	#200 Wash Fines =76% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	19.4		10 21 28	5	X		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM:		#200 Wash Fines = 73% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	20.5	105	9 10 12					Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff to hard, with white	, pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 74% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
5	18.1		5 11 14	10 <u>-</u> 	X					#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 4 - 4.5 tsf
6	34.7	88	6 12 18				CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, very stiff, very moist, light olive brown	, moist to	#200 Wash Fines = 86% PP = 3-4.5 tsf
7	22.5		4 5 6	20 	X		CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, very pale bro white	wn with	#200 Wash Fines = 83% PP = 3 tsf
8	22.2	105	4 5 12	25 <u>-</u>				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff to very sti light olive brown	ff, moist,	#200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 3-4 tsf
								End of Boring @ 26' 6" No groundwater encountered		

	K		RY		÷ .			Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	No. : B-23
nple No.	oisture tent (%)	ry Unit Jht (pcf)	vs per 6"	pth (ft)	le Location	phic Log	il Type JSCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Ground Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Drilling 0 Location : See Figure A-2 Date Dril	1 of:1 Elevation: Co.: Geoboden, Inc. Iled: 09/04/2018
San	Con	Dr Weiç	Blov	De	Sampl	Gra	(L So	Description	Additional Tests
1	7.8			0	\mathbb{X}		CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 50%
2	16.3		4 7 9		X			ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, very stiff,moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 4.5 tsf EI = 107 Corrosivity
3	15.3	115	7 12 17	5 -			CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, very stiff, moist dark yellowish brown with white specks	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	23.9		5 8 12		X		02/011	Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 89% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	21.8	107	6 18 21					Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, very stiff, moist light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.0-4.5 tsf
6	35.8		2 3 6	15 СН				Fat CLAY; caliche stringers, concretions, firm to stiff, light olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 93% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
								End of Boring @ 16' 6" No groundwater encountered	

(K	DU	RY				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Boring No.	: B-24
	ENG & TE	STING	RING , INC.				Drilling Method - Hollow Stem 8" Auger	Sheet:1 of	: 2
nple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Hollow Stern 8 Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevation Drilling Co. : G Date Drilled : 0	on: eoboden, Inc. 9/04/2018
Sar	Cor	D Wei	Blo	ă	Samp Gra	Sc	Description		Additional Tests
1				0	X		FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel and shale, crum moist. dark vellowish brown	nbly slightly	#200 Wash Fines = 50%
2	15.8	104	4 5 7			CI	Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown		Fines = 77% PP = 3 - 4 tsf EI = 96
3	19.0		3 5 8	5	X	UL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, light o	blive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 55% PP = 3-3.7 tsf
4	37.5	89	6 12 17			ML	Silt with SAND; concretions, very stiff, moist, light y brown to olive brown	yellowish	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	33.3		3 4 9	10 	X	CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff, moist brown	t, olive	#200 Wash Fines = 83% PP = 4.5 tsf
6	21.9	108	6 13 19			CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist to olive brown with white inclusions	very moist,	#200 Wash Fines = 66% PP= 3.5 - 4 tsf Consolidation
7	29.6		4 7 10	20	X	сц/сн	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, yel brown with olive inclusions	llowish	#200 Wash Fines = 87% PP= 2.5 - 3 tsf
8	20.1	108	7 14 18	25		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, caliche str very stiff, moist, yellowish brown	ringers,	#200 Wash Fines = 62% PP = 4.5 tsf
9	29.2	101	12 30 40	30		С∟/СН	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, yel brown	llowish	#200 Wash Fines = 84% PP = 4 - 4.5 tsf Consolidation
10	31.2		7 13 16	35	X	ML	Silt with SAND; layers of silty sand, very stiff, moist moist, dark yellowish brown	t to very	#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 1.2-3.5 tsf
				40		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist, yellowish brown reddish brown	n with	
					Groun	dwater	7 Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT 🗙	

1	K		RY					Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Boring No.	: B-24
(ENG & TE	INEE	RING		-				Sheet: 2 of	f:2
_	\rightarrow			1				Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 8" Auger	0	
<u>lo.</u>	e (%)	it ocf)	-e	(t)	atior	og-	e o	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT	Ground Elevat	ion:
ole N	stur	i) II	s pe	th (I	Loc	nic L	Typ	Location : See Figure 4-2	Date Drilled :	
Samp	Moi	Dry /eigł	swol	Dep	mple	irapł	Soil (US		Date Dimeu .	Additional
•,	0	5	0 4	40	Sa	0		Description	owo with	Tests #200 Wash
11	38.9	89	12 21				CL/CH	reddish brown	JWN WILN	Fines = 92% PP = 4.5 tsf
				-			SM	Silty SAND; layers of silt with sand, moist to ver yellowish brown	/ moist,	
12	14.0		23 28 28	45	X		SP/SM	Poorly Graded SAND with SILT; fine to coarse dense, wet, reddish brown	dense to very	#200 Wash Fines = 11%
12	11 38.9 89 42/21 40 CL/CH 12 14.0 23/28 45 SP/SM 12 14.0 23/28 45 SP/SM 50							End of Boring @ 46' 6" Groundwater encountered @ 34' 6" Groundwater rose to 27' 6" at end of drilling		Fines = 11%
				80						
						Ground	water	Bulk CD	SPT	

$\left(\right)$			RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	lo.: B-25 of:1
mple No.	Aoisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	lepth (ft)	aphic Log	toil Type (USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 6" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround EleHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling CoLocation : See Figure A-2Date Drillege	vation: : Geoboden, Inc. I: 09/04/2018
Sa	≥ ° C	L We	Blo	D	Gra	ŝ	Description	Additional Tests
1	7.1			0 _ 			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel and shale, crumbly slightly moist, dark grayish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 60%
2	14.5	117	6 10 15			CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, very dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 84% PP = 4.5 tsf EI = 78
3	16.7		4 4 5	5 <u>-</u> 			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; carbonaceous stringers, trace of concretions stiff, moist to very moist, dark brown to very dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 3 - 4.5 tsf
4	18.5	112	6 9 9	-				#200 Wash Fines = 51% PP = 3 tsf
5	25.2	84	4 5 9			CL/CH	Sandy Lean CLAY to Fat CLAY; layers and pockets of concretions, stiff, moist, olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 70% PP = 2.5-2.75
6	23.5		3 7 10			CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 2.7-3.5 tsf
7	14.8	119	4 9 18	20 <u>-</u> - - - - -		SC	Clayey SAND; layers of sandy clay, medium dense, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 36% PP = 4.5 tsf
8	20.6		6 9 15			CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; caliche stringers, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 71% PP = 4 - 4.5 tsf
9	18.4	114	11 22 30	30 <u>-</u> 			hard	#200 Wash Fines = 53% PP = 4.5 tsf
							End of Boring @ 31' 6" No groundwater encountered	
							Bulk 🔀 CD SPT	X

-			RING				Project No. : 18-0817BProject Name : OC PradoB	Boring No.	: B-26
e No.	ure t (%)	Init (pcf)	Jer 6"	(ft)	ocation : Log	ype :S)	Si Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 6" Auger Sampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPT Gi Hammer Weight : 140 lbs Drop Height : 30" Dr	Sheet:1 of: Fround Elevation Frilling Co.:G	: 1 on: eoboden, Inc.
ample	Moist	Dry U eight	d smo	Depth	<u>aphic</u>	soil T (USC	Location : See Figure A-2 Da	ate Drilled : 0	9/05/2018
Ű	_ 0	Ň	B	-	G G		Description		Tests
1	12.5		5	Ŭ _			Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, stiff, moist, of brown	dark	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 3 tsf #200 Wash
2	13.4		5 8 10		X	CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark brown	n	#200 Wash Fines = 80% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	18.0	112	9 13 20	5			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dar yellowish brown	rk	#200 Wash Fines = 67% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	24.5		3 4 5				Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, moist, light olive brown	, stiff,	#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 2.7-3.7 tsf
5	28.9	94	6 9 13	10 <u> </u>					#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 4 - 4.2 tsf
6	26.7		3 4 5			CL/CH			#200 Wash Fines = 60% PP = 2.5 - 4 tsf
7	28.1	94	5 7 9	20			Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff, moist to ver pale yellow	ry moist,	#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 2 - 3 tsf
8	23.3		5 6 11	25 		СН	light olive brown with pale brown inclusions		#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 2 - 3.5 tsf
9	34.4	90	10 18 23	30 <u>-</u>			Fat CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist to very moist olive brown with brown	t, light	#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 4 - 4.5 tsf
				35			End of Boring @ 31' 6" Perched groundwater encountered @ 24'		
				40	Ground	lwater	Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT	

$\left($			RY RING				Project No. : 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Boring No.: B-27 Sheet:1 of:1
mple No.	foisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 6" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevation: Drilling Co. : Geoboden, Inc. Date Drilled : 09/06/2018
Sa	⊆ C	D We	Blo	Δ	Sam Gra	ŝ	Description	Additional Tests
			0	0			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, mois brown	st, dark
1	15.1		2 4 5 8		<u>X</u>		ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of conc stiff, moist, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
2	17.7		8 9	5 —	X	CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM:	#200 Wash Fines = 64% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	17.5		7 9 10		X		very pale brown to white	#200 Wash Fines = 59% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	16.0		6 9 13		Χ			#200 Wash Fines = 61%
5	18.9		5 7 8	10	X			#200 Wash Fines = 60% PP = 2 - 2.5 tsf
6	29.7		3 5 11		X	ML	Sandy Silt; layers of silty sand, trace of concretions moist, yellowish brown to olive brown	s, stiff, Fines = 89% PP = 2.5 - 3 tsf
7	20.8		7 12 13		X			#200 Wash Fines = 71%
8	32.4		6 8 8		X		Layers of sandy lean clay	#200 Wash Fines = 74% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
9	40.0		3 4 7		X	CII	Fat CLAY; layers of silt, stiff, moist to very moist, lic	#200 Wash Fines = 95% PP = 1.5-4 tsf
10	34.0		4 5 7	20	X	СН	brown	#200 Wash Fines = 97%
11	35.4		2 4 4		X		Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, olive br	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 2.5 tsf
12	25.4	100	5 7 10	25		CL/CH	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; lenses of fine silty sand, stiff, moist, dark grayish brown	, firm to #200 Wash Fines = 53% PP = 2.5 - 3 tsf
13	33.2		3 3 4		X			#200 Wash Fines = 50%
14	22.2		7 9 12		X	ML	Sandy Silt; layers of sandy lean clay, very stiff, moi olive gray with layers of olive brown	st, dark #200 Wash Fines = 53%
15	16.3		5 15 26	30	X	614	Silty SAND; fine layers of sandy silt, dense, moist t	#200 Wash Fines = 32% o very
16	14.7	122	9 18 45			SM	moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 39%
17	21.3		9 11 18	35	X	CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; layers of sandy silt and fine silt very stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown	y sand, #200 Wash Fines = 50%
							End of Boring @ 35' 6" No groundwater encountered	
				40			Bulk 🕅 CD	SPT

Drilling Mathod : Hollow Sime If Auger Sampling Mathod : Hollow Sime If Auger DescriptionGround Elevation: Hommer Weight: 140 Ibs Droop Height: 30" Date Drilled C: Geoboden, Inc. Location : See Figure A.2Ground Elevation: Hommer Weight: 140 Ibs Droop Height: 30" Date Drilled : G9006201818.01061010Fill.Sampling Mathod : Hollow Sime If Auger DescriptionAdditional Hemmer Weight: 140 Ibs Droop Height: 30" Date Drilled : G90062018325.2375Immer Weight: 140 Ibs Droop Height: 30" Hemmer Weight: 140 Ibs DroomMathod : G900 Weight Fires = 67% Droom425.4104937CLSampling Mathod : Good CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowsha brownFiles = 67% Fires = 67% Droom625.7101910CLCHLean to Fat CLAY; shundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowsha brownFiles = 67% Files = 70% Files = 70%723.35525CLCHCLCHSandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowsha brownFiles = 67% Files = 70% Files = 70%825.510183525Files = 67% Files = 70%925.25525710925.35725Files = 70% Files = 70%<	$\left($			RY RING					Project No. : 18-0817 Boring No. Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	5. : B-28
8 8 0 9 0 6 8 0 <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""></th0<></th0<></th0<>	mple No.	loisture itent (%)	Iry Unit ght (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	ıphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Drilling Method : Hollow Stem 6" AugerSampling Method : Bulk - CD - SPTGround EleveHammer Weight : 140 lbsDrop Height : 30"Drilling Co. :Location : See Figure A-2Date Drilled :	ation: Geoboden, Inc. 09/06/2018
1 8.0 0	Sai	Cor	D Wei	Blo	ă	Samp	Gra	s)	Description	Additional Tests
2 24.0 106 $\frac{10}{12}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{$	1	8.0			0	\mathbb{X}			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, slightly moist, brown	#200 Wash Fines = 67%
325.2 $3\frac{3}{7}$ $5\frac{1}{7}$ CLOLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown $\frac{#200 Wash.}{PP = 4.4.5 isPP = 4.5 isis426.4104\frac{9}{18}\frac{9}{10}10\frac{1}{10}<$	2	24.0	106	6 10 12					ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown	Fines = 67% PP = 4.5 tsf
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3	25.2		3 4 7	5 <mark>-</mark> - -	X		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 65% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
5 32.9 32.9 $\begin{bmatrix} 7\\8\\10 \end{bmatrix}$ <	4	26.4	104	9 13 18					layer of fine silty sand	#200 Wash Fines = 58% PP = 4.5 tsf
	5								Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; layers of silt, concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown with yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 74% PP = 1.5-3 tsf
723.3 $\begin{bmatrix} 5\\7\\7\\7\\8 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 20\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\-1\\$	6	25.7	101	8 9 10	15 <u>-</u> 			CL/CH	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, very pale brown to white	#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 1.5-1.8 tsf
8 28.5 3 4 5 7 8	7	23.3		5 7 7	20					#200 Wash Fines = 60% PP = 1.5 tsf
9 26.2 $\begin{bmatrix} 5\\ 8\\ 25\\ 10\\ 26.3 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 5\\ 8\\ 25\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 3\\ 1\\ 11\\ 23.0 \end{bmatrix}$ CH Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, firm to stiff, very moist, wery paile brown Fines = 76% PP = 1.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 1.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 1.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 78\% PP = 1.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 78\% PP =	8	28.5		3 4 5	-	М			Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, very moist, very pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 87% PP = 1-2 tsf
11 23.0 $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\ 5\\ 6\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	9 10	26.2 26.3		5 7 8 2 3 3	25 <u>-</u> 	X		СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, firm to stiff, very moist, very pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 1-1.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 1.5 tsf
End of Boring @ 31' 6" No groundwater encountered	11	23.0		3 5 6	30 - 	X				#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 1-1.5 tsf
					35 40				End of Boring @ 31' 6" No groundwater encountered	

/	V		PV					Project No. : 16-0899	Boring No.	: TP-1
(ENG & TE	INEE	RING		-			Project Name : OC Prado	Sheet: 1 of	: 1
	\rightarrow	/						Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation		• •
ö	(%	cf)	6"		tion	gc	0	Sampling Method : Bulk		
e N	ture ht (9	Unit t (pe	per	h (ft	oca	ic Lo	CS)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
ldma	Nois	Dry bight	SWC	eptl	ple l	aphi	los (US	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
Se	o م د	- We	Blc		Sam	G	0	Description		Additional Tests
				0 _				FILL:		
1	18.2			_	\mathbb{V}			Lean CLAY with SAND; still, moist, dark brown		#200 Wash Fines = 82%
	10.2			_	\mathbb{A}			ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, vellowish b	rown	
2	15.3				\mathbb{X}		0		-	#200 Wash
				5 —			CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark y	ellowish brown	FILLES = 11%
										#200 W/ash
3	25.4			_	X					Fines = 84%
										21-70
				_	╞					
				10				End of Test Pit @ 9' 0"		
								No groundwater encountered		
				_						
				15						
				_						
				20						
				_						
				_						
				25-						
				_						
				_						
				20						
				30						
				35						
				40						
								Bulk		

/	V		PV					Project No. : 16-0899	Test Pit No	.: TP-2
$\left(\right)$	ENG & TE	INEE			-			Project Name : OC Prado	Sheet 1 of	· 1
	\checkmark	/						Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation		
÷	(%)	if)			ion	g	_	Sampling Method : Bulk		
e No	ture t (%	Jnit (pc	ber ((ft)	ocat	c Lo	ype (S)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
dm	loist nter	Jry L ight		epth	ole L	ihqe	oil T (USC	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
Sa	S C C	L We	Blo		Sam	Gra	ŝ	Description		Additional Tests
				0			014	FILL: Silty SAND; fine, moist, pockets of sandy	silt, and	
1	12.2			-	\mathbb{X}		511	miscellaneous materials (asphait, concrete, gra boulders mixed in piles)	vel, and	#200 Wash Fines = 31%
				-			SC	Clayey SAND; fine, loose, moist, dark brown		
2	17.8			_	\mathbb{X}			ALLUVIUM:		#200 Wash Fines = 82%
				5			CI	Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown	ı	
	40.7				\bigvee		02	OLDER ALLUVIUM:		#200 Wash
3	16.7			_	Ä			Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, yellowish b	prown	Fines = 80%
				_				End of Test Pit @ 7' 0"		
				_				No groundwater encountered		
				10-						
				_						
				15						
				_						
				-						
				_						
				20-						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				25 -						
				_						
				30						
				-						
				-	1					
				_						
				35						
				_						
				_	1					
				-						
				40	1					
									SPT	1

-(ENG							Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No	.: TP-3
ole No.	sture	r Unit	s per 6"	th (ft)	Location	hic Log	Type SCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevati	• • • ion: d: 05-17-2017
Sam	Moi Conte	Dry Veigl	Blow	Dep	ample	Grapl	Soil (U:	Description		Additional
				0	š \//	•		FILL (Import):		Tests #200 Wash
1	11.6				Å		SM	Silty SAND; fine, trace of gravel, loose, moist, stro	ong brown	Fines = 42%
2	17.5			_	\mathbb{X}			Lean CLAY with SAND		#200 Wash Fines = 82%
								ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND: stiff_moist_dark vellowisi	h brown	
3	16.0			5 <u>-</u> - - - -			CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark yell with some white, minor concretions	owish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76% EI = 62
								End of Boring 7' 0" No groundwater encountered	SPT	

/	The second		PV					Project No. : 16-0899	Test Pit No	. : TP-4
(ENG & TE	INEE	RING					Project Name : OC Prado	Sheet 1 of	F•1
	4	/						Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation		••
ċ	(%)	cf)			tion	g		Sampling Method : Bulk		
le N	ture nt (°	Unit t (po	per	h (ft)	-ocal	ic Lo	Typ∈ CS)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
amp	Mois	Dry eight	SWC	Dept	ple l	aph	- Iioŝ (US	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
ů	- 3	Ň	Ble		San	อ		Description		Additional Tests
1	14.1			0	\boxtimes			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY: stiff moist brown		#200 Wash Fines = 68%
					H					#200 M/s sh
2	18.3				\boxtimes			Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, br	own	#200 wash Fines = 74%
3	14.8				\boxtimes		CL			#200 Wash
4	40.2			5 —				OLDER ALLUVIUM:		#200 W/ash
	10.2				Ä			Lean CLAY with SAND; firm, very moist, conci olive brown to pale yellow with white	etions, light	Fines = 83%
5	21.0				\boxtimes			Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist pa	le yellow with	#200 Wash Fines = 53%
								End of Test Pit @ 7' 6"		
				- 1				No groundwater encountered		
				10						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				15						
				-						
				20						
				_						
				25						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				30-						
				_						
				1 –						
				-						
				35 —						
				1 -						
				-						
				-						
				40						
L	I									

/	V		DV					Project No. : 16-0899	Test Pit No	.: TP-5
$\left(- \right)$	ENG		RING					Project Name : OC Prado	Sheet 1 of	• 1
	4		, 110.					Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation	Sheet. 1 Of	• •
ċ	(%)	if)	- 0		ion	g		Sampling Method : Bulk		
e No	ture it (%	Jnit (pc	per	1 (ft)	ocat	c Lo	ype SS)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
ldm	lois: nter	Jry (ight	SMO	epth	ple L	aphi	oil T (US(Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
Sa	So	L We	Blo	Δ	Sam	Gra	s –	Description		Additional Tests
				0				FILL:		
1	27.8			_	×			Lean CLAY with SAND; soft, moist, brown		Fines = 81%
				_	Π			ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND: soft_verv moist_dark	brown	EI = 50 LL = 39
				_			CL		STOWN	PL = 19
2	19.1			-	\otimes			OLDER ALLUVIUM:		#200 Wash Fines= 68%
				5				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff to very	stiff, moist to	
				_						#200 Wash
3	21.2				*					⊢ines = 61%
								End of Test Pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered		
				10-				5		
				_						
				_						
				15						
				20						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				25						
				_						
				30 _						
				35						
				40						
								Bulk 🕅 CD		

/	K		RV			_		Project No. : 16-0899	Test Pit No	b. : TP-6
(ENG & TE		RING						Sheet:1 o	f :1
								Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation		
	; %)	cf)	.9		tion	og	0	Sampling Method : Bulk		
le N	sture nt ('	Unit t (p	per	h (ft	Loca	ic L	CS)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	tion:
amp	Mois	Dry eigh	swo	Jept	nple	aph.	Soil .	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
ů	- 3	°M	BIG		San	G		Description		Additional Tests
1	21.1			0	X			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; very moist, dark brow	wn	#200 Wash Fines = 70%
							CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, da brown	ark yellowish	
				5				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, co olive brown with white	oncretions,	#200 Wash
2	21.5			$\begin{array}{c} - \left[\begin{array}{c} - \\ 1 \end{array}\right] \\ 10 \\ - \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$				End of Test Pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered		#200 Wash Fines = 64%
L	1		1	I · -				Bulk 🕅 CD	SPT	1

-(Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 of	. : TP-7
iple No.	bisture tent (%)	y Unit ht (pcf)	/s per 6"	pth (ft)	e Location hic Log	il Type ISCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Date Excavate	ion: d: 05-17-2017
San	Cont	Dr Weiç	Blow	De	Grap	(U So	Description		Additional
1	18.7			0	X		Fill: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, dark yellow	vish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 68%
2	17.0				$\overline{\mathbb{X}}$		ALLUVIUM:		#200 Wash
3	18.7						Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, concretion yellowish brown	s, dark	Fines = 86% Fines = 83%
4	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, to very stiff, mois concretions, caliche, light olive brown with white Max dry density; 115.3 Optimum moisture 12 End of Test Pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered	t to very moist, 3 pcf 5%	#200 Wash Fines = 80% LL = 51 PL = 21 EI = 59 #200 Wash Fines = 84%	
							Bulk⊠ CD	SPT	

$\left($			RY RING				Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 of	.: TP-8 :1
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	bry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	thic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevati Date Excavated	ion: d: 05-17-2017
Sal	Cor	D Wei	Blo	D	Gra	°,	Description		Additional Tests
1	15.8						FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yell-	owish	
2	18.2					CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, c brown	concretions,	Fines = 80% #200 Wash Fines = 77%
3	25.2			5 10		СН	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Fat CLAY with SAND; very stiff, very moist, abunda concretions, slightly porous, light yellowish brown	ant	#200 Wash Fines = 66%
4	35.2 39.6			- - - - - - 15			stiff Max dry density; 112.2 pc Optimum moisture 14.39	cf %	#200 Wash Fines = 77% LL = 68 PL = 22 EI = 90
							End of Test Pit @ 16' Groundwater seepage encountered @ 15' 6'	SPT	Γ ΠΙΕS = 80%

-(ENG & TE						Project No. : 16-0899TestProject Name : OC PradoShee	t Pit No. et:1 of:	: TP-9 :1
nple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	vs per 6"	spth (ft)	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2 Date	Ind Elevation	on: : 05-17-2017
Sar	Cor≊	Vei	Blov	ŏ	Gra	Sc	Description		Additional Tests
				0			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brow	vn	
1	15.6				8		ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown		#200 Wash Fines = 86%
2	18.5			5	8		OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, calcium carbonate		#200 Wash Fines = 79%
3	19.0					CL	concretions, olive brown with white		#200 Wash Fines = 78%
4	19.3						Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, moist to very mo light olive brown	noist,	#200 Wash Fines = 59%
_									#200 Wash
5	21.9			13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			End of Boring 16' 6" No groundwater encountered		#200 Wash Fines = 75%
P		<u>n</u>		<u>a I</u>			Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT	

/			DV	_				Project No. : 16-0899	Test Pit No	.: TP-10
-(ENG	INEE	RING		-			Project Name : OC Prado	Cheet 4	
	& TE	STING	, INC.					Exploration Method · Backhoe Excavation	Sneet : 1 Of	. 1
	-		-	1	s	5		Sampling Method : Bulk		
No.	Ire (%)	nit (pcf	er 6	(£	catic	Loç	s) be	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
nple	oistu tent	y Ui ght	vd sv	pth	e Lo	ohic	il Ty JSC:	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
San	Con	Dr Weiç	Blow	De	Sampl	Grap	(L So	Description		Additional
1	17.3			0	\$; 		SC	FILL:		Fines = 33%
	40.0			_				Clayey SAND; fine, little gravel, dark yellowish b	prown	#200 Wash
2	19.2			-	×			Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, moist, Maximum Dry Density :122.8 PCF @ 11.2% Mo	dark brown isture	Fines = 75% LL = 39 PL = 17
3 ⊿	17.8 19 1				\gg			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; s	stiff, moist to	Fines = 80% #200 Wash
5	17.5			5 —	\otimes		CL	very moist, concretions, dark brown		Fines = 57% #200 Wash
5	17.5							Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, concretions cald carbonate, light olive brown with some white and brown	cium d yellowish	Fines = 64%
6	23.1			-	\mathbb{X}		СН	Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, caliche and pale yellow with white	concretions,	#200 Wash Fines = 85%
7	23.2			10			CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, very moist, concretions brown	, light olive	#200 Wash Fines = 61%
								End of Test Pit @12' 0" No groundwater encountered		
				40						
									SPT	<u></u>

(K	DU	RY					Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No	b. : TP-11
1	ENG & TE	STING	RING , INC.		-				Sheet: 1 of	f:1
					ç			Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation		
No.	ire (%)	nit (pcf)	er 6"	(ft)	catio	Log	s)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
nple	oistu itent	ry Ui ght	vd sv	pth	le Lo	phic	oil Ty USC:	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
Sar	Con	D Wei	Blov	ð	Samp	Gra	Sc (I	Description		Additional Tests
1	8.6			0	\otimes			FILL: Silty SAND with GRAVEL; fine to coarse, loose,	brown	#200 Wash Fines = 12% Gravel = 38%
				-	\mathbb{X}			ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, mois	t	
					\mathbb{X}			OLDER ALLUVIUM:		
				5			CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; still, moist, black		
								Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, light brown		
				10				End of Test Pit @ 9' 6"		
								No groundwater encountered		
				15—						
				-						
				20						
				_						
				-						
				30						
				-						
1				35-						
				-						
				-						
				40						
					-			Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT	

-			RY RING					Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 of	.: TP-12 :1
mple No.	loisture itent (%)	Iry Unit ght (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	nphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevati Date Excavated	ion: d: 05-17-2017
Sar	SΩ	Vei Vei	Blo	ă	Samp	Gra	S)	Description		Additional Tests
				0				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; dark brown		
1	14.9						CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown		#200 Wash Fines = 78%
2	17.1			5			CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM; Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff to ve moist to very moist, concretions, calcium carbonate yellowish brown with some white	ery stiff, e, dark	#200 Wash Fines = 52%
3	22.0			_	\mathbb{X}		СН	Fat CLAY; stiff, very moist, concretions, calcium ca light yellowish brown with white	arbonate,	#200 Wash Fines= 86%
								End Test Pit @ 8' 0" No groundwater encountered	SPT 🎛	

/	K		RV	-				Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Boring No.	: TP-13
(ENG & TE	INEE	RING		-			Froject Name . OC Frau	Sheet:1 of	:1
	\rightarrow							Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation		-
ġ	(%	cf)	.9		tion	g	0	Sampling Method : Bulk		
e N	ture ht (°	Jnit (po	per	(ft)	ocat	c Lo	ype CS)	Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.	Ground Elevat	ion:
dm	lois	Jry l ight	SWO	eptł	ple L	ihde	oil 7 (US(Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excavate	d: 05-17-2017
Sa	ō ≤ C	D We	Blo	Δ	Sam	Gra	ŝ	Description		Additional Tests
1	26.7			0 			CL	FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, very moist, shak yellowish brown	e fragments,	#200 Wash Fines = 59%
2	15.5			5 <u>-</u> - - -				Lean CLAY with SAND; moist, dark brown (re alluvium)	e-worked onsite	#200 Wash Fines = 75%
								End of Test Pit @ 8' 0" No groundwater encountered	SPT	

-(ENG & TE		RY RING					Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Drilling Method : Backhoe		: TP-14
ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit sight (pcf)	ows per 6"	Jepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Date Excavate	ion: d: 05-17-2017
ŝ	2°2	- %	Blo		Sam	ē	0)	Description		Additional Tests
1	33.3			。 	8			ALLUVIUM: Fat CLAY; firm, very moist, slightly porous, gree	nish gray	#200 Wash Fines = 92% EI = 89
2	36.0			5 - - - - - - - - -	\mathbb{X}		СН	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Fat CLAY; soft, wet, concretions, light gray		#200 Wash Fines = 74%
	31 9				\lor			Stiff to very stiff, greenish gray		#200 Wash Fines = 83%
	20.9			15	\otimes		CL	Lean CLAY; stiff, very moist, greenish gray		Fines = 66%
				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				End of Test Pit 15' 6" No groundwater encountered		

-(KOURY ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.							Project No. : 16-0899 Test Pit N Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	lo.: TP-15 of:1
mple No.	foisture ntent (%)	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	aphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe ExcavationSampling Method : BulkTrenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.Location : See Figure A-2Date Excava	ration: ted: 05-17-2017
Sal	Col M	D Wei	Blo	ŏ	Samp	Gra	ů, C	Description	Additional Tests
1	18.2			0 	8		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, dark grayish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 61%
2 3	31.0 29.9			5 <mark>-</mark>	×			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, concretions, caliche, light olive brown with some white	#200 Wash Fines = 86% EI = 60 #200 Wash Fines = 86%
							СН		
4	27.0			-			CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; lenses of silty sand, stiff, very moist, greenish gray with some white	#200 Wash Fines = 73%
5	44.0			15—	*		СН	Fat CLAY; very stiff, shale-lime, very moist, greenish gray	#200 Wash Fines = 99%
								End of Test Pit 15' 6" Groundwater seepage encountered @ 10' 6"	

& TESTING	RING a, INC.					Project Name : OC Prado S Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation	.: TP-16 :1	
ample No. Moisture ontent (%) Dry Unit eight (pcf)	ows per 6"	Depth (ft)	nple Location	raphic Log	Soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : BulkTrenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc.Co.Location : See Figure A-2Co.	Ground Elevati Date Excavated	ion: d: 05-17-2017
<u>ທີ່ບັ່</u> ຈັ	B	_	San	ō	••	Description		Tests
1 12.8		0	X			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; tsoft to stiff, moist, dark yellowi	ish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 58%
					CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; firm, moist, dark yellowish brow	vn	
2 15.0		5	*			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yel	lowish	#200 Wash Fines = 55%
3 15.7		_	\mathbb{X}			brown		#200 Wash
						End of Test Pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered		Fines = 52%

-(RY RING					Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 of	o. : TP-17 of:1
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	bry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ole Location	Iphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Ground Eleva Location : See Figure A-2	ation: ed: 05-17-2017
Sai	SΩ	Vei	Blo	ă	Samp	Gra	S, C	Description	Additional Tests
				0			CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark brown	#200 Wash
1	16.0			_	\mathbb{X}			Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish	Fines = 79%
2	23.3			- - -			СН	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, concretions, light olive brown	Fines = 71% EI = 96
3	37.6			5	\mathbb{X}			Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 90%
								End of Test Pit @ 6' 0" No groundwater encountered	

Non-status Second Extraction 1 17.8 17.8 1 1 <	-(RY RING					Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No	b.: TP-18 f:1
8 4 0 3 0 1 0	mple No.	floisture ntent (%)	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	ws per 6"	epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat	tion: d: 05-17-2017
1 17.8 0 200 Wesh, Fines = 86% 3 13.0 0 0 5 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 11 0 0 12 0 0 13.0 0 0 13.0 0 0 13.0 0 0 14 0 0 15 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 10 0 0 11 0 0 12 0 0 13 0 0 14 0 0 15 0 0 16 0 0 17 0 0 18 0 0 19 0 0	Sa	≥ ō C	Nei	Blo		Sam	Gra	٥, C	Description		Additional Tests
2 12.2 3 13.0 CL ALLUVUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, dark yellowish brown #200 Wash Price = CSN 3 13.0 5	1	17.8			0	\mathbb{X}			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, concret brown	ions, olive	#200 Wash Fines = 59%
3 13.0 0.DER ALLUVUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stift, moist, Fines = 65% 5	2	12.2			-	\mathbb{X}		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, dark yellowish b	rown	#200 Wash Fines = 72%
End of Test Pit @ 4 ⁺ 6 ⁺ No groundwater encountered	3	13.0			5				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very concretions, yellowish brown	stiff, moist,	#200 Wash Fines = 65%
									End of Test Pit @ 4' 6" No groundwater encountered		

-(ENG & TE		RY RING				Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	est Pit No. heet:1 of	.: TP-19 :1
ple No.	isture ant (%)	/ Unit ht (pcf)	s per 6"	th (ft)	• Location hic Log	Type SCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Gr Location : See Figure A-2 Da	round Elevation	on: 1: 05-17-2017
Sam	Moi Conte	Dry Veigl	Blows	Dep	ample Grapl	Soil (U;			Additional
1	13.9		_	0	о́ Х		FILL:		Tests #200 Wash
2	17.1						Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, dark yellowish bro	own	Fines = 50% Gravel = 11% Fines = 79%
							ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, stiff, moist, of brown	dark	EI = 24
3	23.1			5		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, very moist, yellowish br	rown	#200 Wash Fines = 80%
4	32.2				8		Fat CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, very moist, dark yellow brown	wish	#200 Wash Fines = 93% #200 Wash
5	31.6			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			End of Test Pit @ 9' 6" No groundwater encountered		#200 Wash Fines = 94%
				40		l	Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT	
Boring Log

y y <th>-(</th> <th>ENG & TE</th> <th></th> <th>RING , INC.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation</th> <th>Test Pit No Sheet : 1 of</th> <th>.: TP-20 :1</th>	-(ENG & TE		RING , INC.					Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado Exploration Method : Backhoe Excavation	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 of	.: TP-20 :1
I U S as (a) U Description Tress 1 14.7 11.6 1 <th>Sample No.</th> <th>Moisture ontent (%)</th> <th>Dry Unit /eight (pcf)</th> <th>lows per 6"</th> <th>Depth (ft)</th> <th>mple Location</th> <th>iraphic Log</th> <th>Soil Type (USCS)</th> <th>Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2</th> <th>Ground Elevat Date Excavate</th> <th>on: d: 05-17-2017 Additional</th>	Sample No.	Moisture ontent (%)	Dry Unit /eight (pcf)	lows per 6"	Depth (ft)	mple Location	iraphic Log	Soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Date Excavate	on: d: 05-17-2017 Additional
1 14.7 11.6 Image: CL FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, brown #220 Wash Fries = 74% 3 10.9 5 SM OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sity SAND; fine to medium, moist, yellowish brown #220 Wash Fries = 28% 4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; sety stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 5 SM SIty SAND; fine to medium, moist, yellowish brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 4 19.2 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 4 19.2 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 5 T CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Fries = 63% 10 T Fries = 63% Fries = 63% 10 T T Fries = 63% 10 T Fries = 63% Fries = 63% 10 T Fries = 63% Fries = 63% 11 Fries = 63% <td< th=""><th>0</th><th>о О</th><th>\$</th><th>B</th><th>0</th><th>Sai</th><th>U</th><th></th><th>Description</th><th></th><th>Tests</th></td<>	0	о О	\$	B	0	Sai	U		Description		Tests
2 11.6 #220 Wash Frids = 74% 3 10.9 5 4 19.2 4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown #200 Wash Frids = 74% 5 SM OLDER ALLUVIUM: Silly SAND; fire to medium, moist, yellowish brown 4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Frids = 74% 5 SM OLDER ALLUVIUM: Silly SAND; fire to medium, moist, yellowish brown 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash Frids = 63% 11 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown 11 End of Test PI @ 10 6' No groundwater encountered 15 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 10 11 12 <t< th=""><th>1</th><th>14.7</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>\mathbb{X}</th><th></th><th>CL</th><th>FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, brown</th><th></th><th>#200 Wash Fines = 78%</th></t<>	1	14.7				\mathbb{X}		CL	FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, brown		#200 Wash Fines = 78%
3 10.9 5 3 SM OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sitty SAND; fine to medium, moist, yellowish brown #200 Wash, Fines = 23% 4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stilf, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash, Fines = 63% 4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stilf, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash, Fines = 63% 4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stilf, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash, Fines = 63% 10 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stilf, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash, Fines = 63% 10 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stilf, moist, light olive brown #200 Wash, Fines = 63% 10 10 Image: Clay Sandy Clay Sand	2	11.6				X			ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish	n brown	#200 Wash Fines = 74%
4 19.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light alive brown #200 Wash, Fines = 63% End of Test Pit @ 10' 6" No groundwater encountered No groundwater encountered 15 1 15 1 16 17 20 16 17 16 17 15 17 17 17 17 16 16 17 17 17 17 19 10' 6" 10' 6" 10' 6" 16 16 16 16' 16' 16' 16' 16' 10' 6'' 10' 6'' 20 17 16' 16' 16' 16' 10' 16'' 16' 10' 16'' 16'	3	10.9			5 -	8		SM	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Silty SAND; fine to medium, moist, yellowish brow	n	#200 Wash Fines = 28%
End of Test Pit @ 10' 6" No groundwater encountered 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 18 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	4	19.2			10	\mathbb{X}		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown		#200 Wash Fines = 63%
					$\begin{array}{c c} - & - \\ - & - \\ 15 & - \\ 20 & - \\ 25 & - \\ 30 & - \\ 35 & - \\ 40 \end{array}$				End of Test Pit @ 10' 6" No groundwater encountered		

Boring Log

$\left($			RY RING		÷			Project No. : 16-0899 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 of	b. : TP-21
ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit sight (pcf)	ows per 6"	Jepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co.: Lourenco Backhoe, inc. Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Date Excavate	ion: d: 05-17-2017
Se	° ≥ C	I We	Blo		Sam	G	0	Description		Additional Tests
				0 			SM	FILL: (Stockpile) Silty SAND; fine, slightly moist, yellowish browr		
1	17.3			5	$\ $			Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of shale, stiff, moist to yellowish brown	o very moist,	#200 Wash Fines = 54%
2	18.9				\otimes		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown	1	#200 Wash Fines = 76%
3	14.8			 10				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; hard, moist, dark yello with some light brown	wish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76%
								End of Test Pit @ 10' 6" No groundwater encountered		

				-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 O Exploration Method : Backhoe	b. 22 f :1
mple No.	foisture ntent (%)	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ple Location phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-10-2018
Sa	⊂ ≤	Wei	Щ	Ō	Sam Gra	Š,	Description	Additional Tests
1	16.3					CL	FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, very dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 76% PP = 4.5 tsf
2	17.4			 3 			ALLUVIUM: Lean to fat CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, very dark brown	Fines = 83% PP = 4 tsf EI = 126
3 4 5	16.4 18.8	122		4 — 	*	CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to FAT CLAY with SAND; concretions, moist to very moist, stiff, mottled brown and pale brown	Fines = 81% PP = $3-3.5$ tsf Fines = 80% PP = $3-3.5$ tsf EI = 62
6	30.6			6				PP = 2.5 tsf Fines = 95% PP = 1-1.5 tsf
7	33.6							#200 Wash Fines = 91% PP = 1.5-3 tsf
8	41.4			9		сн	Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, firm to stiff, moist to very moist, dark grayish brown with red specks	Fines = 90% PP = 1 tsf
9	36.9			10 _ 11				Fines = 87% PP = 1.2 tsf
				 12			End of test pit @ 10' 9" No groundwater encountered	
							Dry density = 96 pcf Moisture content = 19.1%	
							Bulk 🔀 CD 🔳 SPT 🔀	1

 $\underline{}$

(RY RING	,				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	b. 23 D f : 1
imple No.	Aoisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	ioil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-10-2018
Sa	≥ ° C	I We	ЕC	Δ	Sam	Gra	s -	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1			CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, very dark brown	
1 2	17.6 19.4			2 -				ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of gravel, trace of concretions, stiff to hard, moist to very moist, very dark brown to dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 60% PP = 3.0 tsf EI = 97 Fines = 52% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	16.1			3 <u>-</u> - 4 <u>-</u>	\mathbb{X}			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, very pale brown with dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 3.5 tsf EI = 107
4 5	18.2 22.0	103		5	8				Fines = 56% PP = 2.0 tsf Fines = 76%
6 7	25.9 29.2			6	×		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, medium to high plastic, stiff to very stiff, dark yellowish brown to light olive brown with white	Prines = 85% PP= 3.5 tsf Fines = 82% PP = 2.0 tsf
8	37.7			9	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 82% PP = 2.0 tsf
				11 <u>-</u> 12				End of test pit @ 10' 9" No groundwater encountered	
								Dry density = 96 pcr Moisture content =19.1%	
									1

			RY RING				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe	No. 24 Of :1
	. (9	(j	t		g ion		Sampling Method : Bulk - CD	
le No	sture nt (%	Unit t (pc	/alen эт	h (ft)	Locat ic Lo	Type CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Ele	vation:
amp	Mois onte	Dry ſeigh	Equiv SI	Dept	nple raph	Soil (US	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excave	Additional
S	ပ	3		0	C Sar		Description	Tests
						CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, abundant concretions, stiff, moist, dark brown	
1	14.2			2 <mark>-</mark> - 3 -			ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, dark brown	Fines = 58% PP = 2 tsf EI = 107
2	22.1			4			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist	Fines = 50% PP = 2.5 tsf
3	19.5	407		5	X		to very moist, yenowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 56% PP = 2 tsf Fines = 71%
4 5	21.1 21.0	107		6		01/01		PP = 2.7-4 tsf Fines = 71% PP = 3-4.5 tsf
6	24.9			7	X	CL/CH	some olive brown	Fines = 71% PP = 2.5 tsf
7	35.3			8 <mark>-</mark> 8 - 9 -			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, medium to high plastic, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown to light olive brown with pale yellow	Fines = 84% PP = 2.5 tsf
8	31.0			10				Fines = 81% PP = 1.5 tsf
9	26.8			11 				Fines = 79% PP = 3.75-4 tsf
							No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 5' 2" Dry density = 99 pcf Moisture content = 22.3%	
						1	L Bulk⊠ CD ■ SPT	×

/	K		RY					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Test Pit	No. 25
(ENG & TES	INEE	RING					Sheet: 1	Of : 1
						-		Exploration Method : Backhoe	
o.	(%	cf)	¥	_	tion	bo	a	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD	
le N	sture nt (Unii t (p	/aleı ЭТ	h (ft	госа	ic L	CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Ele	vation:
amp	Mois	Dry	quiv SF	Dept	ple	aph	Soil .	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excav	ated : 09-11-2018
ÿ	- ŭ	Ň	ш		San	ษิ	•,	Description	Tests
				0				FILL:	
				1 —				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, trace concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	
									#200 Wash
1	17.3			2 —	Ŵ			ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 68% PP = 2 tsf
				-	Π		CL	yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	
2	21.8	100		3 —					Fines = 58%
3	17.3			_	※			OLDER ALLUVIUM:	FF = 3.7-4.5 (S) Fines = 50%
				4 —				Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, medium plastic, stiff moist vellowish brown with pale brown	FF = 5.5-4.5 (S)
4	19.0			<u>_</u>	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 58% PP = 2-3 tsf
									#200 Wash Fines = 74%
5	24.5			6	Ä			Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, medium to high	PP = 1.5 tsf
								to pale brown with olive brown	
				7 —			CL/CH		
6	21.2				\lor				#200 Wash
0	31.2			8 —	(A)			to high plastic, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown	PP=3 tsf
7	44.4				X			to light olive brown with pale yellow	Fines = 92% PP = 1.5-1.7 tsf
				9 —	Ø.N				
								End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	
				10	1				
								Nuclear gauge density test data at 3 Dry density = 97 pcf Moisture content = 24.0%	
				11 —					
				12					
					11				
				-	11				
				-	11				
				-]				
				_					
				_	11				
								Bulk 🕅 CD 🔳 SPT	×

4	K	DU	RY					Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit Project Name : OC Prado	No. 26
	& TES	STING	, INC.					Sheet : 1	Of :1
				r	ित			Exploration Method : Backhoe	
<u>Чо.</u>	'е (%)	it pcf)	ent	£	atio	-og		Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Tranching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground F	evation:
ple I	istul ent	y Un ht (ival6 SPT	oth (e Loc	hic I		Location : See Figure A-2 Date Exca	vated : 09-11-2018
Sam	Mo Cont	Dr	Equ	Del	ample	Grap		Description	Additional
1	13.4			0	s X			· · · ·	Tests Fines = 80%
								FILL:	PP = 2.5 tsf EI = 20
				1				Lean CLAY with SAND; mostly crumbly, moist, dark reddish	
•	110				\mathbf{N}				#200 Wash Fines = 81%
2	14.2			2	Ŵ				PP = 2.5-4 tst
3	14.2				\mathbb{X}			trace of concretions, stiff, moist, very dark brown	Fines = 82% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
4	14.8	116		3				OLDER ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	15.7			4	\mathbb{X}			brown	Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
							CL	layer of fat clay	
6	39.4			5 —	Ŵ				Fines = 66% El= 74
7	21.2				\mathbb{X}				Fines = 68% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
				6 —				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist very dark brown	#200 Wash
8	20.1				\mathbb{X}				PP = 1.5-2 tsf
				7 —					
9	17.9			8	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 51% PP= 2.5-3.5 tsf
				9					
10	14.6				\mathbb{X}		SC	Clayey SAND; layers of sandy clay, medium dense/stiff,	Fines = 40% PP = 4.5 Fines = 20%
11	14.4			10—	X			moist, dark yellowish drown	PP = 3.5-4.5
								End of test pit @ 10' No groundwater encountered	
				11					
				10 -				Dry density = 111 pcf Moisture content = 13.3%	
								Nuclear gauge density test data at 1' 2"	
				_				Dry density = 97 pcf Moisture content = 19.2%	
				_					
				_					
				_					
				-					
				_					
				-					
				-					
I				1	11			Bulk⊠ CD ■ SP	

(RING		-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 27 of : 1
mple No.	Aoisture ntent (%) -	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk -CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-10-2018
Sa	∑ C ≤	aW I	Ec	Δ	Sam	Gra	s	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, trace concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	
1 2	37.1 15.9			2 <u>-</u> - 3 <u>-</u>	X		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 72% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf Fines = 71% PP = 3.0 tsf
3 4 5	18.4 20.9 20.2	110		4 5	× ×		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 65% $PP = 4.5 tsf$ $Corrosivitv$ $Fines = 66%$ $PP = 2.5 tsf$ $EI = 144$ $Fines = 68%$ $PP = 2.7 tsf$
6	9.2			6 <u>-</u> 7 <u>-</u>				yellowish brown to pale brown with olive brown	Fines = 18% Gravel = 23%
7	7.5			8 <mark>-</mark> 8 - 9 -	8		SM	Silty SAND with GRAVEL; fine to coarse, very moist brownish gray	Fines = 12% Gravel = 29%
8	23.9			 10 			CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; firm to stiff, very moist, yellowish brown	Fines = 60 % PP = 1.5 tsf
								End of test pit @ 11' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 3.5' Dry density = 100 pcf Moisture content = 16.2%	
								Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 SPT 🔀	 3

$\left($			RY RING				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 28 Of : 1
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	bry Unit ight (pcf)	luivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ole Location tphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	i tion: e d : 09-11-2018
Sa	Col	D Wei	Ē	ă	Samp Gra	ů,	Description	Additional Tests
							FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, very stiff, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 73%
1	16.5				Ä		Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, concretion, dark yellowish brown	PP = 4.0 tsf
2 3	20.4 16.8					CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 71% PP = 2-2.5 tsf EI = 81 Fines = 58% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
4 5	13.4 17.6	115		5 6	*		Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff to hard, moist to very moist, yellowish brown to pale brown with olive brown	PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 50% Fines = 56% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
6 7	33.1 35.1			7		СН	Fat CLAY ; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown to light olive brown with pale yellow	Fines = 88% PP = 1.7 tsf Fines = 92% PP = 1.5-4 tsf
7	45.0							#200 Wash Fines = 90% PP = 2.7-3.0 tsf
							End of test pit @ 10'8" No groundwater encountered	
				12			Nuclear gauge density test data at 5' Dry density = 109 pcf Moisture content = 12.6%	
							Nuclear gauge density test data at 7' 2" Dry density = 86 pcf Moisture content = 31.3%	
				_			Bulk 🔯 CD 🔳 SPT 🗖	2

(RY RING		-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Shee	Pit No. 29 t : 1 Of : 1
imple No.	Aoisture intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	hepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	toil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2	d Elevation: Excavated : 09-11-2018
Sa	² °	I We	Щ		Sam	Ğ	ω -	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace gravel, trace of concretions, sti moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	ff,
1	12.5			2				ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist to very moist, ve dark brown	PP = 3.5 tsf
2	15.9			3 <u>-</u> 4 <u>-</u>			CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, medium plastic, stiff, moist, yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 76% PP = 2.7 tsf
3	20.4	98		5				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, very stiff to hard	Fines = 58% PP = 4 -4.5 tsf
4	17.3			6 <u>-</u> - 7 <u>-</u>	8			moist to very moist, yellowish brown to pale brown with o brown	Fines = 53% PP = 2.7-4 tsf
5 6	12.3 14.6			8 <mark>-</mark> - 9 -			SM/SC	Silty to Clayey SAND; fine to medium, layers of sandy cl trace of gravel, medium dense/stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	ay, Fines = 38% Fines = 34%
7	41.1						СН	Fat CLAY; trace concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, oli brown	Fines = 88% PP = 2-3 tsf ve Fines = 93%
8	43.2			11	×				PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
				12				No groundwater encountered	
				-				Nuclear gauge density test data at 4.5' Dry density = 98 pcf Moisture content = 19.6%	
								Nuclear gauge density test data at 7.5' Dry density = 118 pcf Moisture content = 13.6%	
								D Bulk CD	SPT

-(K		RING				Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No. Project Name : OC Prado Test Pit No.	. 30
	& TES	STING	, INC.				Sheet: 1 O	f: 1
				1	c		Exploration Method : Backhoe	
No.	re (%)	nit pcf)	ent	ft)	catio Log	e (i	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Elevat	ion:
ple	istu ent	y Un ht (ival SPT	oth (e Loc	I Tyl	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	d : 09-10-2018
Sam	Mo Cont	Dr Weig	Equ	Dep	Sample Grap	Soi (U	Description	Additional Tests
				0 _ 1			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, slightly moist to moist, dark yellowish brown	16313
1	15.7			2 _	M		ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 85% PP = 2.5 tsf
2	16.8			 - 3 -		CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 2-3 tsf
3	15.9						dark yellowish brown	Fines = 86% PP = 3.0 tsf
4	18.3			4	×		OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, dark brown with pale brown inclusions	EI = 48 Fines = 82% PP = 3.0 tsf
5 6 7	19.1 20.3 27.9	110		5 6	×		Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, very stiff to hard, moist to very moist, yellowish brown to pale brown with olive brown	Fines = 69% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 73% PP = 3-4.5 tsf Fines = 64% PP= 2.0 tsf
8	35.7			7		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, firm to stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 1.0 tsf
9	24.6			10			Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY ; concretions, firm to stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 50% PP = 1.7 tsf
10	14.0			 11	X	SM	Silty SAND; fine to medium, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 28 %
10	40.7			12 13	8	СН	Fat CLAY; firm to stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with reddish brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 1.7-2 tsf
							End of test pit @ 13' 2" No groundwater encountered	
							Nuclear gauge density test data at 5.0' Dry density = 94 pcf Moisture content = 26.1%	
							Bulk 🖾 CD 🔳 SPT 🔽	

Bulk

9 9 1 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>RY RING</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1 C</th> <th>5. 31 Of:1</th>				RY RING					Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1 C	5. 31 Of:1
is - C is S u u is S u u is is <th>ample No.</th> <th>Moisture Intent (%)</th> <th>Dry Unit งight (pcf)</th> <th>quivalent SPT</th> <th>)epth (ft)</th> <th>ple Location</th> <th>aphic Log</th> <th>soil Type (USCS)</th> <th>Sampling Method : Bulk - CDTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGround ElevaLocation : See Figure A-2Date Excavate</th> <th>tion: ed : 09-10-2018</th>	ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit งight (pcf)	quivalent SPT)epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CDTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGround ElevaLocation : See Figure A-2Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-10-2018
1 8.5 2 11.7 3 16.1 4 15.9 14.7 14.7 5 14.7 6 18.7 7 34.9 1 11.7 1 11.7 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 1.7 6 18.7 7 34.9 1 11.7 1 11.7 1 11.7 1 11.7 1 15.9 1.4.7 12.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.7 2.4.9 1.4.7 1.4.7 1.4.8.7 1.4.8.7 1.4.9 1.4.9 1.4.9 1.4.9 1.4.9 1.4.9 1.1.1 1.1.1 1.1.1 1.1.1 1.1.1	Sa	د م د	I We	Ш		Sam	G	S -	Description	Additional Tests
1 8.5 2 11.7 ALLUVIUN: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, slightly moist, hard, Fires = 72%, PP = 4.5 tsf 3 16.1 4 15.9 112 112 CL CL Fires = 63%, PP = 4.5 tsf 6 18.7 14.7 5 14.7 CH CL CL Fires = 63%, PP = 3.5 tsf 7 34.9 5 12.7 CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown and white inclusions PP = 3.5 tsf 7 34.9 6 18.7 CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very pP = 3.5 tsf 9 - - CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very pP = 3.5.4.5 tsf 10 - - CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very pP = 3.5.4.5 tsf 9 - - CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very pP = 3.5.4.5 tsf 10 - - - CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very pP = 3.5.4.5 tsf 11 - - - - - - - <					0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown	
3 16.1 4 15.9 5 14.7 6 18.7 7 34.9 3 16.1 7 34.9 11 12 14 15.9 11.7 11.7 34.9 12 13.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 15.9 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7 15.9 15.9 16.9 17.9 18.17 19.9 10.1 11.1 12.2	1 2	8.5 11.7			2			a	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, slightly moist, hard, dark yellowish brown,	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 74% PP = 4.5 tsf
3 14.1 1	3 4 5	16.1 15.9	112		4 – 4 – 5 –			CL		Fines = 63% PP = 2-3 tsf EI = 86 PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 60% PP = 3.5 tsf
7 34.9 7 CH Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown with pale yellow Finas = 91%, pP = 3.5-4.5 tsl 9 9 - End of test pit @ 8' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 4.8' Dry density = 102 pcf Moisture content = 16.2% 10 - - - - - - 11 - - - - - - 12 - - - - - - 11 - - - - - - - 12 - - - - - - - - 14 - <td< td=""><td>6</td><td>18.7</td><td></td><td></td><td>6 <mark> </mark> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown and white inclusions</td><td>#200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 2-2.5 tsf</td></td<>	6	18.7			6 <mark> </mark>				Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown and white inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 63% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
End of test pit @ 8' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 4.8' Dry density = 102 pcf Moisture content = 16.2%	7	34.9			7	\mathbb{X}		СН	Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive brown to light olive brown with pale yellow	Fines = 91% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
					9 10 11 12 9 10 11 12				End of test pit @ 8' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 4.8' Dry density = 102 pcf Moisture content = 16.2%	

(K		RY		_			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Construction Level S.I.	5. 32
	& TE	STING	, INC.					Sheet : 1 O Exploration Method : Backhoe	f:1
<u>.</u>	e %)	t cf)	nt	÷	ation	og	e	Sampling Method : Bulk	•
ple N	istur ent (/ Uni	ivale BT	th (fi	Loc	hic L	Typ SCS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	d : 09-07-2018
Sam	Conte	Dry Veigl	Equi S	Dep	ample	Grapl	Soil (U;		Additional
	-	-		0	ÿ			2000 piton	Tests
				1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; hard, slightly moist, grayish brown	
1	4.2			2 —	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 77% PP = 4.5 tsf
2	8.3			3 <mark> </mark>	×		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; hard, slightly moist, rootlets, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf EI = 61
				4					Fines - 68%
3	19.8				X		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, very stiff, moist to	PP = 3-3.5 tsf
4	21.2			6	\mathbb{X}			very moist, yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 65% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
				7				End of test pit @ 6' 3" No groundwater encountered	
				10 11					
				12 <u>-</u> - - - -					
								Bulk 🔀 CD 🔳 SPT 🔀	

y y <thy< th=""> <thy< th=""> <thy< th=""></thy<></thy<></thy<>) f : 1
B -3	tion: ed : 09-07-2018
1 15.4 2 17.9 3 12.7 4 15.7 110 6 5 8.1 6 29.3 9 1 10 1 11 15.7 110 10 110 10 110 10 110 10 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110	Additional Tests
2 17.9 3 2 17.9 3 12.7 4 15.7 110 5 - 4 15.7 110 5 - - Iayer of sity sand 5 8.1 7 - SM Sitty SAND; fine to coarse, trace of gravel, moist, olive brown 6 29.3 9 - - - - 10 - - - - - - 10 - - - - - - 6 29.3 - - - - - - 10 - - - - - - - - 11 - <td< td=""><td>#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 4.5 tef</td></td<>	#200 Wash Fines = 75% PP = 4.5 tef
3 12.7 4 15.7 110 5 5 8.1 6 7 8 CH 8 CH 9 CH 10 Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, very stiff, moist, pale brown with white specks 5 8.1 6 29.3 9 CH Fat CLAY; moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks 9 CH Fat CLAY; moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks 9 10 11 End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	Fines = 59% PP = 4.5 tsf
3 12.7 4 15.7 5 8.1 6 7 8 CH Fat CLAY; moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks 9 CH End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	EI =63
5 8.1 6 29.3 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 12 13 Silty SAND; fine to coarse, trace of gravel, moist, olive brown Fat CLAY; moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks Part CLAY: moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks Part CLAY: moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks No groundwater encountered	#200 Wash Fines = 54% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 50%
6 29.3 8 - CH Fat CLAY; moist to very moist, stiff, pale brown with white specks 9 - CH End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	#200 Wash Fines = 19%
End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	#200 Wash Fines = 96% PP = 3-3.5 tsf

visite visite<	$\left($			RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Exploration Method : Backhoe	b. 35 f :1
\vec{v} v	Imple No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	lepth (ft)	ple Location anhic Loc		soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	ion: d : 09-10-2018
1 12.9 1	Sa	- °S	I We	Щ		Sam	5	0	Description	Additional Tests
1 12.9 13.7 2 13.7 3 16.5 4 16.6 5 18.1 6 15.6 116 7 20.9 116 7 20.9 116 116 117 116 118 116 116 116 116 116 116 116 117 116 118 116 119 116 110 111 111 111 112 113 113 114 111 114 112 115 113 114 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist dark brown pale brown inclusions, several concretions</td> <td></td>					0				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist dark brown pale brown inclusions, several concretions	
3 16.5 4 16.6 5 18.1 6 15.6 116 7 7 20.9 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, very moist, v	1 2	12.9 13.7			2 				ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; hard, slightly moist, rootlets dark yellowish brown	Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 65% PP = 3-4 tsf Fines = 79%
4 16.6 5 18.1 6 5 18.1 6 15.6 116 116 7 20.9 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff to very pale brown and white inclusions Fines = PP = 4 7 20.9 0.9 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff to very moist, very pale brown Fines = PP = 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 6.5' Dry density = 101 pcf Moisture content = 20.9%	3	16.5			4	8		CL		Fines = 69% PP = 4.5 tsf
5 18.1 116 15.6 116 116 pale brown and white inclusions Fines = PP = 4 7 20.9 20.9 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND, abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, very pale brown Fines = PP = 2 9 9 9 End of test pit @ 8' 3" No groundwater encountered 10 11 12 11 12 13 11 12 13 14 14 14 11 12 13 14 14 14	4	16.6			5				Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, yellowish brown to dark yellowish brown and brown inclusions	Fines = 58% PP = 2.0 tsf
7 20.9 20.9 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, very pale brown Fines = pP = 2: 9 - - End of test pit @ 8' 3' No groundwater encountered 10 - - - Nuclear gauge density test data at 6.5' Dry density = 101 pcf 11 - - - - - - 13 - - - - - - 13 - - - - - - 11 - - - - - - - 12 - - - - - - - - 13 -	5 6	18.1 15.6	116		- 7 -	×			pale brown and white inclusions	PP = 4.5 tsf PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 56% PP = 4.5 tsf
End of test pit @ 8' 3" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 6.5' Dry density = 101 pcf Moisture content = 20.9%	7	20.9			8	\times		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, very pale brown	Fines = 78% PP = 2-4.5 tsf
		20.9			o 9 10 11 12 13 13 11 11 12 13				End of test pit @ 8' 3" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 6.5' Dry density = 101 pcf Moisture content = 20.9%	PP = 2-4.5 tsf

$\left($			RING		-			Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	5. 36
ole No.	sture nt (%)	Unit t (pcf)	valent PT	th (ft)	Location	nic Log	Type (CS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva Data Evacutation : See Figure A 2	tion:
amp	Mois	Dry /eigh	Equi [,] S	Dep	mple	iraph	Soil (US		Additional
0	ပ	\$	_	0	Sai	U		Description	Tests
				1 <u>-</u>				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, slightly moist to moist, very dark brown	
1	8.1			2 <u>-</u> 3 -			CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, slightly moist to moist,	Fines = 73% PP = 4.5 tsf
2	10.6			4	X			very dark brown	Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 74%
3	10.2	110		_	Ä				$\frac{PP = 4.5 \text{ tsf}}{Fines = 75\%}$
4	27.2	118		5 6 7	8		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; slightly moist to moist, stiff to very stiff, mottled dark brown and white, concretions	PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 83% PP= 4.5 tsf
6	32.8			8	\mathbb{X}				Fines =82 % PP = 4.5 tsf
								End of test pit @ 8' Modear gauge density test data at 4.5' Dy density = 108 pcf Moisture content = 11.8%	

ENS.						Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit N	No. 37
d Q	.STING	, INC.	loi	60		Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk - CD	Sneet : 1	UT : 1
ple No isture ent (9	/ Unit ht (po	ivalen SPT	oth (ft) Local	hic Lo	l Type SCS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elev Date Excava	vation: nted : 09-13-2018
Mo	Dry Weigl	Equi	Dep	Grap	Soil (U:	Description		Additional
1 7.7	_		0 			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, brown	slightly moist,	Tests #200 Wash Fines = 68% PP = 4.5 tsf
2 12.9			2 -		UL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, very yellowish brown	stiff, moist, dark	#200 Wash Fines = 74% PP = 4.5 tsf
3 22.2			3 <u>-</u>			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of grave moist, dark yellowish brown	el, very stiff,	Fines = 70% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf EI = 105
4 17.5	101		4		сц/сн	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, s slightly moist to moist, mottled dark brown and	stiff to very stiff, I white	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf
5 28.1			6 - -			Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, on to dark yellowish brown	dark olive brown	#200 Wash Fines = 91% PP= 2-4 tsf
			$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ - \\ 8 \\ - \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ - \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$			End of test pit @ 7' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 111 pcf Moisture content = 16.2 Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density = 100 pcf Moisture content = 21.0	2%	

K	OU	RY					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Construction Level S.I.	o. 38
	STING	RING , INC.		-			Sheet:1 (Df : 1
				Ę	_		Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk	
No. (%	nit (pcf	lent	(ft)	catic	Loc	/pe S)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	tion:
oistu	ght U	uiva SPT	spth	le Lo	phic	usc	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavat	ed:09-07-2018
Sal Cor M	D Wei	Eq	ă	Samp	Gra	S. C.	Description	Additional Tests
			0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, stiff, dry to slightly moist, dark brown	
1 12.2	1		2 <u>-</u> - 3 <u>-</u>	8		CL	OLD ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, stiff to very stiff, moist, very dark brown	Fines = 75% PP = 4 tsf
2 16.1			4 <mark>-</mark> 4 5	8		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, trace of gravel, very stiff, moist, brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 51% PP = 4.5 tsf
3 16.7							slightly darker, olive brown	Fines = 50%
			$ \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ - \\ 7 \\ - \\ 7 \\ - \\ 8 \\ - \\ 9 \\ - \\ 10 \\ - \\ 11 \\ - \\ 12 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$				End of test pit @ 6' No groundwater encountered	

viscous viscous <t< th=""><th>K EN & T</th><th></th><th>RING</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Construction Level S.I. Sheet : 1 C</th><th>5. 39 if : 1</th></t<>	K EN & T		RING				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Construction Level S.I. Sheet : 1 C	5. 39 if : 1
B B	isture	y Unit ht (pcf)	iivalent SPT	oth (ft)	e Location hic Log	il Type SCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-07-2018
Image: Problem in the second	Sam	Veig	Equ	Del	Grap	Soi U	Description	Additional
1 9.3				0	σ		FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, dry to slightly moist, brown	Tests
2 13.8 Image: Second sec	1 9.3			2	X			#200 Wash Fines = 73% PP = 4.5 tsf
3 17.1 116 4 4 5 4 Fire = 07% Fire = 57% 4 13.5 1 13.5 1 14 14 14 14 15 14 15 14 14 14 15 15 14 14 15 14 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 16 1	2 13.8	3		3	X	CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with dark brown	Fines = 72% PP = 4 tsf
4 13.5 Image: state sta	3 17.	1 116		4 <u> </u>				Fines = 60% PP = 4.5 tsf Consolidation
End of test pit @ 6' No groundwater encountered 9	4 13.	5		6	×			Fines = 57% PP = 4.5 tsf
				7			No groundwater encountered	

(RY RING				Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Complementation Methods Residue Sheet : 1	5. 40 f:1
		<u> </u>					Sampling Method : Bulk - CD	
No.	ure (%	nit (pcf	lent	(£)	Loc	s)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	tion:
nple	oistu tent	y U	SPT	pth	e Lo bhic	ii Ty JSC:	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	d : 09-10-2018
San	Con	Dr Weig	Equ	De	Grap	(L So	Description	Additional Tests
1	10.3			0 1	7	CL	FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; crumbly, slightly moist, brown ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 77%
				2	N		Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of rootlets, slightly moist to moist, very stiff to hard, dark brown to very dark brown	PP = 4.5 tst
2 3 4	10.8 10.7 11.5	117		3			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of rootlets, slightly moist to moist, very stiff to hard, dark brown to very dark brown Maximum density, Direct shear	Fines 78% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines 79% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 77% PP = 4.5 tsf EI = 162 Eines = 72%
5 6 7	14.9 17.1 17.7	96				сц/сн	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, very stiff to hard, moist, dark yellowish brown with white and pale brown inclusions	PP = 4.5 tsf PP = 4.5 tsf
8	24.8			7				Fines = 73% PP = 3-4.5 tsf
9	30.7			8			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, very moist, stiff, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 77% PP = 2.7-3.5 tsf
				9			End of test pit @ 8' 8" No groundwater encountered	
							Nuclear gauge density test data at 3' Dry density = 106 pcf Moisture content = 13.7%	
				11 12			Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' 8" Dry density = 99 pcf Moisture content = 16.2%	
				. . .				
							D Bulk CD SPT	1

			RY RING		-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	5. 41 f:1
nple No.	oisture ntent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	uivalent SPT	epth (ft)	le Location	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	t ion: • d : 09-13-2018
Sai	⊆ ⊆	D Wei	Eq	ă	Samp	Gra	S =)	Description	Additional Tests
1	10.0			0 1	×			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel and rootlets, crumbly, dry to slightly moist, brown	#200 Wash Fines = 80% PP = 4.5 tsf
2	12.8			2 <u>-</u> - 3 <u>-</u> -	×		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel and concretions, crumbly, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown with white inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 80% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	14.1			4	X			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, crumbly, mottled brown and pale brown	Fines = 65% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	17.7			5	X		CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown, pale brown with white	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
5	27.8			6 —	\mathbb{X}			inclusions	Fines = 82% PP = 2-4.5 tsf
								End of test pit @ 6' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 3' Dry density = 109pcf Moisture content = 14.7% Nuclear gauge density test data at 5' Dry density = 93 pcf Moisture content = 21.2%	PP = 2-4.5 tst
								Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 SPT 🔀	

$\left($	ENG & TE		RING					Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	5. 42 of:1
ple No.	isture ent (%)	y Unit ht (pcf)	ivalent SPT	oth (ft)	Location	hic Log	l Type SCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-07-2018
Sam	Mo Cont	Dr. Weig	Equ	Dep	ample	Grap	Soi (U	Description	Additional
				0 1	Ö	-		FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, dry to slightly moist, yellowish brown	Tests
1 2	9.5 12.8			2 <u>-</u> - 3 <u>-</u>	X X		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean Clay; rootlets, trace of concretions and gravel, very stiff, slightly moist to moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 72% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 65% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	18.6			4 5 6	×			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, crumbly, stiff to very stiff, moist, pale brown to brown	EI = 62 #200 Wash Fines = 57% PP = 2.75-4 tsf
4	6.3			7 <u>-</u> 7 <u>-</u> 8 <u>-</u>	\mathbb{N}		SM	Silty SAND; fine to medium, moist, clay inclusions, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 10%
								End of test pit @ 8' 2" No groundwater encountered	

E			RY RING					Project No. 18-0817Test Pit NProject Name : OC PradoSheet : 1	lo. 43 Of :1
nple No.	oisture tent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	uivalent SPT	ipth (ft)	le Location	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : BackhoeSampling Method : BulkTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceLocation : See Figure A-2Date Excave	vation: ted : 09-07-2018
Sar	Con	D Wei	Eq	De	Samp	Gra	SC SC	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist, brown	16313
1	20.4			2	8		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 67% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
2	17.2			4 5	\mathbb{X}			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel and concretions, stiff, moist, pale brown to yellowish brown	Fines = 51% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
3	16.9			6 —	X				Fines = 50% PP = 2.5 tsf
4	29.3			7	8		СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, moist to very moist, olive brown	Fines = 80% PP = 4.5 tsf
				8				End of test pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered	

$\left($			RY RING				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Shee Exploration Method : Backhoe	stPitNo. eet:1 Of	. 44 : 1
nple No.	oisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	uivalent SPT	apth (ft)	ne Location phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : BulkTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGrouLocation : See Figure A-2Date	und Elevatio Excavated	on: : 09-13-2018
Sar	Con	D Wei	Eq	Ĕ,	Gra	Sc Sc	Description		Additional Tests
1	10.8			0 			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, crumbly, stiff, s moist, very dark brown	slightly	Fines = 74% PP = 3.5 tsf
2	15.8			2	8		ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND: trace of concretions, very stiff, very dark brown with white inclusions	, moist,	Fines = 75% PP = 3-3.7 tsf
3	15.1			3		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with white inclusions		Fines = 73% PP = 3.3-4.5 tsf EI = 57
4	16.6			4			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, very stiff, mo dark yellowish brown with white inclusions	oist,	Fines = 70% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
5	15.0						mostly light yollowish brown and hale brown		Fines = 51% PP = 4.5 tsf
6	16.4			6 - - - - - - - - - - - - -			mostly light yellowish brown and pale brown End of test pit @ 6' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 106 pcf Moisture content = 17.7% Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density = 101 pcf Moisture content = 20.0%		Fines = 52% PP = 4.5 tsf
							Bulk 🔯 CD 🗖	SPT	

$\left($			RY RING	-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 (Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 45 Df : 1
ö	(%	sf)	Ħ		tion Dg	Ċ,	Sampling Method : Bulk	
le N	sture nt (9	Unit t (pc	valer. PT	th (ft	ic Lo	Typ∉ (CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	ation:
amp	Mois onte	Dry leigh	Equiv SI	Dept	raph	Soil (US	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavat	Additional
S	Ó	Š	-		C N		Description	Tests
1	11.0			0 			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, very stiff, slightly moist to moist, gray and dark brown	Fines = 74% PP = 4.5 tsf
2 3	14.7 15.1			2		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, dark brown to dark reddish brown	Fines = 76% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf Fines = 75% PP = 4.5 tsf
4	18.5			3 -			Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark brown to dark reddish brown	Fines = 72% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
5	22.1			4			OLDER ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 66% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
6	22.4			5 6		сц/сн	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of gravel and concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 74% PP= 2.5-4.5 tsf
7	26.8			7			Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown	Fines =78% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
				9 <u>-</u> 9 <u>-</u> 10 <u>-</u>			End of test pit @ 8' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 18" Dry density = 117 pcf Moisture content = 14.2%	
							Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density =102 pcf Moisture content = 19.9%	

$\left($			RY RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	5. 46 f:1
÷	()	(j	t		ion	g	_	Sampling Method : Bulk	
le Nc	ture nt (%	Unit (pc	alen T	h (ft)	-ocat	ic Lo	rype CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	tion:
ampl	Mois onter	Dry l eight	iquiv SF	Dept	1 aldr	aphi	Soil 7 (US	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	d:09-06-2018
S	ŭ	Ň	ш	-	San	Ū	••	Description	Tests
				0 _ 1			CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, slightly moist to moist, brown	Fines 040/
1	13.2			2	*			ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, stiff, dark brown	PP = 4.5 tsf
2	18.9			<u> </u>	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 68% PP = 4.5 tsf
				4 <mark>-</mark> - 5 -				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, yellowish brown with pale brown	
3	22.3				\bigtriangledown		CL/CH	olive brown and white inclusions	Fines = 71% PP = 3.2-3.7 tsf
4	18.4			6	$\widehat{\mathbb{X}}$			mostly pale brown to very pale brown	Fines = 66%
				7					11 - 4.0 101
				<u> </u>	N 7				Finan - 50%
5	15.1			8 —	X				PP = 4.5 tsf
				9 - - - - - - - - -				No groundwater encountered	
L				1				Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 SPT 🔀	1

Vision Vision	$\left($			RY RING	~	-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 47 of:1
Note	ė	()	(J)	t		ion	g		Sampling Method : Bulk	
B B	le No	sture nt (%	Unit t (pc	/alen PT	h (ft)	Locat	ic Lo	Type CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	tion:
o O s - is o Description Integer Tests 1 31.4 1 1 1 31.4 1 <td< td=""><td>amp</td><td>Mois onte</td><td>Dry /eigh</td><td>Equiv SI</td><td>Dept</td><td>mple</td><td>iraph</td><td>Soil (US</td><td>Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate</td><td>Additional</td></td<>	amp	Mois onte	Dry /eigh	Equiv SI	Dept	mple	iraph	Soil (US	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	Additional
1 31.4 <t< td=""><td>"</td><td>0</td><td>\$</td><td>_</td><td>0</td><td>Sa</td><td>U</td><td></td><td>Description</td><td>Tests</td></t<>	"	0	\$	_	0	Sa	U		Description	Tests
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					1 <u>-</u>				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of organics, crumbly, slightly moist to moist, brown	
2 18.7 3 17.1 4 14.6 5 - 6 - 7 - 0LDER ALLUVIUW: Sandy Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown Fines = 73% PP = 3.74 tsf 5 14.2 6 - 7 - 8 - - - 9 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 14.2 - 8 - - - 9 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 10 -	1	31.4			2	8			ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of organics, stiff to very stiff, moist, very dark brown	Fines = 68% PP = 2-3 tsf
4 14.6 Image: second seco	2 3	18.7 17.1			3 4 5	***		CL	Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 76% PP = 4 tsf Fines = 79% PP = 2.5 tsf
5 14.2 PP=201sf 6 22.1 8 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, very stiff, moist to very moist, very pale brown 9 9 End of test pit @ 8' 6* No groundwater encountered 10 11 12 11 11 12 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 16 14 14 17 14 14 18 14 14 19 14 14 10 14 14 11 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 16 14 14 17 14 14 18 14 14 19 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 <	4	14.6			6 <mark>-</mark> - 7 -	8			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 73% PP = 3.7-4 tsf
6 22.1 8 CL/CH CL/CH Very molist, very pale brown Fines = 82% 9 - - End of test pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered PP = 3.5.4 tsi 10 - - - - No groundwater encountered -	5	14.2			_	×			Loop to Eat CLAY with SAND, apparations your stiff maint to	PP = 2.0 tsf
End of test pit @ 8' 6' No groundwater encountered	6	22.1			8	\mathbb{X}		CL/CH	very moist, very pale brown	Fines = 82% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
									End of test pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered	

/	V.		DV					Project No. 18-0817	est Pit N	lo. 48
(ENG	INEE						Project Name : OC Prado		Of · 1
	-	/						Exploration Method : Backhoe		
		()			u	a		Sampling Method : Bulk		
No	ure : (%	nit (pc	lent	(tt)	ocati	Lo.	ype S)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Gro	ound Elev	vation:
nple	oisti Itent	rry U ght	uiva SPT	spth	le Lo	phic	usc.	Location : See Figure A-2 Dat	te Excava	ted : 09-06-2018
Sai	Cor	D Wei	Eq	ð	Samp	Gra	S,	Description		Additional Tests
				0 1 			ä	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, very stiff moist to moist, very dark brown	, slightly	#200 Wash Fings - 75%
1 2	13.5 16.3			2 <u>-</u> 3 <u>-</u>	×		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel and concretions, v moist, dark yellowish brown	very stiff,	PP = 4.5 tsf EI = 64 Fines = 73% PP = 2.5-4.5 tsf
3	14.3			4 <u>-</u> - 5 <u>-</u>	8		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, pa	ale brown	Fines = 59% PP = 3 tsf
4	23.3			6	8			to very pale brown		#200 Wash Fines = 74% PP = 3.5 - 4 tsf
								End of test pit @ 6' 6" No groundwater encountered		PP = 3.5 - 4 tst
					# 1			Bulk CD	SPT	X

/	K	DU	RY					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Test Pit N	o. 49
(ENG & TE	INEE	RING					Sheet:1 C	Df : 1
					2			Exploration Method : Backhoe	
No.	re (%)	nit pcf)	ent	ft)	catio	Log	be	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	tion:
aldı	oistu tent	y Ur jht (lival SPT	pth (e Lo	ohic	il Ty JSCS	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavat	ed:09-10-2018
San	Con	Dr Weiç	Equ	De	Sampl	Gra	(r So	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, rootlets, crumbly, slightly moist, dark brown	
1	13.9			2	8		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, trace of gravel, rootlets, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 74% PP = 3-4.5 tsf
2	13.8			3	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 71% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	19.2			4 <u> </u>	\mathbb{X}			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, yellowish brown to pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 58% PP= 2.5-3.5 tsf
4	17.3			6	\mathbb{X}		CL/CH		Fines = 54% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
5	23.9			°				Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, pale brown to very pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 79% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
				8 9 10 11 12 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				End of test pit @ 8' No groundwater encountered	PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
	1							Bulk 🔀 CD 🔳 SPT 🕨	3

Ea			RY RING	·			Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit N Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1	lo. 50 Of : 1
ample No. Moisture	moisture ontent (%)	Dry Unit eight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	Depth (ft)	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleve Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excava	vation: ted : 09-12-2018
°S –	- <u>റ</u>	Ŵ	ш		Gr	07	Description	Additional Tests
1 1	11.2			0 			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, slightly moist, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 76% PP = 4.5 tsf
2 1	11.9			2		ci	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, slightly moist to moist, very dark brown	Fines = 71% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines = 73% PP = 3.0 tsf
3 1 4 1	16.4			3 <u>-</u> 3 <u>-</u>		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown,	EI = 71 #200 Wash Fines = 57% PP = 2.2 tsf
5 1	19.4			4	X		medium plasticity	Fines = 56% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
6 2	22.4			5 <u>-</u>		CL/CH	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown and white	#200 Wash Fines = 69% PP = 3-3.7 tsf
				$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 $			End of test pit @ 5' 6" Noclear gauge density test data at 2' Dy density = 102 pcf Moisture content = 20.3% Nuclear gauge density test data at 3' Dy density = 105 pcf Moisture content = 18.7%	

$\left($			RY RING				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 (o. 51 Df : 1
ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT)epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	ioil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Backnoe Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	ntion: ed : 09-12-2018
Sa	° °	l We	Щ		Sam Gr;	s -	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, moist, brown	Finan 620/
1	17.8 19.0				×	CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, very dark brown	PP = 3-3.7 tsf Fines = 57%
3	19.7			3 -			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	PP = 2-3.5 tsf Fines = 59% PP = 3.5 tsf
4	23.4			4	8			Fines = 80% PP = 2-3 tsf
5	16.7	105		5			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff to very stiff,	Fines = 77% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	21.6				8	CL/CH	moist to very moist, very pale brown	Fines = 81% PP = 2-2.7 tsf
6	23.4			/ 8				Fines = 80% PP = 2.5-4 tsf
	19.0			9 - - - - - - - - -			End of test pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered	PP = 1.5-2 tsf
							Bulk 🔯 CD 🗖 SPT 🖸	3

$\left($			RY RING		-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 C Exploration Method : Backhoe	5. 52 9f:1
		-		1	S	-		Sampling Method : Bulk - CD	
No.	Ire (%)	nit (pcf	lent	(£	catic	Loç	s)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	tion:
nple	oistu tent	ry Ui ght	uiva	pth	le Lo	phic	JSC:	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	ed:09-12-2018
San	Con	Dı Weiç	Equ	De	Samp	Gra	So (I	Description	Additional Tests
1	9.3			0 1	8			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; rootlets, hard, slightly moist, very dark brown	#200 Wash Fines = 83% PP = 4.5 tsf
2	10.6			2				ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; rootlets carbonate, slightly moist, hard, dark brown with white specks	#200 Wash Fines = 82%
3	15.3				×		CL		PP = 4.5 tsf
4	13.8	99		4 5				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; small trace of concretions, very stiff to hard, moist, dark brown, pale brown	Fines = 82% PP = 4.5 tsf
5	16.0			6	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 76%
6	16.6			7				Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, concretions, carbonate, very stiff to hard, moist to very moist, brown to pale brown	Fines = 60% PP = 3-4.5 tsf
7	6.5			8 -			SM	SAND with SILT and GRAVEL; fine to coarse, sub rounded gravel, moist, brown	Fines = 11% Gravel = 28%
				9 <u>-</u> 				End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	
				11 12 				Dry density = 103 pcf Moisture content =13.1% Nuclear gauge density test data at 6' Dry density = 101 pcf Moisture content = 22.4%	
				_				Bulk 🔀 CD 🔳 SPT 🔀	

(K	DU	RY					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit I	No. 53
	& TES	STING	, INC.					Exploration Method - Paskhoa	Sheet: 1	Of : 1
	-	Ĵ.			Б	D		Sampling Method : Bulk		
e No	ure t (%	Jnit (pc1	alent T	(ft)	ocati	c Lo	ype (S)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service	Ground Ele	vation:
Idmi	Aoist nten	Jry L ight	guiva SP	epth	ple L	aphi	oil T (USC	Location : See Figure A-2	Date Excava	ted: 09-12-2018
Sa	≥ ° S	I We	Ē		Sam	G	S -	Description		Additional Tests
				0				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist, brown	yellowish	
1	17.4			1	\mathbb{X}		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist, dark	yellowish	Fines = 65% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf
2	16.5			2	\mathbb{X}					Fines = 57% PP= 4.0 tsf
3	20.8			3 -	\mathbb{X}					Fines = 63% PP = 4.0 tsf EI = 50
4	19.7			4	\mathbb{X}		СЦ/СН	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, moist, pale brown	very stiff,	Fines = 63% PP = 4.0 tsf
5	22.7									Fines = 61% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
6	22.3				X					Fines = 70% PP = 4.0 tsf
				9 9				No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 111 pcf Moisture content = 17.0% Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density = 99 pcf Moisture content = 19.7%		
				10 11						
				12 <u>-</u> - -						
								Bulk 🔀 CD	SPT	×

9 9 1 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>RING , INC.</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 O Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1 O</th> <th>b. 54 f:1</th>				RING , INC.					Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 O Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1 O	b. 54 f:1
is is<	Imple No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT)epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CDTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGround ElevalLocation : See Figure A-2Date Excavate	ion: d : 09-12-2018
1 17.1 107 107 107 107 107 10 1 1 10.1	Sa	Co P	I We	ш		Sam	G	S -	Description	Additional Tests
1 17.1 107 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>0 1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, slightly moist to moist, dark yellowish brown</th> <th></th>					0 1				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, slightly moist to moist, dark yellowish brown	
2 224 3 24.7 3 3 4 7 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>17.1</td><td>107</td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>CL</td><td>ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown</td><td>#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP = 4.5 tsf</td></td<>	1	17.1	107		2			CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash Fines = 88% PP = 4.5 tsf
3 24.7 4 25.6 5 26.2 6 26.5 8 - 9 - 10 - 9 - 11 - 12 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 25.6 15 26.2 16 26.5 16 26.5 16 26.5 End of test pit @ 7.6° No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 18' Dry density = 110 pcf. Moisture content = 16.5% Nuclear gauge density test data at 14' Dry density = 96 pcf. Moisture content = 26.3%	2	22.4			3					#200 Wash Fines = 81%
4 25.6 26.2 Image: Curce to the second	3	24.7			4				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; abundant concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 76% PP = 4.5 tsf
5 26.2 6 26.5 7	4	25.6			-	X		CL/CH		PP= 2.5-3 tsf
6 26.5 7 8 End of test pit @ 7 6". No groundwater encountered 9 9 10 Nuclear gauge density test data at 18" Dry density = 110 pcf Moisture content = 16.5%. 10 11 11 11 11 12 11 12 11 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 12 13 11 12 13 14 14 15 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	5	26.2			6	\mathbb{X}			Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, light olive brown with pale brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 68% PP = 2.7-4 tsf
End of test pit @ 7 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 18" Dry density = 110 pcf Moisture content = 16.5% Nuclear gauge density test data at 4" Dry density = 96 pcf Moisture content = 26.3%	6	26.5				\mathbb{X}				Fines = 72% PP = 2-3 tsf
					$\begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ - \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - \\ - \\ - \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - \\ - \\ - \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$				End of test pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 18" Dry density = 110 pcf Moisture content = 16.5% Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density = 96 pcf Moisture content = 26.3%	

$\left($			RY RING					Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit N Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1	o. 55 Of:1
ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit eight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	Jepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Elev Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excava	ation: aed : 09-12-2018
ů	- ŭ	Ŵ	Э		San	ษ		Description	Additional Tests
				0 			CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist, dark brown	
1	13.7				X				Fines = 79% PP = 2.5-4 tsf
2	15.3				X			ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, stiff to very stiff. moist. very dark brown	Fines = 80% PP = 3.2-3.7 tsf EI = 111
3	15.3			3 -	X		CL/CH		Fines = 80% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
4	16.5 $4 - 22.7$ $5 - 7$							OLDER ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 78% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
5	22.7			5	X			Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 73% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
				$\begin{bmatrix} - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - $				End of test pit @ 5' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 105 pcf Moisture content = 11.0% Nuclear gauge density test data at 5' Dry density = 100 pcf Moisture content = 26.5%	
								D Bulk CD SPT	3

Sampling Method: Bulk Sampling Method: Bulk Cround Elevation: Date Excavated: :0::06:2018 1 13.2 1	$\left($			RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 (Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 56 Df : 1
\overline{a}	mple No.	foisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ole Location	aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : BulkGround ElevaTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGround ElevaLocation : See Figure A-2Date Excavat	ition: ed : 09-06-2018
1 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 14.1 <t< td=""><td>Sa</td><td>≥ō</td><td>L We</td><td>Щ</td><td>Δ</td><td>Sam</td><td>Gra</td><td>ŝ</td><td>Description</td><td>Additional Tests</td></t<>	Sa	≥ō	L We	Щ	Δ	Sam	Gra	ŝ	Description	Additional Tests
2 15.9 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>13.2</td><td></td><td></td><td>0 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, stiff, slightly moist, dark brown</td><td>Fines = 75%</td></t<>	1	13.2			0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, stiff, slightly moist, dark brown	Fines = 75%
3 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 10.1 <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>15.9</td><td></td><td></td><td>2 2 3 4</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>CL</td><td>ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, dark brown</td><td>PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 2.5-4.5 tsf</td></t<>	2	15.9			2 2 3 4	×		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff to very stiff, moist, dark brown	PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf #200 Wash Fines = 85% PP = 2.5-4.5 tsf
4 27.1 6 7 1 CL/CH OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown Fines = 87% PP = 3.0 ts ² 5 22.4 8 23.5 9 1 6 23.5 9 1 1 10 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 12 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 12 1 1 1 11 1 1 1 12 1 1 1 14 1 1 1	3	19.7			4 5	8				Fines = 90% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
5 22.4 8 Primes = 87% 6 23.5 9 Fines = 85% 9 10 End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered 11 11 11 12 11 12 11 14 12 15 12 16 12 17 11 18 12 19 12 11 14 11 14 12 14 14 14 15 14 16 14 17 14 18 14 19 10 11 14 12 14 14 14 15 14 16 14 17 14 18 14 19 14 11 14 11 14 14 14 15 14 16 14 17 14 18 14 19 14 11 14 14 14 15	4	27.1			6 <u>-</u> 7 <u>-</u> -	8		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 90% PP = 3.0 tsf
End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	5 6	22.4 23.5			8	X X				Fines = 87% PP = 3.2-3.5 tsf Fines = 85% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
									End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	PP = 2.5-3 IST
/	K		RY					Project No. 18-0817 Te	st Pit I	lo. 57
--------	--------------	---------------	----------------	-------	--------------	------	----------------	--	----------------	-------------------------------
(ENG & TES	INEE	RING , INC.					She	eet : 1	Of : 1
	-		-		-			Exploration Method : Backhoe		
No.	re (%)	it pcf)	ent	ft)	atior	Log	e (i	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Gro	und Flev	vation:
I aldı	istu tent	y Un Iht (iivale SPT	pth (e Loc	hic	il Tyı ISCS	Location : See Figure A-2 Date	e Excava	ited : 09-12-2018
Sam	Mo Cont	Dr Weig	Equ	Del	ampl	Grap	Soi U	Description		Additional
				0	S			FILL:		Tests
								Lean CLAY with SAND; crumbly, slightly moist to mois brown	st, dark	
				1			CI			
1	15.7			2 _	\mathbb{X}		01	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, very dark brown		Fines = 86% PP = 3.5 tsf
2	17.7				X					PP = 2.7-4.5 tsf
3	23.1			3 —	\lor					Fines = 85%
5	20.1						CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff to ver	ry stiff,	PP = 2-3.5 tsf
4	23.4			4				moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	,	Fines = 81% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
				5				End of test pit @ 4' 6"		
				_				No groundwater encountered		
				6 —				Nuclear gauge density test data at 2'		
								Dry density = 106 pcr Moisture content = 19.4%		
				7				Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density = 86 pcf Moisture content = 29.6%		
				。						
				9						
				10						
				11 —						
				12						
								D Bulk CD	SPT	X

9 9 1 <th1< th=""> 1<th>$\left($</th><th></th><th></th><th>RY RING</th><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th>Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Exploration Method : Backhoe</th><th>o. 58 Of:1</th></th1<>	$\left($			RY RING	-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 58 Of:1
(a) (b) (c) (c) <th>ample No.</th> <th>Moisture ontent (%)</th> <th>Dry Unit sight (pcf)</th> <th>iquivalent SPT</th> <th>Jepth (ft)</th> <th>ple Location aphic Log</th> <th>Soil Type (USCS)</th> <th>Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleve Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate</th> <th>ation: ed : 09-06-2018</th>	ample No.	Moisture ontent (%)	Dry Unit sight (pcf)	iquivalent SPT	Jepth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	Soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleve Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	ation: ed : 09-06-2018
1 202 14.9 1 <td< th=""><th>ŝ</th><th>- ö</th><th>Ň</th><th>ш</th><th></th><th>Gr Gr</th><th></th><th>Description</th><th>Additional Tests</th></td<>	ŝ	- ö	Ň	ш		Gr Gr		Description	Additional Tests
1 20.2 14.9 0 0 ALLUVIUW: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, moist, stiff, dark brown Press = 78%, PP = 3.5.4 tel brown 3 21.3 0 0 0 DEER ALLUVIUW: Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown Press = 84%, PP = 2.5.3 tel PP = 2.5.3								FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of travel, slightly moist to moist, stiff, brown	#200 W/ash
2 14.9 3 21.3 1.4	1	20.2			 2	X			#200 Wash Fines = 67% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
3 21.3 4 21.2 5 23.2 6 23.4 5 23.2 6 23.4 6 23.4 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 8 0 8 0 9 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 11 0 12 0 13 0 14 0 15 0 16 0 17 0 18 0 19 0 10 0 11 0 12 0 13 0 14 0 15 0 16 17 <td>2</td> <th>14.9</th> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>8</td> <td>CL</td> <td>ALLOVIOM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, moist, stiff, dark brown</td> <td>Fines = 78% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf EI = 59</td>	2	14.9			3	8	CL	ALLOVIOM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of gravel, moist, stiff, dark brown	Fines = 78% PP = 3.5-4.5 tsf EI = 59
4 21.2 5 23.2 6 23.4 9	3	21.3			5 -	X			Fines = 84% PP = 3.5 tsf
5 23.2 Fines = 90% PP = 2.5-4 tsf 6 23.4 9 10 End of test pit @ 9'6" No groundwater encountered	4	21.2			6 — 7 —			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 89% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
6 23.4 9 Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with dark brown inclusions #200 Wash Fines = 77% PP = 4.5 tsf 10 End of test pit @ 9'6' No groundwater encountered PP = 4.5 tsf 11 12 11 12 11 14 12 15 10	5	23.2					01/011		Fines = 90% PP = 2.5-4 tsf
End of test pit @ 9'6" No groundwater encountered	6	23.4			9 <mark>-</mark>	×		Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with dark brown inclusions	#200 Wash Fines = 77% PP = 4.5 tsf
					$\begin{array}{c c} & - & - \\ 10 & - & - \\ 11 & - & - \\ 12 & - & - \\$			End of test pit @ 9' 6" No groundwater encountered	

-(ENG & TE		RING				Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1	t No. 59 Of : 1
mple No.	loisture itent (%)	ry Unit ght (pcf)	uivalent SPT	∋pth (ft)	phic Log	oil Type USCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Exca	levation: vated : 09-06-2018
Sai	C C	Wei	Б	ă	Gra	°,	Description	Additional Tests
1	16.4			0			FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of wood, stiff, moist, dark brown	
					24	CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, very dark brown	PP = 2.5 tsf
2				4				El = 88
3 4	23.7			6		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, dar yellowish brown with pale brown	Fines = 85% PP = 2.5-3 tsf _ <u>F</u> ines 87%
4	26.5			$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ - \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 11 $			End of test pit @ 7' No groundwater encountered	PP = 2.5-3 tsf

-(RY RING	,				Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit No. Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1	o. 60 Of : 1
ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	Jepth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-06-2018
Se	້ິບ	- Me	Ш		Sam	ษั	0	Description	Additional Tests
				0 			CL	FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND, stiff, moist, dark brown	
1	15.9			` <u>-</u>	X				Fines = 86% PP = 4.0 tsf
2	22.3			2 3	X			ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY; very stiff, moist very dark brown	Fines 89% PP = 1.5-4 tsf EI = 102
3	23.4			4	8		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM:	Fines = 83% PP = 1.7-2.5 tsf
4	25.8			5	8			yellowish brown with pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 78% PP = 2.5-3 tsf
5	31.0			7 — 7 — 8 —			СН	Fat CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown	#200 Wash Fines = 81%
5	51.0							End of test pit @ 8' 3" No groundwater encountered	PP = 2-2.5 tsf

Sampling Method :: Buik Sampling Method :: Buik Ground Elevation: Date Excavated :: 09-06-201 1 15 1 1 2 16.4 3 1 3 14.8 1 1 4 13.7 1 1 5 21.6 1 1 1 12 1 1 1 15.7 1 1 1 15.7 1 1 2 16.4 1 1 3 14.8 1 1 4 13.7 1 1 5 21.6 1 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 7 1 1 8 1 1 9 1 1 13.7 1 1 14 13.7 1 14 13.7 1 15 13.4 1 16 1 17 1 18 1 19 1 11.1 1 11.1 1 12 1 13 1 14 1				RY RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 (Exploration Method : Backhoe	o. 61 Df:1
9 ensigned base 9 ensigned base <th< th=""><th></th><th>-</th><th>6</th><th></th><th></th><th>Б</th><th>5</th><th></th><th>Sampling Method : Bulk</th><th></th></th<>		-	6			Б	5		Sampling Method : Bulk	
Big	No	ure : (%	nit (pc1	lent	(£f)	ocati	, Lo	vpe S)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	ation:
8 8 6 5 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 7 1 15 1 </td <td>nple</td> <td>oisti itent</td> <td>ry U ght</td> <td>uiva SP1</td> <td>pth</td> <td>le Lo</td> <td>phic</td> <td>USC</td> <td>Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavat</td> <td>ed : 09-06-2018</td>	nple	oisti itent	ry U ght	uiva SP1	pth	le Lo	phic	USC	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavat	ed : 09-06-2018
1 15 1 </td <td>Sar</td> <td>Con</td> <td>D Wei</td> <td>Eq</td> <td>ð</td> <td>Samp</td> <td>Gra</td> <td>Sc</td> <td>Description</td> <td>Additional Tests</td>	Sar	Con	D Wei	Eq	ð	Samp	Gra	Sc	Description	Additional Tests
1 15 2 164 3 14.8 4 13.7 5 21.6 9 10 11 11 12 164 14.8 13.7 15 21.6 16 10 17 10 18 10 19 2.1.6 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 11 11 12 10 13 14.8 14 13.7 15 21.6 16 10 17 10 18 10 19 10 10 10 11 11 12 10 13 14.8 14 13.7 15 21.6 16 17 18 19 10 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist to moist, dark yellowish brown</td> <td>#200 Wash</td>					0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist to moist, dark yellowish brown	#200 Wash
2 16.4 Press = 50 3 14.8 13.7 4 13.7 5 21.6 9 1 10 10 11 11 12 10 14 13.7	1	15			2	X			ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff, moist, dark vellowish brown	Fines = 79% PP = 4.0 tsf
3 14.8 4	2	16.4			3	8		CL		Fines = 74% PP = 2.5-4 tsf
4 13.7 5 21.6 5 21.6 4 13.7 5 21.6 5 21.6	3	14.8			4	×			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff, moist,	PINES = 50% PP = 2.0 tsf
5 21.6 Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, olive brown 6 9 7 1 8 1 9 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1	4	13.7			5 <u>-</u> 6 <u>-</u>	8			yellowish brown and pale brown	Fines = 50% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf
5 21.6 9 1 End of test pit @ 9' PP=3.01t 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 11 12 11 12 13 12 11 12 14 14 14 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7 <u>-</u> 7 <u>-</u> 8 <u>-</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>CL/CH</td> <td>Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, olive brown</td> <td></td>					7 <u>-</u> 7 <u>-</u> 8 <u>-</u>			CL/CH	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; abundant concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist, olive brown	
End of test pit @ 9 No groundwater encountered	5	21.6			9	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 56% PP = 3.0 tsf
	5	21.6							End of test pit @ 9' No groundwater encountered	PP = 3.0 tsf

view view <	$\left($			RY RING	·				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 C	5. 62
\vec{w} \vec{v} \vec{v} \vec{u} \vec{u} \vec{u} \vec{v}	mple No.	Aoisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	ioil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Eleva Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-06-2018
1 18.5 0	Sa	⊂ ⊆ C	Ne L	ш	Ó	Sam	Ü	s C	Description		Additional Tests
1 18.5 18.5 ALLUVIUM: 2 ALLUVIUM: bean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark brown 3 25.9 0LDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark brown 4 27.6 0 CL/CH 5 30.3 0 0 6 0 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark brown 6 0 0 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown 6 0 0 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown 6 0 0 CL/CH Eand of test pit @ 10'4' 8 0 0 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 10 0 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 11 11 11 No groundwater encountered 12 11 11 11 No groundwater encountered					0				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brow	n	
2 15.1 3 3 4 1 Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark brown 3 25.9 6 1 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown 4 27.6 7 1 CL/CH Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown 5 30.3 8 1 0 CL/CH Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 6 20.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 10 11 No groundwater encountered 12 11 11 11 No groundwater encountered 12 13	1	18.5			2	*		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; very stiff, moist, dark	brown	#200 Wash Fines = 82% PP = 2.2-3 tsf
3 25.9 1 5 1	2	15.1			3 <mark>-</mark> - 4 -				OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark brow	n	Fines = 72% PP = 3-3.2 tsf
4 27.6 7 CL/CH Indist, dark yellowish brown 5 30.3 8 CL/CH Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 6 20.2 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 10 11 End of test pit @ 10'4" No groundwater encountered	3	25.9			5 <mark>-</mark> 6 -	X			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concre	etions, stiff,	#200 Wash Fines = 86% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
5 30.3 8 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 6 20.2 10 10 End of test pit @ 10' 4" No groundwater encountered 12 11 12 12 11 14 14 14 No groundwater encountered	4	27.6			7	8		СЦ/СН	moist, dark yellowish brown		Fines = 85%
6 20.2 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown 10 CL Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brown to brown End of test pit @ 10' 4* No groundwater encountered 12 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14	5	30.3			8 						Fines = 94% PP = 3.0 tsf
End of test pit @ 10' 4" No groundwater encountered	6	20.2			10	8		CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff, moist, light olive brow	n to brown	#200 Wash Fines = 64% PP = 3.0 tsf
									End of test pit @ 10' 4" No groundwater encountered		
										

/	V		PV					Project No. 18-0817 Tes	st Pit No	. 63
(ENG & TE	INEE	RING		-			Project Name : OC Prado	et:1 Of	:1
	Y	/						Exploration Method : Backhoe		
ċ	(%)	:f)	t		ion	g		Sampling Method : Bulk		
e No	ture nt (9	Jnit (pc	alen T	(ft)	ocat	c Lo	ype CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Grou	und Elevati	on:
Idm	Aois: nter	Jry (ight	sp	eptł	ple L	aphi	oil T (US(Location : See Figure A-2 Date	e Excavated	1 :09-12-2018
Sa	≥ ° C	I We	Ē	Δ	Sam	ъ́р	s -	Description		Additional Tests
				0				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND: crumbly, slightly moist to to m	oist	
				1	Ш			dark brown	0.01,	
1	14.0						CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, very dark brown		#200 Wash Fines = 86% PP = 3.5 tsf
2	20.5			5 <mark>-</mark> - 6 -	8		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown		#200 Wash Fines = 69% PP = 3.5 tsf
				7				End of test pit @ 6' 6"		
				<u> </u>				No gloundwatch cheodritered		
				8 —				Nuclear gauge density test data at 2.5" Dry density = 98 pcf Moisture content = 15.7%		
								Nuclear rouge depaits test data at Cl		
				9				Dry density = 89 pcf Moisture content = 23.3%		
				10						
				10						
				11						
				12						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				_						
				<u> </u>				Bulk 🔯 CD	SPT	

View Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1 Of : 1 Sheet : 1	(K							Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado	t No. 64
Sampling Method ; Bulk Oround Elevation: 1 15.9 2 21.5 4 16.6 5 15.5 6 14.4 7 18.0 1 18.0 1 18.0 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 15.9 1 16.6 1 15.9 1 14.4 1 16.6 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 1 19.9 10 19.9		& TES	STING	, INC.					Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet :	1 Of: 1
Second Barly Legisla Second Barly Legisla <th>÷</th> <th>()</th> <th>Ð</th> <th>t.</th> <th></th> <th>ion</th> <th>ŋ</th> <th></th> <th>Sampling Method : Bulk</th> <th></th>	÷	()	Ð	t.		ion	ŋ		Sampling Method : Bulk	
is is <th< th=""><th>e No</th><th>:ure t (%</th><th>Jnit (pc</th><th>alent T</th><th>(ft)</th><th>ocati</th><th>c Lo</th><th>ype (S)</th><th>Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground B</th><th>levation:</th></th<>	e No	:ure t (%	Jnit (pc	alent T	(ft)	ocati	c Lo	ype (S)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground B	levation:
a b a b b b b c Addition Tests 1 15.9 1	mpl	loist	Jry L ight	sP	epth	ole L	aphi	oil T (USC	Location : See Figure A-2 Date Exc	avated : 09-12-2018
1 15.9 1 1 1 1 Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist to moist, brown PP = 2.5-27 2 21.5 2 2 2 1 1 PP = 3.4-5 3 20.1 3 2 1 1 PP = 3.4-5 4 16.6 4 2 1 PP = 3.4-5 5 15.5 5 1 1 PP = 3.4-5 6 14.4 16 5 1 PP = 3.4-5 7 18.0 7 18.0 7 18.0 7 18.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 PP = 4.5 trans 1 1 1 1 1 1 PP = 4.5 trans PP = 4.5 trans 7 18.0 7 18.0 7 18.0 16	Sa	° ≤ C	L We	Щ	<u>م</u>	Sam	Gra	s C	Description	Additional Tests
1 153 155 1 <th>4</th> <th>15.0</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>0 1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist to moist, brown</th> <th></th>	4	15.0			0 1				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist to moist, brown	
2 21.5 2		15.9				X				PP = 2.5-2.7 tsf
3 20.1 3 3 7	2	21.5			2	8			ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark brown	Fines = 80% PP = 3.7-4.5
4 16.6 14.4 0 0.DER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown Fines = 77 FP= 4.5 is solution 6 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4 Fines = 70 FP= 4.5 is solution Fines = 50 FP= 4.5 is solution 7 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 Fines = 50 FP= 4.5 is solution Fines = 50 FP= 4.5 is solution 9 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 11 11 12 10 11 11 11 12 10 11 11 12 12 11 11 12 10 11 12 12 12 13.5 10 11 12 12 12 13.5 14.5 14.5 11 12 12 13.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 10 11 12 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 11 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5	3	20.1			3 —	\mathbb{X}		CL		Fines = 67% PP = 3-4.5 tsf
5 16.5 14.4 Fines = 57 6 14.4 7 18.0 7 7 18.0 7 18.0 10 9 9 10 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 12 11 11 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	4	16.6			4	X			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 71% PP = 4.5 tsf
6 14.4 PP = 4.5 tr 7 18.0 7 8 End of test pit @ 7 6° No groundwater encountered 9 Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 99 pcf 10 11 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 14 12 14 14 15 15 16 16 16 17 16 18 16 19 10 11 12 11 12 12 14 14 16 15 16 16 16 17 16 18 16 19 16 10 16 11 17 11 16 11 17 12 16 14 16 15 <th>5</th> <td>15.5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>\mathbb{X}</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Fines = 57% PP= 4.5 tsf</td>	5	15.5			5	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 57% PP= 4.5 tsf
7 18.0 Image: property of the second se	6	14.4			б 	8			abundant concretions	Fines 50% PP = 4.5 tsf
End of test pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 99 pcf Moisture content = 21.3%	7	18.0				\mathbb{X}				Fines = 50% PP = 4.5 tsf
					8 9 10 11 12 11 12				End of test pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 99 pcf Moisture content = 21.3%	
					-					T

	ENG & TE		RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 O Exploration Method : Backhoe	5. 65 ff:1
mple No.	Aoisture ntent (%) -	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ple Location	aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	tion: ed : 09-12-2018
Sa	o ≤ C	L We	Ec	Δ	Sam	Gra	ŝ	Description	Additional Tests
				0 1				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; crumbly, dry to slightly moist, dark brown	
1 2	7.7 8.4			2 <u>-</u> 	×		CL	ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of organics, stiff to very stiff, slightly moist to moist, very dark brown	Fines = 86% PP = 4.5 tsf Fines 84% PP = $4.5 tsf$
3	9.3	113		4				Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, caliche stringers, very stiff, slightly moist, mottled dark yellowish brown with white inclusions	PP = 4.5 tsf
4 5	21.6 17.4	114		5	×			Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown and pale brown	Fines = 80% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
6	24.0			6	X		CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 82% PP = 2.7-3 tsf EI = 99
7	20.9			8 -			CL	Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown and white inclusions	Fines = 72% PP = 4-4.5 tsf Fines = 63%
								End of test pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 18" Dry density = 109 pcf Moisture content = 7.9%	PP = 4-4.5 tsf

$\left(\right)$			RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe	lo. 66 Of : 1
mple No.	foisture ntent (%)	Jry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ole Location	aphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Elev Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excava	ation: ted : 09-07-2018
Sa	C ol C	Vei	ы	Ď	Samp	Gra	Š	Description	Additional Tests
				0				FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; slightly moist to moist, dark olive gray	
1	20.9			2	8			ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very mois,t olive brown	Fines = 74% PP = 3-3.5 tsf
2	15.9			3 <mark> </mark> - 4	8		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, olive brown with pale brown	Fines = 79% PP = 4.5 tsf
3	18.2			5	8			Sandy Lean CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown	Fines = 60% PP = 4-4.5 tsf
4	18.1			6	\mathbb{X}		SC	Clayey SAND; fine, layers of sandy lean clay, moist to very moist, yellowish brown with gray inclusions	Fines = 40%
5	18.6			7	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 44%
				8 - - - - - - - - -				End of test pit @ 7' 6" No groundwater encountered	

	E NG		RY RING					Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : Exploration Method : Backhoe	it No. 67 1 Of :1
mple No.	loisture ntent (%)	bry Unit ight (pcf)	juivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ole Location	aphic Log	oil Type USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CDTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGroundLocation : See Figure A-2Date Exc	Elevation: avated : 09-07-2018
Sai	⊡ N C	D Wei	Ed	ă	Samp	Gra	°S (Description	Additional Tests
				0 1			CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, soft, slightly moist, gray brown (pond surface sediments)	sh
1	27.0			2	8			ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; trace of organics, stiff to very stif moist, very dark brown	f, Fines = 74% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
2	17.0			3	8				Fines 70% PP = 3-4 tsf
3	19.9	115		5			CL/CH	OLDER ALLUVIUM; Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; trace concretions, stiff to very sti moist to very moist, medium to high plasticity, dark olive gra	ff, / Fines = 66% PP = 3.2-3.7 tsf Consolidation
4	22.0				\otimes				Fines = 69% PP = 3-4 tsf
5	24.3			8				End of test pit @ 8' 6" No groundwater encountered	Fines = 70%
			l	<u> </u>				Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 S	PT

$\left($			RY RING	~	-			Project No. 18-0817Test Pit NoProject Name : OC PradoSheet : 1	o. 68 Df :1
iple No.	isture ent (%)	y Unit ht (pcf)	iivalent SPT	oth (ft)	e Location	hic Log	il Type SCS)	Exploration Method : Backhoe Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	ition: ed : 09-07-2018
Sam	Mo Cont	Dr Weig	Equ	Del	ampl	Grap	Soi (U	Description	Additional
				0	ŝ			Pond surface containing trash	Tests
								One foot of sediment, very soft, bluish gray	
1	31.9			2				Lean to Fat CLAY; firm, moist ot very moist, dark olive brown to dark gray	Fines = 91% PP = 1-1.5 tsf
1	29.3			3				ALLUVIUM: Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; soft, very moist to wet, olive gray with rusty strike	Fines = 77% PP = .575 tsf
2	22.4			4				Sandy Lean to Fat Clay; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive gray with rusty strike and white specks	Fines = 70% PP = 2-4 tsf
3	31.8			5			CL/CH	Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, olive gray	Fines = 90% PP = 1.5-2 tsf
4 5	33.4 36.5	93 88		6 7 8				Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; firm to stiff, moist to very moist, grayish brown to brownish gray	Fines = 80% PP = 1.2-1.7 tsf Fines = 71% PP = 1.0-1.5 tsf Consolidation
4	37.8			9 <u>-</u> - 10 <u>-</u>				Lean to Fat CLAY; stiff, moist to very moist, olive gray	Fines = 97% PP = 2.5-4.5 tsf
5	30.5			11 12 13			ML	Silt; trace of sand, stiff, moist, dark olive gray	Fines = 92% PP = 2.5-4 tsf
				10				cementation at 13.5 feet	
6	28.2				\mathbb{X}		SM	Silty SAND; fine to medium, wet, dark olive gray	Fines = 13%
								End of test pit @ 14' 6" Water seeping in sand lenses at 3', groundwater at 13' Hole filled with water to 2' of ground surface after one day	
					1			Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 SPT 🗹	3

KOURY								Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Test Pit No. 69				
(ENG & TE		RING					Sheet:1 C)f :1			
		/						Exploration Method : Backhoe				
	(%	cf)	It		tion	go		Sampling Method : Bulk				
e N	S) S) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C					c Lc	CS)	Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Ground Eleva	ition:			
ldm	llois nter	Jry l ight	sP	eptł	ple L	ihde	oil 7 (US(Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excavate	ted : 09-12-2018			
Sa	C N	L We	Ē	Δ	Sam	Gra	ŝ	Description	Additional Tests			
1	13.4			0 _ 1				FILL: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace concretions, very stiff, slightly moist to moist, dark brown	E 200			
				2 <u>-</u>			CL		Fines = 86% PP = 4-4.5 tsf			
2	15.7			3 — - 4 — -				ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace concretions, very stiff, moist, very dark brown	Fines 84% PP = 3-3.5 tsf			
3	16.0			5	\mathbb{X}				Fines = 78% PP = 2.5 tsf			
4	21.7			6	8			OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean to Fat Clay; concretions, stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 64% PP = 1.5-3 tsf			
5	31.1			8			CL/CH	Lean to Fat Clay; concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 85% PP = 2.0 tsf			
6	39.6			9 <u>-</u> - 10 -	8		СН	Fat Clay; concretions, stiff, moist to very moist, dark yellowish brown with pale brown inclusions	Fines = 98% PP = 4.5 tsf			
								End of test pit @ 10' No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 4' Dry density = 91 pcf Moisture content = 18.4%				
								Bulk 🐹 CD 🔳 SPT 🔯				

90 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	-(RING , INC.	-			Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Exploration Method : Backhoe	Test Pit No Sheet : 1 O	5. 70 f:1
u 3 x Description Additional Tests 1 14.9 14.9 1	ample No.	Moisture ontent (%)	Dry Unit eight (pcf)	iquivalent SPT	Jepth (ft)	nple Location aphic Log	Soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2	Ground Elevat Date Excavate	tion: d:09-11-2018
1 14.9 <	ŝ	- ŭ	Ň	ш		Gr	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Description		Additional Tests
1 14.9 15.0 108 108 11.1						V	CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, stiff, slightly moist t brown	o moist,	Fines - 76%
2 150 108 Image: CLCH Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, slightly moist, dark provided in the pro	1	14.9			 2	×		ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; stiff, moist, dark yellowi	sh brown	PP = 2-2.5 tsf
3 12.0 Pines = 67% 4 15.3 14.9 CL OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY: very stiff, moist, dark yellowish brown Fines = 50%, PP = 3.5 tell 5 14.9 6 1 1 6 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 8 1 1 1 9 1 1 1 10 1 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 12 1	2 3	15.0 18.1	108		3	*	CL/CH	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, slightly n yellowish brown with pale brown	noist, dark	PP = 3.7-4 tsf Fines = 74% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf EI = 160
5 14.9 14.9 5 Fines = 50%, PP = 3.6 isi 6 7 1 No groundwate encountered 7 1 Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 105 pcf 9 1 10 1 11 10 1 10 11 11 11 12 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	3 4	12.0 15.3			4		CL	OLDER ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; very stiff, moist, dark yellowi	sh brown	Fines = 57% Fines = 52% PP = 4.0 tsf
End of test pit @ 4'6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 106 pcf Moisture content = 14.7% Nuclear gauge density test data at 5' Dry density = 105 pcf Moisture content = 14.1% 10 11 11 12 12 14 15 16 16 17 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	5	14.9			5	X				Fines = 50% PP = 3.5 tsf
					6			End of test pit @ 4' 6" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 2' Dry density = 106 pcf Moisture content = 14.7% Nuclear gauge density test data at 5' Dry density = 105 pcf Moisture content = 14.1%		

E a			RY RING				Project No. 18-0817 Test Pit Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe Sheet : 1	No. 71 Of :1
mple No.	Aoisture ntent (%)	Dry Unit ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT	epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	oil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : BulkTrenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe ServiceGround EleLocation : See Figure A-2Date Excave	evation: rated : 09-11-2018
Sa	≥ ō C	9W L	Ec	Δ	Gra	ŝ	Description	Additional Tests
				0 _ 1			FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; crumbly, slightly moist to moist, brown	
1	10.7			2		CL	ALLUVIUM: Sandy Lean CLAY; concretions, very stiff, moist, dark brown	Fines = 64% PP = 4.5 tsf
2	14.2			3				Fines = 59% PP = 3-3.5 tsf EI = 45
3	14.5	119		4 —			dark yellowish brown	Fines = 54% PP = $3.5-4.5$ tsf
				$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ $			End of test pit @ 4' 2" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 3.5' Dry density = 116 pcf Moisture content = 13.1%	
				<u>ı 1</u>			Bulk 🔀 CD 🗖 SP1	

symplex symplex Sampling Method : Bulk · CD Ground Elevation: Location : See Figure A2 Ground Elevation: Date Excavated : 00-11-2011 1 15.4 15.4 1 1 15.4 1 1 2 16.1 1 1 1 1 1 3 20.0 1 1 1 1 1 4 13.1 103 1 1 1 1 5 18.1 103 1 1 1 1 6 18.1 103 1 10 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 10 1 9 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 103 103 103 103 103 103	$\left(\right)$			RING				Project No. 18-0817 Project Name : OC Prado Sheet : 1 Exploration Method : Backhoe	No. 72 Of : 1
a - S a a a a a a 1 15.4<	ample No.	Moisture Intent (%)	Dry Unit ⊧ight (pcf)	quivalent SPT)epth (ft)	ple Location aphic Log	soil Type (USCS)	Sampling Method : Bulk - CD Trenching Co: Bill Bastedo Backhoe Service Location : See Figure A-2 Date Excave	vation: ated : 09-11-2018
1 15.4 1 15.4 2 16.1 3 20.0 4 19.1 19.1 103 2 16.1 3 20.0 4 19.1 19.1 103 4 19.1 5 18.1 6 1 7 1 7 1 8 10.1 9 1 10.1 11.1 11.1 10.2 11.1 11.1 15.1 16.1 17.1 18.1 18.1 10.2 10.1 11.1 11.1 12.1 13.2 15.1 16.1 17.1 18.1 19.1 19.1 10.1 10.1 <t< td=""><td>Se</td><td>- °</td><td>- Me</td><td>Ш</td><td></td><td>Gr</td><td>0</td><td>Description</td><td>Additional Tests</td></t<>	Se	- °	- Me	Ш		Gr	0	Description	Additional Tests
3 20.0 4 19.1 103 4 5 18.1 6 4 7 6 7 7 8 9 101 101 101 101 101 101	1	15.4 16.1				X	CL	FILL: Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of gravel, crumbly, soft, slightly moist to moist, dark brown ALLUVIUM: Lean CLAY with SAND; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, very dark brown Sandy Lean CLAY; trace of concretions, very stiff, moist, dark	Fines = 78% PP = 2.5-3.5 tsf Fines = 73% PP = 2.2-2.7 tsf
3 20.0 20.0 PP = 2.5.3 tr 4 19.1 103 103 CLCH CLCH CLCH Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY: with SAND; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist PP = 2.5.3 tr 5 18.1 18.1 18.1 Fines = 509 PP = 2.2.5 tr Fines = 509 7 6 1 10 10 Fines = 509 PP = 2.2.5 tr 8 18.1 10 6 1 PP = 2.2.5 tr Fines = 509 9 7 10 10 10 PP = 2.2.5 tr Fines = 509 9 10 11 10 10 10 PP = 2.2.5 tr Fines = 509 9 10 10 10 10 10 PP = 2.2.5 tr Fines = 509 10 11 10 10 11 11 11 12 10 10 11 11 11 12 11 11 12 11 12 11 11 12 11 11 12 11 11 11 11 12 11 11 11 11					3 —				Fines = 79%
4 19.1 103 103 Fines = 549 5 18.1 19.1 10.1 <	3	20.0				X		Lean to Fat CLAY with SAND; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist. dark vellowish brown with pale brown	PP = 2.5-3 tsf
5 18.1 6 7 Fines_500 PP=2-2.5 tr 7 7 8 No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 3' 9 1 10 10 11 10 10 11 12 11 12 11 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 13 14 11 13 14 14 14 14 11 14 14 14 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 14 14 14 <td>4</td> <td>19.1</td> <td>103</td> <td></td> <td>4 5</td> <td></td> <td>с∟⁄сн</td> <td>Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, yellowish brown</td> <td>Fines = 54% PP = 3.5-4 tsf</td>	4	19.1	103		4 5		с∟⁄сн	Sandy Lean to Fat CLAY; concretions, stiff to very stiff, moist to very moist, yellowish brown	Fines = 54% PP = 3.5-4 tsf
End of test pit @ 6' 3" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 3' Dry density = 105 pcf Moisture content = 19.1%	5	18.1			6				Fines = 50% PP = 2-2.5 tsf
					$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & $			End of test pit @ 6' 3" No groundwater encountered Nuclear gauge density test data at 3' Dry density = 105 pcf Moisture content = 19.1%	

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Test Results & Calculations

























Tested By: Mathew F. Perry Checked By:



Tested By: Mathew F. Perry Checked By:





Tested By: Mathew F. Perry Checked By:

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS

DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT DATA - EI TEST

Location/ Elevation	B13 @	0′-4′	TP59 @	2 3.5' - 4'		B11 @	2 0' - 4'		TP32 @	3.8' - 4.3'		
USCS Symbol	C	Ľ	CL			CL		CL				
Normal Load (psf)	144		1.	144		14	14		14	14	•	
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final		Initial	Final		Initial	Final		
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	739.170		717.130			750.900			739.930			
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.46		364.15			366.62			367.49			
Wt. Specimen (gr)	371.710		352.980			384.280			372.440			
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010			4.010			4.010			
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09			5.09			5.09			
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479			81.479			81.479			
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0025	N/A	0.9995	N/A		1.0005	N/A	1	1.0025	N/A	•	
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0467	N/A	0.0875		N/A	0.0516		N/A	0.0610		
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9558	1.00	0.9120		1.00	0.9489		1.00	0.9415		
" " (cm)	2.546	2.428	2.539	2.316		2.541	2.410		2.546	2.391		
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.475		206.854			207.061			207.475			
Moist Density (pcf)	111.85		106.53			115.86			112.07			
MOISTURE CONTENT]				
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	124.55	124.55	126.16	126.16		388.88	388.88	1	342.36	342.36		
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	113.05	113.05	111.08	111.08		370.92	370.92		330.03	330.03		
Wt. of tare(gr)	19.64	19.64	17.33	17.33		225.18	225.18		236.00	236.00		
Wt. dry soil (gr)	93.41	93.41	93.75	93.75	1	145.74	145.74	1	94.03	94.03	•	
Wt. of water (gr)	11.50	11.50	15.08	15.08	1	17.96	17.96	1	12.33	12.33		
M/C (%)	12.31	12.31	16.09	16.09		12.32	12.32		13.11	13.11		
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	99.6		91.8			103.2			99.1			
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	48.0	i	51.9	i		52.5			50.5		<u>i</u>	
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7			2.7			2.7			
EXPANSION INDEX =	47		88			52			61			
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	Low		Medium			Medium			Medium			
KOURY			Project Name					Pr	oject No.:	18-0817	Run by: MFP	L
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.	-		OC F	Prado - Coi	nstr	ruction Leve	el	Da	ate: 9/12/18	3	QA:	

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS

DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT DATA - EI TEST

Location/ Elevation	TP71 @	2.5' - 3'	TP33 @	2.5' - 3'				
USCS Symbol	C		CL					
Normal Load (nsf)	1/	/_ //	1//					
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	751.350		730.990					
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.60		367.49					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	384.750		363.500					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0005	N/A	1.0025	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0450	N/A	0.0628				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9555	1.00	0.9397				
" " (cm)	2.541	2.427	2.546	2.387				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.061		207.475					
Moist Density (pcf)	116.00		109.38					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	146.14	146.14	133.08	133.08				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	131.70	131.70	117.55	117.55				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	131.70	131.70	117.55	117.55				
Wt. of water (gr)	14.44	14.44	15.53	15.53				
M/C (%)	10.96	10.96	13.21	13.21				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	104.5		96.6					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	48.3		47.9					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	45		63					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Low		Medium					
KOURY			Project Name:			Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: SA	Lab:
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.			OC P	rado - Con	struction Level	Date: 9/17/18	QA:	5294

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS

DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT DATA - EI TEST

cation/ Elevation	TP27 @	3.5' - 4.2'				
USCS Symbol	S Symbol CH					
Normal Load (psf)	1,	44				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final				
Specimen & Ring (gr)	731.670					
Wt. of ring (gr)	364.15					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	367.520					
pecimen diameter (in)	4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09					
rea of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	0.9995	N/A				
eight change (final)(in)	N/A	0.1435				
djusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.8560				
" " (cm)	2.539	2.174				
pecimen Volume (cm ³)	206.854					
Moist Density (pcf)	110.92					
MOISTURE CONTENT						
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	149.13	149.19				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	132.49	132.49				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	132.49	132.49				
Wt. of water (gr)	16.64	16.70				
M/C (%)	12.56	12.60				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	98.5					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	47.7					
*Assumes Gs = EXPANSION INDEX =	2.7 144					
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	Very High					
			Project Name:	Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: SA	Lab:
& TESTING, INC.			OC Prado	Date: 9/18/18	QA:	53

				EXPANS		TESTS						
	DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT DATA - EI TEST											
Location/ Elevation	TP26 @	4.2' - 4.9'	TP3	30 @ 3' - 3.5'	TP2	22@4'-4.8'						
USCS Symbol	C	Ľ		CL		CL						
Normal Load (psf)	14	44		144		144						
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initia	l Final	Initia	I Final						
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	715.780		752.2	20	737.2	00						
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.60		367.4	4	364.1	<mark>4</mark>						
Wt. Specimen (gr)	349.180		384.7	80	373.0	50						
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.01	D	4.01	0						
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09)	5.09)						
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.47	9	81.47	9						
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0005	N/A	1.002	2 <mark>5</mark> N/A	0.999	1 <mark>5</mark> N/A						
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0744	N/A	0.0483	N/A	0.0620						
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9261	1.00	0.9542	1.00	0.9375						
" " (cm)	2.541	2.352	2.54	6 2.424	2.53	9 2.381						
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.061		207.4	75	206.8	54						
Moist Density (pcf)	105.28		115.7	'8	112.5	9						
MOISTURE CONTENT												
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	136.32	136.32	126.7	7 126.77	135.3	6 135.36						
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	117.67	117.67	112.7	'9 <u>112.7</u> 9	119.1	2 <u>119.1</u> 2						
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Wt. dry soil (gr)	117.67	117.67	112.7	9 112.79	119.1	2 119.12						
Wt. of water (gr)	18.65	18.65	13.9	8 13.98	16.2	4 16.24						
M/C (%)	15.85	15.85	12.3	9 12.39	13.6	3 13.63						
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	90.9		103.	0	99.1							
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	50.1		52.6	6	52.5	, <u> </u>						
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7		2.7							
EXPANSION INDEX =	74		48		62							

(per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium	Low	Medium					
KOURY		Project Name:		Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: SA	Lab:		
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.		OC Prado - Construc	tion Level	Date: 9/19/18	QA:	5304		
Location/ Elevation	TP40 @	3' - 3.8"	TP22 @	3' - 3.8'				
---	-----------	-----------	---------------------	-------------	-----------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------	--------------
LISCS Symbol	C	H	C	н				
Normal Load (nef)	1/	11	1/	11				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	756.190		731 400					
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.59		364.11					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	389.600		367.290					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0005	N/A	0.9995	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.1624	N/A	0.1263				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.0005	0.8381	0.9995	0.8732				
" " (cm)	2.541	2.129	2.539	2.218				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.061		206.854					
Moist Density (pcf)	117.47		110.85					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	133.29	133.29	121.12	121.12				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	119.39	119.39	107.54	107.54				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	119.39	119.39	107.54	107.54				
Wt. of water (gr)	13.90	13.90	13.58	13.58				
M/C (%)	11.64	11.64	12.63	12.63				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	105.2		98.4					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	52.2		47.8					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	162		126					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Very High		High					
KOURY ENGINEERING * TESTING, INC.	-		Project Name: OC	Prado - Con	struction Level	Project No.: 18-0817 Date: 9/21/18	Run by: SA QA:	Lab: 5312

ation/ Elevation	TP70 @	2.5' - 3.5'				
USCS Symbol	C	CL				
lormal Load (psf)	14	44				
MPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final				
Specimen & Ring (gr)	741.660					
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.45					
Nt. Specimen (gr)	374.210					
ecimen diameter (in)	4.010					
ecimen radius (cm)	5.09					
ea of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479					
it. Spec. height (in)	1.0025	N/A				
ight change (final)(in)	N/A	0.1599				
usted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.8426				
" " (cm)	2.546	2.140				
ecimen Volume (cm ³)	207.475					
loist Density (pcf)	112.60					
OISTURE CONTENT						
t. moist soil+tare(gr)	120.26	120.26				
Vt. dry soil+tare(gr)	107.55	107.55				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	107.55	107.55				
Wt. of water (gr)	12.71	12.71				
M/C (%)	11.82	11.82				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	100.7					
Saturation* (48%-52%)	47.3	•				
*Assumes Gs =	2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	160					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Very High					
KOURY			Project Name:	Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: SA	Lal
& TESTING, INC.			OC Prado - Construction Level	Date: 9/22/18	QA:	

Location/ Elevation	B25 @	2' - 4'	TP26 @	Surface				
USCS Symbol	C	:1	CL /	SC				
Normal Load (nsf)	1/	/∟ 1⊿	1/	<u>и</u>				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	735.110		686.320					
Wt. of ring (gr)	364.12		367.45					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	370.990		318.870					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	0.9995	N/A	1.0025	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0783	N/A	0.0200				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9212	1.00	0.9825				
" " (cm)	2.539	2.340	2.546	2.496				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	206.854		207.475					
Moist Density (pcf)	111.97		95.95					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	109.32	109.32	110.27	110.27				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	98.35	98.35	89.96	89.96				
Wt. of tare(gr)	19.67	19.67	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	78.68	78.68	89.96	89.96				
Wt. of water (gr)	10.97	10.97	20.31	20.31				
M/C (%)	13.94	13.94	22.58	22.58				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	98.3		78.3					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	52.6		52.9					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	78		20					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		Very Low					
ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.	_		Project Name: OC	Prado Con	struction Level	Project No.: 18-0817 Date: 9/26/18	Run by: SA QA:	Lab: 5322

ation/ Elevation	TP55 (@ 2' - 4'				
USCS Symbol	C	н				
ormal Load (psf)	14	44				
MPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final				
Specimen & Ring (gr)	744.870					
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.59					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	378.280					
becimen diameter (in)	4.010					
pecimen radius (cm)	5.09					
rea of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479					
nit. Spec. height (in)	1.0005	N/A				
eight change (final)(in)	N/A	0.1114				
justed Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.8891				
" " (cm)	2.541	2.258				
ecimen Volume (cm ³)	207.061					
Moist Density (pcf)	114.05					
IOISTURE CONTENT						
/t. moist soil+tare(gr)	117.15	117.15				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	105.12	105.12				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	105.12	105.12				
Wt. of water (gr)	12.03	12.03				
M/C (%)	11.44	11.44				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	102.3					
Saturation* (48%-52%)	47.8	•				
*Assumes Gs =	2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX = Potential Expansion	111 High					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	riign					
KOURY ENGINEERING	_		Project Name:	Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: SA	Lab:
			OC Prado - Construction Level	Date: 9/2//18	QA:	532

Leastion/ Elevation		1 5' 0 0'		21 2 01				
Location/ Elevation	TP23 @	1.5 - 2.2	1P28 @	3 - 3.8				
USCS Symbol	CL /	CH	C	L				
Normal Load (psf)	14	44	14	4				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	726.770		756.580					
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.47		<u>364.13</u>					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	359.300		392.450					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0025	N/A	<mark>0.9995</mark>	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0968	N/A	0.0805				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.0025	0.9057	1.00	0.9190				
" " (cm)	2.546	2.300	2.539	2.334				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.475		206.854					
Moist Density (pcf)	108.11		118.44					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	101.09	101.90	110.56	110.56				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	89.21	89.21	97.98	97.98				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	89.21	89.21	97.98	97.98				
Wt. of water (gr)	11.88	12.69	12.58	12.58				
M/C (%)	13.32	14.22	12.84	12.84				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	95.4		105.0					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	46.9		57.2		-			
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	97		81					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	High		Medium					
KOURY ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.	-		Project Name: OC	Prado - Con	struction Level	Project No.: 18-0817 Date: 9/28/18	Run by: SA QA:	Lab: 5328

		
Location/ Elevation	B24 @	⊉ 2' - 4'
USCS Symbol	C	Н
Normal Load (psf)	1,	44
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	760.600	
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.62	
Wt. Specimen (gr)	393.980	
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010	
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09	
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479	
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0020	N/A
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0965
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9055
" " (cm)	2.545	2.300
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.371	
Moist Density (ncf)	118.61	
	110.01	
	44.00	
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	11.38	11.38
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	10.22	10.22
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00
Wt. dry soil (gr)	10.22	10.22
Wt. of water (gr)	1.16	1.16
M/C (%)	11.35	11.35
DRY DENSITY (pcf)		
	106.5	<u> </u>
*Δssumes Cs -	2.0	
EXPANSION INDEX =	∠./ ∺ 96	
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	High	
KOURY		
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.	_	

cation/ Elevation	TP31 @	3.8' - 4.2'				
USCS Symbol	CL /	/ CH				
Normal Load (psf)	1,	44				
AMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final				
Specimen & Ring (gr)	750.670					
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.47					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	383.200					
pecimen diameter (in)	4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09					
rea of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479					
nit. Spec. height (in)	1.0025	N/A				
eight change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0866				
ljusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9159				
" " (cm)	2.546	2.326				
pecimen Volume (cm ³)	207.475					
Moist Density (pcf)	115.31					
MOISTURE CONTENT						
Nt. moist soil+tare(gr)	103.19	103.19				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	92.26	92.26				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	92.26	92.26				
Wt. of water (gr)	10.93	10.93				
M/C (%)	11.85	11.85				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	103.1					
6 Saturation* (48%-52%)	50.4	•	-			
*Assumes Gs =	2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	86					
per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium					1
KOURY			Project Name:	Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: CG	Lab:
& TESTING, INC.			OC Prado - Construction Level	Date: 10/3/18	QA:	5

Location/ Elevation	TP42 @	2.7' - 3.2'	TP 37 @	3' - 3.5'				
USCS Symbol	C	Ľ	С	н				
Normal Load (psf)	14	44	14	14				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	754.350		716.200					
Wt. of ring (gr)	364.16		<mark>367.44</mark>					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	390.190		348.760					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	0.9990	N/A	1.0025	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0619	N/A	0.1049				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9371	1.00	0.8976				
" " (cm)	2.537	2.380	2.546	2.280				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	206.750		207.475					
Moist Density (pcf)	117.82		104.94					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	100.65	100.65	102.16	102.16				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	91.33	91.33	88.75	88.75				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	91.33	91.33	88.75	88.75				
Wt. of water (gr)	9.32	9.32	13.41	13.41				
M/C (%)	10.20	10.20	15.11	15.11				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	106.9		91.2					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	47.8		48.1					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	62		105					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		High					
KOURY ENGINEERING * TESTING, INC.	-		Project Name:	Prado - Cor	ruction Level	Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: CG	Lab:

Location/ Elevation	TP 44 @	2 3' - 3.5'	TP 48 @	1.8' - 2.3'				
USCS Symbol	C	L	C	L				
Normal Load (psf)	14	44	14	14				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	750.950		750.020					
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.63		<mark>364.11</mark>					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	384.320		385.910					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0020	N/A	0.9990	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0570	N/A	0.0636				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9450	1.00	0.9354				
" " (cm)	2.545	2.400	2.537	2.376				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.371		206.750					
Moist Density (pcf)	115.70		116.53					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	101.23	101.23	101.31	101.31				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	90.69	90.69	90.67	90.67				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	90.69	90.69	90.67	90.67				
Wt. of water (gr)	10.54	10.54	10.64	10.64				
M/C (%)	11.62	11.62	11.73	11.73				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	103.7		104.3					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	50.1		51.4					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	57		64					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		Medium					
ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.	-		Project Name: OC	Prado - Con	struction Level	Project No.: 18-0817 Date: 10/5/18	Run by: CG QA:	Lab: 5341

Location/ Elevation	TP 53 @	2 3' - 3.5'	TP 50 @	2' - 2.5'				
USCS Symbol	C	L	С	L				
Normal Load (psf)	14	14	14	14				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	702.460		744.770					
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.41		<mark>366.58</mark>					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	335.050		378.190					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0025	N/A	<u>1.0020</u>	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0506	N/A	0.0710				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9519	1.00	0.9310				
" " (cm)	2.546	2.418	2.545	2.365				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.475		207.371					
Moist Density (pcf)	100.82		113.86					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	102.01	102.01	102.71	102.71				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	86.55	86.55	91.41	91.41				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	86.55	86.55	91.41	91.41				
Wt. of water (gr)	15.46	15.46	11.30	11.30				
M/C (%)	17.86	17.86	12.36	12.36				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	85.5		101.3					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	49.7		50.3					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	50		71					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		Medium					
ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.	-		Project Name: OC	Prado - Con	struction Level	Project No.: 18-0817 Date: 10/10/18	Run by: SA QA:	Lab: 5346

Location/ Elevation	TP 60 @	2' - 2.5'	TP 58 @	3' - 3.5'				
LISCS Symbol	C	и Н		1				
Normal Load (nef)	1/	11	1/	L 1/1				
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final				
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	707.870		748 270					
Wt. of ring (gr)	366.59		364.11					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	341.280		384.160					
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09					
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0020	N/A	<u>0.9990</u>	N/A				
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.1021	N/A	0.0594				
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.8999	1.00	0.9396				
" " (cm)	2.545	2.286	2.537	2.387				
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.371		206.750					
Moist Density (pcf)	102.74		116.00					
MOISTURE CONTENT								
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	101.15	101.15	104.12	104.12				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	86.71	86.71	92.64	92.64				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	86.71	86.71	92.64	92.64				
Wt. of water (gr)	14.44	14.44	11.48	11.48				
M/C (%)	16.65	16.65	12.39	12.39				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	88.1		103.2					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	49.2		52.8					
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	102		59					
(per ASTM 4829-08)	High		Medium					
ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.			Project Name: OC	Prado - Con	astruction Level	Project No.: 18-0817 Date: 10/11/18	Run by: CG QA:	Lab: 5347

				-		
cation/ Elevation	TP 65 @	0 6' - 6.5'				
USCS Symbol	CL	/ CH				
Normal Load (psf)	14	44				
SAMPLE CONDITIÓN	Initial	Final				
t Specimen & Ring (gr)	718.690					
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.42					
Wt. Specimen (gr)	351.270					
pecimen diameter (in)	4.010					
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09					
rea of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479					
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0010	N/A				
leight change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0994				
djusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9016				
" " (cm)	2.543	2.290				
pecimen Volume (cm ³)	207.164					
Moist Density (pcf)	105.86					
MOISTURE CONTENT						
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	102.13	102.13				
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	87.81	87.81				
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00				
Wt. dry soil (gr)	87.81	87.81				
Wt. of water (gr)	14.32	14.32				
M/C (%)	16.31	16.31				
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	91.0					
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	51.7		3			
*Assumes Gs =	2.7					
EXPANSION INDEX =	99					
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	High					
KOURY			Project Name:	Project No.: 18-0817	Run by: CG	Lab:
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.			OC Prado - Construction Level	Date: 9/1/18	QA:	5

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS											
			DENSITY AN	D MOISTU	JRE CONTEN	T DATA -	EI TEST				
Location/ Elevation	TP-1 @	0 6' - 7'	TP-3 @	9 5' - 6'	TP-17 @	2' - 3.5'					
USCS Symbol	CL/	(CH	CL/	СН	CL/	СН					
Normal Load (psf)	14	14	14	14	14	4					
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final	Initial	Final					
Wt of ring (gr)	697.240 364.23		749.180		724.600						
Wt. Spacimon (gr)	222 010		291.650		257,000						
Specimen dismeter (in)	4 010		4 010		4 010						
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010 5.09		4.010 5.09		5.09						
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81 479		81 479		81 479						
Init Spec height (in)		N/A		N/A	0 9965	N/A					
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0775	N/A	0.0619	N/A	0.0961					
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9205	1.00	0.9416	1.00	0.9004					
" " (cm)	2.535	2.338	2.549	2.392	2.531	2.287					
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	206.543		207.682		206.233						
Moist Density (pcf)	100.66		114.73		108.34						
MOISTURE CONTENT											
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	288.16	288.16	286.85	286.85	205.10	205.10					
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	243.53	243.53	254.11	254.11	179.40	179.40					
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
Wt. dry soil (gr)	243.53	243.53	254.11	254.11	179.40	179.40					
Wt. of water (gr)	44.63	44.63	32.74	32.74	25.70	25.70					
M/C (%)	18.33	18.33	12.88	12.88	14.33	14.33					
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	85.1		101.6		94.8						
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	50.4	-	52.8		49.7		-				
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7		2.7						
EXPANSION INDEX =	78		62		96						
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		Medium		High						
KOURY			Project Name:				Project No.: 16-0899	Run by: SN/MFP	Lab:		
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.		OC F	Prado		Date: 7/14/17	QA:	4458 Series				

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS									
			DENSITY AN	D MOISTU		EI TEST			
Location/ Elevation	TP-5 @	1.5' - 2'	TP-7 @	2 7' - 8'					
USCS Symbol	С	Ľ	C	H					
Normal Load (psf)	14	44	14	4					
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final					
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	734.400		735.970						
Wt. of ring (gr)	364.22		366.68						
Wt. Specimen (gr)	370.180		369.290						
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010						
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09						
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479						
Init. Spec. height (in)	0.9960	N/A	0.9995	N/A					
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0502	N/A	0.0591					
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9458	1.00	0.9404					
" " (cm)	2.530	2.402	2.539	2.389					
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	206.129		206.854						
Moist Density (pcf)	112.12		111.45						
MOISTURE CONTENT									
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	286.42	286.42	426.87	426.87					
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	267.49	267.49	401.97	401.97					
Wt. of tare(gr)	114.53	114.53	226.08	226.08					
Wt. dry soil (gr)	152.96	152.96	175.89	175.89					
Wt. of water (gr)	18.93	18.93	24.90	24.90					
M/C (%)	12.38	12.38	14.16	14.16					
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	99.8		97.6						
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	48.5		52.6						
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7						
EXPANSION INDEX =	50		59						
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		Medium						
KOURY			Project Name:			Project No.: 16-0899	Run by: MFP	Lab:	
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.				OC P	rado	Date: 6/7/17	QA:	4458 Series	

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS									
			DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT DATA	- EI TEST					
Location/ Elevation	TP-8 @	12' - 13'							
USCS Symbol	С	Н							
Normal Load (psf)	14	14							
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final							
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	725.110								
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.54								
Wt. Specimen (gr)	357.570								
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010								
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09								
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479								
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0010	N/A							
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0901							
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9109							
" " (cm)	2.543	2.314							
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.164								
Moist Density (pcf)	107.76								
MOISTURE CONTENT									
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	329.02	329.02							
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	315.31	315.31							
Wt. of tare(gr)	226.12	226.12							
Wt. dry soil (gr)	89.19	89.19							
Wt. of water (gr)	13.71	13.71							
M/C (%)	15.37	15.37							
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	93.4								
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	51.6								
*Assumes Gs =	2.7								
EXPANSION INDEX =	90								
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	High								
KOURY			Project Name:	Project No.: 16-0899	Run by: MFP	Lab:			
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.			OC Prado	Date: 6/1/17	QA:	4458 Series			

	EXPANSION INDEX TESTS									
			DENSITY AN	D MOISTU	JRE CONTENT DATA -	EI TEST				
Location/ Elevation	TP-15 (@ 3' - 4'	TP-14 @	.5' - 1.5'						
USCS Symbol	CL/	/CH	CL/	СН						
Normal Load (psf)	14	44	14	4						
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final	Initial	Final						
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	711.000		719.000							
Wt. of ring (gr)	367.00		364.00							
Wt. Specimen (gr)	344.000		355.000							
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010		4.010							
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09		5.09							
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479		81.479							
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0010	N/A	0.9990	N/A						
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0460	N/A	0.0787						
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9550	1.00	0.9203						
" " (cm)	2.543	2.426	2.537	2.338						
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.164	9	206.750							
Moist Density (pcf)	103.67		107.20							
MOISTURE CONTENT										
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	202.00	202.00	200.00	200.00						
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	159.00	159.00	166.00	166.00						
Wt. of tare(gr)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Wt. dry soil (gr)	159.00	159.00	166.00	166.00						
Wt. of water (gr)	43.00	43.00	34.00	34.00						
M/C (%)	27.04	27.04	20.48	20.48						
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	81.6		89.0							
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	68.5	1	61.8							
*Assumes Gs =	2.7		2.7							
EXPANSION INDEX =	60		89							
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	Medium		Medium							
KOURY			Project Name:			Project No.: 16-0899	Run by: MFP	Lab:		
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.				OC F	Prado	Date: 7/17/17	QA:	4458 Series		

EXPANSION INDEX TESTS									
			DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT DATA - EI TI	TEST					
Location/ Elevation	TP-19 (@ 1' - 2'							
USCS Symbol	S	С							
Normal Load (psf)	14	14							
SAMPLE CONDITION	Initial	Final							
Wt Specimen & Ring (gr)	765.800								
Wt. of ring (gr)	364.24								
Wt. Specimen (gr)	401.560								
Specimen diameter (in)	4.010								
Specimen radius (cm)	5.09								
Area of Specimen (cm ²)	81.479								
Init. Spec. height (in)	1.0020	N/A							
Height change (final)(in)	N/A	0.0245							
Adjusted Spec.height(in)	1.00	0.9775							
" " (cm)	2.545	2.483							
Specimen Volume (cm ³)	207.371								
Moist Density (pcf)	120.89								
MOISTURE CONTENT									
Wt. moist soil+tare(gr)	422.90	422.90							
Wt. dry soil+tare(gr)	406.29	406.29							
Wt. of tare(gr)	229.33	229.33							
Wt. dry soil (gr)	176.96	176.96							
Wt. of water (gr)	16.61	16.61							
M/C (%)	9.39	9.39							
DRY DENSITY (pcf)	110.5								
% Saturation* (48%-52%)	48.3								
*Assumes Gs =	2.7								
EXPANSION INDEX =	24								
Potential Expansion (per ASTM 4829-08)	Low								
KOUDY			Project Name: Proj	oject No.: 16-0889	Run by: MFP	Lab:			
ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.			OC Prado	te: 6/14/17	ΩΔ·	4458 Series			
					чл.				

Maximum Density & Optimum Moisture

Curve No.: 5326

Project No.: 18-0817 Project: OC Prado - Construction Level **Client:** Location: TP40 @ 3' - 3.8' Sample Number: 2018-249

Remarks: Less than 5% Material retained on the #4 Sieve.

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

Description: Observed as: Dark Yellowish Brown Clay

Optimum moisture = 11.7 %

Classifications -Nat. Moist. = Liquid Limit =

AASHTO: Sp.G. = Plasticity Index =

Date: 9/27/18

USCS: Observed as: CH









MAXIMUM DENSITY TEST REPORT

Curve No.: 4458 Series

Project No.: 16-0899 Project: OC Prado Client: Location: TP-10 @ 1.5'-2.5' Depth: 1.5'to 2.5' Remarks: Less Than 5% Material Retained on the #4 Sieve

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

Description: Observed as: Dark Brown Sandy Lean Clay

USCS: CL

Classifications -Nat. Moist. = 17.2 % Liquid Limit = AASHTO: Sp.G. = Plasticity Index = % < No.200 = 73.8 % Date: 06/01/17



MAXIMUM DENSITY TEST REPORT



_____ Checked By: ___

MAXIMUM DENSITY TEST REPORT



Checked By:



Percolation Testing (Falling Head)-Porchet



Job Name: OC Prado

Job No.: 18-0817

Test Location: South of Building 2, west end of proposed detention Basin A

Water Table Depth (ft):

Test Date: 9/7/2018

 Test No.:
 P-1-Falling Head

 Depth of Boring (db):
 240
 in

 Diameter of Boring (D):
 8
 in

 Test Performer:
 AB

I	Trial	Start Time	Stop Time	Time Interval	Initial Water	Final Water	Water Level	Water Level
	No.	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	Depth (in)	Depth (in)	Change (in)	Change >6"
	1	8:15	8:40	0:25	14	14 11/16	8 4/16	Yes
	2	8:45	9:10	0:25	16	16 8/16	6 6/16	Yes

Relatively Impervious Layer Depth (ft):

If both yes, run test for an additional hour, reading at 10 minute interval If no, run test for an additional 6 hours, reading at 30 minute interval

		Time of Testing	:	Water Level Measurement		Water Level Calculations				Percolation & Infiltration Rate Calculations		
Trial No.	Initial Time	Final Time	Time Interval	Initial Depth to Water	Final Depth to Water	Initial Height of Water Column	Final Height of Water Column	Drop in Height	Average Height of Water Column	Measured Percolation	Reduction Factor	Calculated Infiltration Rate =
	T ₁	T ₂	$\Delta T = T_2 - T_1$	dı	d ₂	d _{H1} = d _b - d ₁	$d_{H2} = d_b - d_2$	$\Delta d_{H} = d_{H1} - d_{H2}$	$d_{avg} = (d_{H1}+d_{H2})/2$	$K_i = \Delta d_H / \Delta T$		(60ΔdHD/2)/ (ΔT(D/2+2davg)
	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in/hr)		(in/hr)
1	0:00	0:10	0:10	189 5/8	196 3/8	50 3/8	43 5/8	6 6/8	47	40.32	24.5	1.64
2	0:00	0:10	0:10	183 3/8	191 1/8	56 5/8	48 7/8	7 6/8	52 6/8	46.80	27.4	1.71
3	0:00	0:10	0:10	191 1/8	197 3/8	48 7/8	42 5/8	6 2/8	45 6/8	37.44	23.9	1.57
4	0:00	0:10	0:10	190 4/8	196 2/8	49 4/8	43 6/8	5 5/8	46 5/8	33.84	24.3	1.39
5	0:00	0:10	0:10	184 6/8	191	55 2/8	49	6 2/8	52 1/8	37.20	27.1	1.38
6	0:00	0:10	0:10	185 6/8	192 4/8	54 2/8	47 4/8	6 5/8	50 7/8	40.08	26.5	1.52
7	0:00	0:10	0:10	193 5/8	198 5/8	46 3/8	41 3/8	4 7/8	43 7/8	29.52	22.9	1.29
8	0:00	0:10	0:10	189 5/8	194 5/8	50 3/8	45 3/8	5	47 7/8	30.24	24.9	1.21
9												
10												
11												
12												

Note:

1. Infiltration Rate, It = $(60\Delta dHD/2)/(\Delta T(D/2+2davg))$

Lowest Infiltration Rate = 1.21 in/hr

2. Long Term Infiltration Rate = Short Term Infiltration Rate / Correction Factor for Test Limitations

Adjusted Infiltration Rate = 0.30 in/hr

Correction Factor Range Normally used to account for Long Term Moderate Siltation, Test Scale Limitations and Other Factors = 3 to 12

Reference: Riverside County - Low Impact Development BMP Design Handbook Appendix A, dated 9/2011

Percolation Testing (Falling Head)-Porchet



Job Name: OC Prado

Job No.: 18-0817

Test Location: South of Building 2, west end of proposed WQ Basin B

Water Table Depth (ft):

Test Date: 9/7/2018

 Test No.:
 P-2-Falling Head

 Depth of Boring (d_b):
 180
 in

 Diameter of Boring (D):
 8
 in

 Test Performer:
 GG, AB

Trial	Start Time	Stop Time	Time Interval	Initial Water	Final Water	Water Level	Water Level
No.	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	Depth (ft)	Depth (ft)	Change (in)	Change >6"
1	10:15	10:40	0:25	11 13/16	11 14/16	1 3/16	NO
2	10:40	11:05	0:25	11 14/16	11 14/16	0	NO

Relatively Impervious Layer Depth (ft):

If both yes, run test for an additional hour, reading at 10 minute interval If no, run test for an additional 6 hours, reading at 30 minute interval

		Time of Testing	ţ	Water Level	Measurement		Water Level	Calculations		Percolation & Infiltr	ation Rate Cal	culations
Trial No.	Initial Time	Final Time	Time Interval	Initial Depth to Water	Final Depth to Water	Initial Height of Water Column	Final Height of Water Column	Drop in Height	Average Height of Water Column	Measured Percolation	Reduction Factor	Calculated Infiltration Rate =
	T ₁	T ₂	$\Delta T = T_2 - T_1$	dı	d₂	d _{H1} = d _b - d ₁	$d_{H2} = d_b - d_2$	$\Delta d_{H} = d_{H1} - d_{H2}$	$d_{avg} = (d_{H1}+d_{H2})/2$	$K_i = \Delta d_H / \Delta T$		(60ΔdHD/2)/ (ΔT(D/2+2davg)
	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in/hr)		(in/hr)
1	0:00	0:30	0:30	142 6/8	144	37 2/8	36	1 2/8	36 5/8	2.40	19.3	0.124
2	0:00	0:30	0:30	144	144 1/8	36	35 7/8	1/8	36	0.24	19.0	0.013
3	0:00	0:30	0:30	144	144 1/8	36	35 7/8	1/8	36	0.24	19.0	0.013
4	0:00	0:30	0:30	144	144 1/8	36	35 7/8	1/8	36	0.24	19.0	0.013
5	0:00	0:30	0:30	144	144 1/8	36	35 7/8	1/8	36	0.24	19.0	0.013
6	0:00	0:30	0:30	159	159 1/8	21	20 7/8	1/8	21	0.24	11.5	0.021
7	0:00	0:30	0:30	159	159 1/8	21	20 7/8	1/8	21	0.24	11.5	0.021
8	0:00	0:30	0:30	159	159 1/8	21	20 7/8	1/8	21	0.24	11.5	0.021
9												
10												
11												
12												

Note:

1. Infiltration Rate, It = $(60\Delta dHD/2)/(\Delta T(D/2+2davg))$

Lowest Infiltration Rate = 0.013 in/hr

2. Long Term Infiltration Rate = Short Term Infiltration Rate / Correction Factor for Test Limitations

Adjusted Infiltration Rate = 0.003 in/hr

Correction Factor Range Normally used to account for Long Term Moderate Siltation, Test Scale Limitations and Other Factors = 3 to 12

Reference: Riverside County - Low Impact Development BMP Design Handbook Appendix A, dated 9/2011

Percolation Testing (Falling Head)-Porchet



Job Name: OC Prado Job No.: 18-0817

Test Location: South of Building 2, east end of proposed WQ Basin B

Water Table Depth (ft):

Test Date: 9/7/2018

 Test No.:
 P-3-Falling Head

 Depth of Boring (d_b):
 264

 Diameter of Boring (D):
 8

 Test Performer:
 AB

Trial No.	Start Time (hr:min)	Stop Time (hr:min)	Time Interval (hr:min)	Initial Water Depth (in)	Final Water Depth (in)	Water Level Change (in)	Water Level Change >6"
							< 6"
							< 6"

Relatively Impervious Layer Depth (ft):

If both yes, run test for an additional hour, reading at 10 minute interval If no, run test for an additional 6 hours, reading at 30 minute interval

		Time of Testing	:	Water Level	Measurement		Water Level	Calculations		Percolation & Infiltra	ation Rate Cal	culations
Trial No.	Initial Time	Final Time	Time Interval	Initial Depth to Water	Final Depth to Water	Initial Height of Water Column	Final Height of Water Column	Drop in Height	Average Height of Water Column	Measured Percolation	Reduction Factor	Calculated Infiltration Rate =
	T ₁	T ₂	$\Delta T = T_2 - T_1$	dı	d ₂	d _{H1} = d _b - d ₁	d _{H2} = d _b - d ₂	$\Delta d_{H} = d_{H1} - d_{H2}$	$d_{avg} = (d_{H1}+d_{H2})/2$	$K_i = \Delta d_H / \Delta T$		(60ΔdHD/2)/ (ΔT(D/2+2davg)
	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(hr:min)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in/hr)		(in/hr)
1	0:00	0:30	0:30	158 3/8	158 4/8	105 5/8	105 4/8	1/8	105 4/8	0.24	53.8	0.004
2	0:00	0:30	0:30	158 3/8	158 4/8	105 5/8	105 4/8	1/8	105 4/8	0.24	53.8	0.004
3	0:00	0:30	0:30	158 3/8	158 4/8	105 5/8	105 4/8	1/8	105 4/8	0.24	53.8	0.004
4	0:00	0:30	0:30	158 3/8	158 4/8	105 5/8	105 4/8	1/8	105 5/8	0.25	53.8	0.005
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												

Note:

1. Infiltration Rate, It = $(60\Delta dHD/2)/(\Delta T(D/2+2davg))$

Lowest Infiltration Rate = 0.004 in/hr

0.001 in/hr

Adjusted Infiltration Rate =

2. Long Term Infiltration Rate = Short Term Infiltration Rate / Correction Factor for Test Limitations

Correction Factor Range Normally used to account for Long Term Moderate Siltation, Test Scale Limitations and Other Factors = 3 to 12

Reference: Riverside County - Low Impact Development BMP Design Handbook Appendix A, dated 9/2011

Test Pit	Sample	Depth	Soil Type	Deposit	Dry Unit	Moisture
No.	Туре	(feet)			Weight (pcf)	Content (pcf)
22	CD	4	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	122	16.4
22	NG	5.5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	96	19.1
23	CD	5.5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	103	22.0
23	NG	5.5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	96	19.1
24	CD	5.25	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	107	21.1
24	NG	5.25	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	99	22.3
25	CD	3	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	100	21.8
25	NG	3	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	97	24.0
26	CD	3	CL	Older Alluvium	116	14.8
26	NG	3	CL	Older Alluvium	111	13.3
26	NG	4.25	CL	Older Alluvium	97	19.2
27	CD	3.5	CL	Alluvium	110	18.4
27	NG	3.5	CL	Alluvium	100	16.2
28	CD	5	CL	Older Alluvium	115	13.4
28	NG	5	CL	Older Alluvium	109	12.6
28	NG	7.25	СН	Older Alluvium	86	31.3
29	CD	4.5	CL	Older Alluvium	98	20.4
29	NG	4.5	CL	Older Alluvium	98	19.6
29	NG	7.5	CL	Older Alluvium	118	13.6
30	CD	5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	110	19.1
30	NG	5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	94	26.1
31	CD	4.5	CL	Older Alluvium	112	15.9
31	NG	4.8'	CL	Older Alluvium	102	16.2
33	CD	5.25	CL	Older Alluvium	110	15.7
35	CD	6.5	CL	Older Alluvium	116	15.6
35	NG	6.5	CL	Older Alluvium	101	20.9
36	CD	4.5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	118	10.8
36	NG	4.5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	108	11.8
37	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	111	16.2
37	CD	4	CL	Older Alluvium	101	17.5
37	NG	4	CL	Older Alluvium	100	21.0
39	CD	4	CL	Alluvium	116	17.1
40	CD	3	CL	Alluvium	117	10.7
40	NG	3	CL	Alluvium	106	13.7
40	CD	4.8	CL	Older Alluvium	96	17.1
40	NG	4.8	CL	Older Alluvium	99	16.2

Table C-1 -Test Pit Samples - Unit Weight Summary

Test Pit No.	Sample Type	Depth (feet)	Soil Type	Deposit	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Moisture Content (pcf)
41	NG	3	CL	Alluvium	109	14.7
41	NG	5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	93	21.2
44	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	106	17.7
44	NG	4	CL	Older Alluvium	101	20.0
45	NG	1.5	CL	Alluvium	117	14.2
45	NG	4	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	102	19.9
50	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	102	20.3
50	NG	3	CL	Older Alluvium	105	18.7
51	CD	5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	105	16.7
52	NG	2.5	CL	Alluvium	103	13.1
52	CD	4	CL	Older Alluvium	99	13.8
52	NG	6	CL	Older Alluvium	101	22.4
53	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	111	17.0
53	NG	4	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	99	19.7
54	CD	1.5	CL	Alluvium	107	17.1
54	NG	1.5	CL	Alluvium	110	16.5
54	NG	4	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	96	26.3
55	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	105	11.0
55	NG	5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	100	26.5
57	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	106	19.4
57	NG	4	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	86	29.6
63	NG	2.5	CL	Alluvium	98	15.7
63	NG	6'	CL	Older Alluvium	90	23.3
64	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	99	21.3
65	NG	1.5	CL	Alluvium	109	7.9
65	CD	3	CL	Alluvium	113	9.3
65	CD	5.25	CL/CH	Alluvium	114	17.4
67	CD	5	CL/CH	Older Alluvium	115	19.9
68	CD	6	CL/CH	Alluvium	93	33.4
69	NG	4	CL/CH	Alluvium	91	18.4
70	CD	2	CL	Alluvium	108	15.0
70	NG	2	CL	Alluvium	106	14.7
70	NG	5	CL	Older Alluvium	105	14.1
71	NG	3.5	CL	Alluvium	117	13.1
71	CD	3.5	CL	Alluvium	119	14.5
72	NG	3	CL	Older Alluvium	105	19.1
72	CD	4	CL	Older Alluvium	103	19.1

Table C-1 -Test Pit Samples - Unit Weight Summary (continued)

Boring/	*Remedial	Leastion	Boring/	*Remedial	Location	
Test Pit	Depth (ft)	Location	Test Pit	Depth (ft)		
B-1	5	Building 2	TP-23	2.5	Driveway	
B-2	5 & N/A	Detention Basin	TP-24	2.5	Driveway	
B-3	3.5	Building 2	TP-25	2.5	Driveway	
B-4	4.5	Building 2	TP-26	2.5	Driveway	
B-5	4	Building 1	TP-27	3	Building 1	
B-6	3	Building 1	TP-28	3	Building 1	
B-7	5	Building 1	TP-29	3	Building 1	
B-8	4	Building 2	TP-30	3.5	Building 1	
B-9	3	Building 1	TP-31	3.5	Building 1	
B-10	4	Building 1	TP-32	4.5	Building 1	
B-11	4	Building 1	TP-33	4	Building 1	
B-12	5	Building 1	TP-35	6	Building 1	
B-13	4.5	Building 1	TP-36	4.5	Building 1	
B-14	5	Building 1	TP-37	4	Building 1	
B-15	5	Building 1	TP-38	4	Building 1	
B-16	5	Building 1	TP-39	4	Building 1	
B-17	5	Building 1	TP-40	4	Building 1	
B-18	6	Building 1	TP-41	4	Building 1	
B-19	6	Building 1	TP-42	4	Building 1	
B-20	6	Building 1	TP-43	4	Building 1	
B-21	6	Building 2	TP-44	3	Parking	
B-22	5	Building 2	TP-45	3	Parking	
B-23	5	Building 2	TP-46	2.5	Driveway	
B-24	5	Building 2	TP-47	2.5	Parking	
B-25	4	Building 2	TP-48	3	Parking	
B-26	4.5	Building 2	TP-49	3.5	Parking	
B-27	4 & N/A	Detention Basin	TP-50	2.5	Driveway	
B-28	3 & N/A	WO Basin	TP-51	4.5	Building 2	
TP-1	4	Driveway	TP-52	4	Building 2	
TP-2	5	Building 2	TP-53	3.5	Building 2	
TP-3	5	Building 2	TP-54	3.5	Building 2	
TP-4	4	Building 2	TP-55	4	Building 2	
TP-5	4	Building 2	TP-56	4.5	Building 2	
TP-6	3.5	Driveway	TP-57	4	Building 2	
TP-7	3.5	Parking	TP-58	4.5	Building 2	
TP-8	2.5 & N/A	WQ Basin	TP-59	4	Building 2	
TP-9	3 & N/A	Detention Basin	TP-60	4	Building 2	
TP-10	3	Driveway	TP-61	3.5	Building 2	
TP-11	3	Driveway	TP-62	4.5	Building 2	
TP-12	3	Driveway	TP-63	6	Driveway	
TP-13	8+	Driveway	TP-64	3	Parking	
TP-14	15+	Driveway	TP-65	3.5	Parking	
TP-15	15+	Driveway	TP-66	4	Building 2	
TP-16	3	Driveway	TP-67	4	Building 2	
TP-17	2.5	Driveway	TP-68	11	Building 1	
TP-18	4	Building 1	TP-69	6	Driveway	
TP-19	4.5	Building 1	TP-70	3.5	Parking	
TP-20	4	Driveway	TP-71	2.5	Parking	
TP-21	8	Building 1	TP-72	2.5	Driveway	
TP-22	3	Driveway	*Remedial Grading Removal Depth		l Depth	

Table C-2 – Estimated Removal Depths



We are a key member of the construction team while safeguarding the public. We improve operational logistics and provide superior quality control through the continuing development of our engineering staff and technical expertise, utilization of classroom training and field supervisors, thus defining the industry standard.

KOURY ENGINEERING & TESTING, INC.

CORPORATE OFFICE

14280 Euclid Avenue Chino, California 91710 P: 909•606•6111 F: 909•606•6555

BRANCH OFFICE

17800 South Main Street, Suite 302 Gardena, California 90248 P: 310•851•8685 F: 310•851•8692

www.kouryengineering.com