Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2019039131

Project Title: Capital Improvement Program

Lead Agency: San Diego Unified School District

Contact Name: Paul Garcia

Email: pgarcia-craivanu@sandi.net Phone Number: 858-637-6290

Project Location: San Diego (*City*) San Diego (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The District proposes to establish and implement its Capital Improvement Program (Proposed Program) to repair, renovate, and revitalize District schools and administration facilities and preparation of the San Diego Unified School District California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines and Significance Determination Thresholds. The repair, renovation, and revitalization projects associated with the Proposed Program would include a wide range of future construction and operational activities on either existing school sites or administration facilities or on new, currently unidentified sites. The Proposed Program consists of four project categories that represent typical capital improvement projects that could be implemented at any of the District's schools and administrative sites.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts related to hydrology and water quality; biological resources, paleontological resources; recreation; energy; geology and soils; tribal cultural resources; utilities; and hazards and hazardous materials were concluded to be less than significant with implementation of mitigation.

Even with the implementation of mitigation, implementation of the Proposed Program would result in significant and unavoidable environmental changes related to aesthetic resources, air quality, cultural resources, greenhouse gas emissions, noise and vibration, transportation, and wildfire.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The District received three NOP response letters from the State Clearing House (SCH), the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), and the City of San Diego, during the review period. The SCH letter provides SCH # 2019039131 and notes which state agencies received a copy of the NOP. The NAHC letter notes the District's responsibility to comply with Assembly Bill (AB) 52 as it relates to tribal consultation requirements. The City of San Diego letter provides comments related to the project description, hydrology and water quality analysis, flood plain management, utilities and service systems, stormwater drainage, and transportation impact analysis.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

District Board of Education; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; California Department of Education; Office of the Division of State Architect; California Department of Fish and Wildlife; California Coastal Commission; State Water Resources Control Board; Department of Toxic Substances Control; and City of San Diego