2019039054



Planning Department 168 North Edwards Street Post Office Drawer L Independence, California 93526

 Phone:
 (760) 878-0263

 FAX:
 (760) 872-2712

 E-Mail:
 inyoplanning@inyocounty.us

# DRAFT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AND INITIAL STUDY

### PROJECT TITLE: Conditional Use Permit (CUP) 2019-02; Telecom Plan Update/Verizon West Bishop.

**PROJECT LOCATION:** The project site is located at 950 Tu Su Lane, in Bishop California, on property owned by Richard and Alice Cassel, with Tax Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 011-120-64 (please see attached map).

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The applicant has applied for a CUP to install a 100' mono-pine tower to house nine panel 6' tall antennas and two 6' tall microwave antennas to increase the capacity of the existing Verizon Wireless network in the area, as well as to respond to increasing numbers of customer complaints.

#### **MITIGATION MEASURES:**

**I** (a-d): To ensure that the pine tree façade continues to provide appropriate camouflage of the pole and antennas to the houses located near the site, the surrounding tribal community, and the travelling public. The applicant will provide a maintenance plan, for the life of the project, as a condition of approval for the CUP. Included as a condition of approval, screening will be required, that blocks the view of mechanical and electrical equipment. The maintenance plan for the pine tree façade, and screening of the mechanical/electrical equipment, will serve as mitigation for the project and will reduce the potential aesthetic impacts from the project to a level of less than significant.

#### FINDINGS:

An Initial Study and Evaluation of Potential Environmental Impacts has been prepared by the Planning Department (attached). Staff finds that the proposed project will <u>NOT</u> have a significant adverse impact on the environment for the following reasons:

- A. The proposed project is consistent with goals and objectives of the Inyo County General Plan. The proposed project is consistent with the County General Plan designation of 'Retail Commercial' (RC) as the RC designation allows for service uses, and public/quasi-public uses. The Verizon antennas will provide a service use of a public/quasi-public nature by extending the capacity of the existing Verizon Wireless network in the area, which will improve phone and wireless internet service as well as to upgrade these services to customers in the area.
- B. The proposed project is consistent with the provisions of the Inyo County Zoning Ordinance. The proposed project is consistent with the County Zoning Ordinance designation of 'General Commercial' (C2) as the C2 designation allows, as a conditional use, public quasi-public uses. These include buildings and uses that are of a recreation, religious, cultural or public service nature. Telecommunications antennas are considered a use of a public service nature.

 $\cdot$ 

,

. .

C. Potential adverse environmental impacts will not exceed thresholds of significance, either individually or cumulatively.

Based on the information provided by the applicant, and staff's review, the tower could have Aesthetic impacts to the tribal community and vehicles traveling along Tu Su Lane, Highway 395/North Sierra Highway. However, with the incorporation of the mitigation measures recommended below, it has been found that the project will not result in significant adverse impact.

D. Based upon the environmental evaluation of the proposed project, the Planning Department finds that the project does not have the potential to create a significant adverse impact on flora or fauna; natural, scenic and historic resources; the local economy; public health, safety, and welfare. This constitutes a Negative Finding for the Mandatory Findings required by Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines. Staff's assessment of the parcel describes the property as highly disturbed. The property has been graded and there are three existing structures. The majority of property is used as storage for Tow Trucks, Cars, and tires, by the Automotive repair shop on the parcel to the north, which is owned by the Cassels.

The 21-day review period for this Negative Declaration expires on <u>March 29, 2019</u>. Inyo County is not required to respond to any comments received after this date.

Additional information is available from the Inyo County Planning Department. Please contact Project Planner Ryan Standridge (760-878-026) if you have any questions regarding this project.

3-6-2019

Steve Karamitros Senior Planner, Inyo County Planning Department

# INYO COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT CEQA APPENDIX G: INITIAL STUDY & ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.

3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.

4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).

5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.

b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.

c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

,

8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.

9) The explanation of each issue should identify:

- a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
- b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance issues.

•

•

:

.



Planning Department 168 North Edwards Street Post Office Drawer L Independence, California 93526

 Phone:
 (760) 878-0263

 FAX:
 (760) 872-2712

 E-Mail:
 inyoplanning@inyocounty.us

# **INYO COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT**

### APPENDIX G: CEQA INITIAL STUDY & ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

1. Project title: Conditional Use Permit (CUP) 2019-02; Telecom Plan Update/Verizon West Bishop.

2. <u>Lead agency name and address</u>: Inyo County Planning Department.

3. Contact person and phone number: Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner, (760) 878-0265

4. Project location: 950 North Tu Su Lane, Bishop, CA - (APN) #011-120-64

5. <u>Project sponsor's name and address</u>: SAC Wireless representing Verizon Wireless: 8880 Cal Center Drive, Suite 130, Sacramento, CA, 95826

- 6. General Plan designation: Retail Commercial (RC)
- 7. Zoning: General Commercial (C2)
- 8. <u>Description of project</u>: The applicant has applied for a CUP and an update to Verizon Wireless Telecom Plan to install a 100' mono-pine tower to house nine panel, 6' tall antennas and two 6' tall microwave antennas, nine RRU's, twelve coax cables, and two hybrid cables to increase the capacity of the existing Verizon Wireless network.

**9.** <u>Surrounding land uses and setting</u>: The Property north of the site is an Auto Repair Center; the properties to the South and West are on Tribal Lands with single family dwellings; to the east of the proposed project is a single family dwelling with a storage facility.

Location:	Use:	Gen. Plan Designation	Zoning
Site	Parking/Vacant	Retail Commercial (RC)	General Commercial with a 10,000sq.ft minimum (C2-10,000)
North	Auto Repair Shop	Retail Commercial (RC)	General Commercial with a 10,000sq.ft minimum (C2-10,000)
East	Residence with Storage	Residential Medium High (RMH)	Multiple Residential with a 65,00sq.ft minimum (R2-6,500)
South	Residences	Tribal Land (TL)	Indian Reservation
West	Residence with grazing land	Tribal Land (TL)	Indian Reservation

10. Other public agencies whose approval is required: Inyo County Department of Public Works.

·

#### <u>11. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area</u> requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, has consultation begun?

Note: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code section 21083.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code section 21082.3(c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

In compliance with AB 52, SB 18, and Public Resource Code Section 21080.3.1(b), tribes identified as being local to Inyo County, were notified via a certified letter on January 25, 2019 about the project and the opportunity for consultation on this project. The tribes notified were as follows: the Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, the Big Pine Paiute Tribe, the Bishop Paiute Tribe, Chemehuevi Reservation, the Fort Independence Paiute Tribe, Lone Pine Paiute-Shoshone Tribe, the Kern Valley Indian Community, the Death Valley Timbi-sha Shoshone Tribe, and the Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians.

Inyo County did receive a request for consultation by the Bishop Paiute Tribe and Staff has begun the process of consultation.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics Resources	Agriculture & Forestry	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology /Soils
Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology / Water Quality	Land Use / Planning
Mineral Resources	Noise	Population / Housing
Public Services	Recreation	Transportation/Traffic
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of
		Significance

#### **DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)**

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Mr. Ky Studridge

Ryan Standridge, Assistant Planner Inyo County Planning Department

<u>3-6-19</u> Date

# INYO COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>I. AESTHETICS</b> Would the project: a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? The single family homes located east of the proposed project will have electrical equipment. It will not, however block the views to the mount homes located across the street look into the side of the Automotive rep includes power poles, and billboards. The mono-pine pole is designed Views to the south from Highway 395, and the Bishop Paiute Reservat tree to the north of the mono-pine pole that is taller and lends to the bl pole will be visible to travelers from both the east- and west-bound dir tower will blend with the tree to the north. The area already has utility Repair Center. The pine tree façade will weather and lose some of its i provide appropriate camouflage the applicant will provide a maintena condition of approval for the project. Also, screening will be required, from the homes located to the east into the area where the mechanical the pine tree façade and screening of the mechanical/electrical equipment	tains on the north, bair center and an to camouflage the ion, will include th ending of the pole ections on Highwa poles, and is locat ntegrity over time. nce plan that outli as a condition of a and electrical equ	south and west of apartment comple antennas and pole e mono-pine pole into the surround y 395, but camouj ted in the back pau To ensure that the nes how the facilit approval for the pu ipment are kept. A	the project locati ex to the north. The with a pine tree at a distance. The ing area. The mon flaged as a pine the rking lot of a Auto e façade continue ty will be maintai roject, to block the maintenance pla	ion. The his view façade. ere is a no-pine ree, the omotive es to ined as a ne view
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? No, the proposed tower and antennas will not damage scenic resources outcroppings. There are no historic buildings in the general area and a scenic highway.	s as it will not bloc the proposed tower	k the view of near and antennas are	by trees or impore not located with	⊠ rtant rock nin a state
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? The proposed tower, antennas, and associated mechanical/electrical en- east of it. It is designed to be camouflaged with a pine tree façade. It we that already has utility poles, and signs, and is located in the back part provide a maintenance plan outlining how the façade will continue to a Also, to block the view into the area where the mechanical and electric condition of approval for the project. A maintenance plan for both the equipment will serve as mitigation for the project.	ill blend somewha king lot of an Auto camouflage the pro cal equipment are b	t into the trees to a motive Repair Cen oject from the public kept, screening with	the north and is in nter. The applican lic and surroundi ll be required as	n an area nt will ing areas. a
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? No, the antennas and the metallic pole will be covered with a pine tree antennas and/or pole. To ensure that the façade continues to provide a maintenance plan that outlines how the facility will be kept up as a cor pine tree façade will serve as mitigation for the project.	ppropriate camouj	flage the applican	t will provide a	
<b>II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES</b> : In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant				

whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are

•

. ·

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including The Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and forest carbon measurement methodology Provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board.	5			
Would the project: a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? <i>No, the proposed tower and antennas will not be located on farmland.</i>				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? No, the proposed tower and antennas will not be located on land zoned County.	☐ d for agriculture.	There are no Willing	amson Act contr	🖾 acts in Inyo
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))? No, the proposed tower and antennas will not be located on forested la	nnd.			
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? No, the proposed tower and antennas will not be located on forested la	Ind.			$\boxtimes$
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use? No, the proposed location will not cause changes to the surrounding en agricultural uses.	nvironment that c	ould result in any l	osses to farmlar	🛛 ad or
<b>III. AIR QUALITY:</b> Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? No, although there are portions of Inyo County within non-attainment microns or less in diameter) ambient air quality standards, the primary approximately 60-miles from the project site. The project is also being parking lot where there will be minimal ground disturbance. The appli Control District County building and safety regulations regarding dust	y source for this p located in a prev icant will also be	pollution is the Ow iously developed A subject to Great Be	ens dry lake, loc utomotive Repa	ated ir Center
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality				$\boxtimes$

violation?

÷

:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
No. Although there are portions of Inyo County within non-attainmen microns or less in diameter) ambient air quality standards, the primar approximately 60-miles from the project site. The project is also being parking lot where there will be minimal ground disturbance. The appl Control District County building and safety regulations regarding dus	y source for this p located in a prev icant will also be	ollution is the Owe iously graded dirt . subject to Great Bo	ens dry lake, loc Automotive Rep	ated air Center
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante	nnas that will not	Create emissions.		
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				$\boxtimes$
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante	nnas that will not	result in pollutants	δ.	
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante	nnas that will not	Create odors.		$\boxtimes$
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Would the project:				
TV. DIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would lie project.				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante	nnas being built o	n a previously dist	다. urbed site (graa	🖂 led dirt
Automotive Repair Center parking lot); therefore no habitat modificat	ions will occur du	e to its constructio	n.	
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante. Automotive Repair Center parking lot); therefore no riparian habitat n				led dirt
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?		□ .		
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante. Automotive Repair Center parking lot); therefore no adverse effects or				led dirt
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anter Automotive Repair Center parking lot); therefore no fish or wild life co			urbed site (graa	🖾 led dirt
			_	
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances		$\Box$		$\boxtimes$

•

.

,

.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service and Automotive Repair Center parking lot); therefore it will not affect tree a tree preservation policy or ordinance.				
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service and Automotive Repair Center parking lot); therefore it will not conflict w are no adopted conservation plans for the area.				
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES: Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante Automotive Repair Center parking lot) and there are no known histor				
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5?				$\boxtimes$
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante Automotive Repair Center parking lot) and there are no known archa	ennas being bui eological resou	lt on a previously a rces as defined in S	listurbed site (gr Section 15064.5 d	aded dirt on the site.
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante Automotive Repair Center parking lot) and there are no known paleon				aaea airi
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				$\boxtimes$
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante Automotive Repair Center parking lot). In the event that human remain 15064.5(e) of CEQA requires work to be stopped and the County Cor- Code 7050.5	ins or related cu	ultural material are	e encountered, Se	ection
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS: Would the project: a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. <i>No, the project area is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthqua</i>	L ke Fault Zone.			
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?			$\boxtimes$	

•

-

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Ground shaking may occur anywhere in the region, due to numerous an identified Alquist-Priolo zone or not. However, the Uniform Buil required seismic standards (Level IV) in order to withstand such sha	ding Code ensure	s that future struc	tures shall constr	ucted to
<ul><li>iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including</li><li>liquefaction?</li><li>No the project area is not within an area of soils know to be subject</li></ul>	to liquefaction			$\boxtimes$
	ю пциејасноп,			
iv) Landslides? No, the project area is not subject to landslides.				$\boxtimes$
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an Automotive Repair Center parking lot). Also, development on the pro- "Best Management Practice (BMP)" requirements as set forth by th Environmental Health Services Department, and other associated re- impacts are considered less than significant.	oject site is requir e Inyo County Pu	ed to conform to a blic Works Depar	all drainage, grad tment, Inyo Coun	ling, and ty of Inyo
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? No, the project is not located on a geologic unit or soil that is consid	🗌 lered unstable.			
<ul> <li>d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-</li> <li>1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?</li> <li>No, the project is not located on a geologic unit or soil that is considered on a geologic unit or soil that is considered.</li> </ul>	ered expansive.			
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an Automotive Repair Center parking lot). Also, the project will not cre it will not create waste.				
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS: Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an	tennas that will n	ot create emission	L.	
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an	tennas that will n	D ot create emission	L. 15.	
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?		,		

•

•

•

•

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service materials.	antennas that will r	not include the tran	sport or disposa	l of hazardous
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service materials.	antennas that will r	not include the gene	eration of hazard	dous
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service materials. Also, the project site is not within ¼-mile of a school.	antennas that will r	not include the tran	Sport or disposa	🛛 I of hazardous
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? No, the project is not located on a site included on a list of hazard 65962.5.	lous materials sites	Compiled pursuant	to Government	⊠ Code Section
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? No, the project location is neither within an airport landuse plan, pole and associated mechanical components will be enclosed by for			use airport. The i	⊠ mono-pine
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? <i>No, the project location is not within the vicinity of a private air sa</i>	Trip.			
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? No, the project is located on property that is adjacent to a State H site is a Automotive Repair Center parking lot that does not provid physically interfere with an adopted emergency plan or emergency	de access through i			
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? No, the proposed project location will receive fire protection serve required to follow the California Fire Code, and current building and requirements, potential impacts are considered less than sign	code requirements,			
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY: Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				$\boxtimes$

•

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) and does not include activ	antennas being bu vities that will creat	ilt on a previously o e waste discharge.	disturbed site (g	raded dirt
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?No, the project is a map previously disturbed site (graded dirt Automotive Repair Center p	Dono-pine pole that w parking lot) and doe	U vill hold cellular se es not include activ	Trvice antennas l ities that will rea	Deing buil quire wate
usage. c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service	antennas that is be	ing built on an alre	adv disturbed s	🖾
Automotive Repair Center parking lot) and does not include activ	ities that will creat	e waste discharge.	uuy uistai ocu si	ie (grude
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the				$\boxtimes$
result in flooding on or off-site? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service</i>	antennas being but rent drainage natte	ilt on a previously a rn of the area, as th	listurbed site (gi ne site is a dirt p	aded diri arking loi
result in flooding on or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and ' Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi	rent drainage patte. r to existing draina 'Best Management . ronmental Health S	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice'' (BMP) ro 'ervices Departmen	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso	arking lot t on the et forth b
result in flooding on or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curs surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and ' Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi regulatory agencies. As a result of this regulation, potential impo- e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of	rent drainage patte. r to existing draina 'Best Management . ronmental Health S	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice'' (BMP) ro 'ervices Departmen	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso	arking lot t on the et forth b
result in flooding on or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and ' Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi regulatory agencies. As a result of this regulation, potential impo e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr	rent drainage patter r to existing draina 'Best Management J ronmental Health S acts are considered antennas being but rent drainage patter	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice" (BMP) re ervices Departmen less than significan	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso t I disturbed site (gr	arking loi t on the et forth b ociated
result in flooding on or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and ' Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi regulatory agencies. As a result of this regulation, potential impo e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr brick wall that will divert any run off to existing drainage system f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service	rent drainage patte r to existing draina 'Best Management I ronmental Health S acts are considered antennas being but antennas being but	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice" (BMP) re ervices Departmen less than significan ilt on a previously of the area as th ilt on a previously of	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso t listurbed site (gr e site is enclosed listurbed site (gr	arking lot t on the ret forth b ociated aded dirt t by six fo
result in flooding on or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and ' Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi regulatory agencies. As a result of this regulation, potential impo- e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr brick wall that will divert any run off to existing drainage system f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not cause runoff of g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	rent drainage patte r to existing draina 'Best Management I ronmental Health S acts are considered antennas being but rent drainage patter antennas being but or water usage that	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice" (BMP) re ervices Departmen less than significan to a previously of rn of the area as th could degrade wat	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso t listurbed site (gr e site is enclosed listurbed site (gr	arking lot t on the et forth b ociated aded dirt t by six fo
rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or off-site? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service</i> <i>Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the cur</i> <i>surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate</i> <i>project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and</i> ' <i>Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi</i> <i>regulatory agencies. As a result of this regulation, potential impo</i> e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service</i> <i>Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the cur</i> <i>brick wall that will divert any run off to existing drainage system</i> f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service</i> <i>Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not cause runoff</i> g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? <i>No, the project is not located in a 100-year flood hazard area and</i>	rent drainage patte r to existing draina 'Best Management I ronmental Health S acts are considered antennas being but rent drainage patter antennas being but or water usage that	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice" (BMP) re ervices Departmen less than significan to a previously of rn of the area as th could degrade wat	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso t listurbed site (gr e site is enclosed listurbed site (gr	arking lot t on the et forth b ociated aded dirt d by six fo aded dirt
result in flooding on or off-site? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr surrounded by an existing six foot block wall that will divert wate project site is required to conform to all drainage, grading, and ' Inyo County Public Works Department, Inyo County of Inyo Envi regulatory agencies. As a result of this regulation, potential impo- e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not affect the curr brick wall that will divert any run off to existing drainage system f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service Automotive Repair Center parking lot) that will not cause runoff of g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	rent drainage patte r to existing draina 'Best Management I ronmental Health S acts are considered antennas being but rent drainage patter antennas being but or water usage that	rn of the area, as th ge flow patterns. A Practice" (BMP) re ervices Departmen less than significan to a previously of rn of the area as th could degrade wat	he site is a dirt p lso, developmen equirements as s t, and other asso t listurbed site (gr e site is enclosed listurbed site (gr	arking lot t on the et forth b ociated aded dirt d by six fo aded dirt

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
No, the project is not in an area known to be prone to flooding a resu	lt of the failure o	of a levee or dam.		
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? No, the project is not in an area known to be prone to seiche, tsunami	or mudflows.			
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING: Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service and that will not divide a community	ennas being buil	t in a dirt Automot	U tive Repair Cent	⊠ er parking lot
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service and Regulation of Wireless Communications Facilities with a conditional Inyo County. It is also compliant with the County's General Plan Des RC designation to allow for service uses, and public/quasi-public uses	use permit and a ignation of Reta	an update to Veriz il Commercial, as	on's Telecom Pl it provides for a	an on file with
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan? No, there are not applicable habitat conservation plans or natural con project.	mmunity conser	uations plans for th	ne area of the pr	⊠ oposed
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES: Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? No, there are no known mineral resources on the site.				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan? No, there are no mineral resource recovery sites delineated in any pla	nns that include	□ the site.		
XII. NOISE: Would the project result in the:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anter	🗌	D produce noise		
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive				
groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante	ים ennas. It will not	produce noise.		
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service ante	ennas. It will not	produce noise.		

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? No, although there may be some noise during construction, it will no				🖂 rea above its
<ul><li>current level, as it will be located behind an Automotive Shop in a give)</li><li>e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</li></ul>	raded dirt parkin	g lot next to Tu Su	Lane.	
No, the project is not located within an airport landuse plan and is r	not within 2-miles	of a public or pub	lic use airport.	
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? No, the project is not located in the vicinity of a private airstrip.				
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an Automotive Repair Center parking lot). It does not include housing a population increase.				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				$\boxtimes$
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an	tennas that will n	iot result in a loss (	of housing units.	
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an</i>	tennas that will n	ot result in the dis	D	Deple.
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES: Would the project:				
a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an not cause a high demand for additional services that could result in			□ hop Rural Fire L	⊠ District. It will
Police protection? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an Sheriff. It will not cause a high demand for additional services that of				X nyo County
Schools?				$\boxtimes$

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service a will not cause a high demand for additional services that could rest	ntennas and is lo ult in an overall l	cated within the Bis oss in service provi	hop Unified Sch sion.	ool District. I
Parks? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service a facilities.	ntennas. It will n	Dot cause a need for	new or improved	⊠ I park
Other public facilities? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service a facilities.	ntennas. It will n	D ot cause a need for	new or improved	⊠ I public
XV. RECREATION: Would the project:				
a) Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service a</i> <i>facilities.</i>	🗌 ntennas. It will no	D ot cause an increase	D e of use to park o	🖾
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an recreational facilities.	ntennas. It does r	D not include plans for	new or an expa	Insion of
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project:				
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an volume or congestion in the area.	ntennas. It will no	Dt cause an increase	to the current v	⊠ pehicle trips,
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service and and therefore will not lower the level of service standard for the roads and therefore will not lower the level of service standard for the roads and therefore will not lower the level of service standard for the roads and therefore will not lower the level of service standard for the roads and the service standard for the servi	ntennas. It will no	Dt cause increases to	D the current traj	⊠ ffic congestio
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service an	ntennas. It will n	D	a gir traffic natta	
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or			an nagno putte	erns.
incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service and area.	ntennas. It will no	ot cause a need for a	any changes to t	he roads in th

•

No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service antennas. It will not create losses of emergency access.

· .

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity? No, although the proposed project will be located in an existing A Automotive Repair Center parking lot no parking spaces will be lost.				$\boxtimes$
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anter policies or programs.	The second seco	no impact on adop	Deted transportation	Don plans,
<u>XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES –</u>				
a ) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is				
i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or No, the project site is not on Tribal lands and the project is a mono-pin previously disturbed site (graded dirt Automotive Repair Center parkin Section 15064.5 on the site.	Depole that will he pole that will he	DId cellular service no known historice	e antennas being al resources as c	g built on a lefined in
ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. No, the proposed tower and antennas will not be located on Tribal land defined in Section 15064.5 on the site.	□ I nor are there an	□ y known historica	□ ⊠ I resources as	
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anten	nas. It will not re	D quire wastewater	Treatment.	$\boxtimes$
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anten or wastewater treatment facilities.	nas. It will not re	uire new or an e	xpansion of exist	⊠ ting water
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				

·	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact				
No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service antennas. It will not require new or an expansion of existing storm water drainage facilities.								
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? Yes, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anter District for its water provision. The project will require little to no water provision.	ennas. It is located ter during constru	in the Eastern Sid	Derra Community r during operation	Service Sns.				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? No, the proposed project will not be serviced by a wastewater treatment	nt facility.							
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?								
Yes, the project is served by a county landfill that has the capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs. This will only be during construction. The cellular service antennas and pole will not require landfill facilities for its operations.								
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? Yes, the applicant will be required to comply with federal, state and lo	Cal statues and reg	ulations related t	o solid waste.	$\boxtimes$				
<b>XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:</b> a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? Based on the information submitted by the applicant, the project does substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fis	not have the poten	tial to degrade the	e quality of the e w self-sustaining	Nnvironment,				
substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. The proposed project would construct a mono- pine pole to hold cellular service antennas and will be constructed on a previously disturbed site (graded dirt Automotive Repair Center parking lot).								
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? <i>No, the project is a mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service anter</i>	D	T	La formation (or second					
Automotive Repair Center parking lot). There are no other cellular and existing tower is about 2-miles away and cannot be seen from the prop Telecom Plan.	tenna facilities in i	the vicinity of the	project location	(the closest				
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				$\boxtimes$				

'n

No Impact

No, although the mono-pine pole that will hold cellular service antennas will be visible, the project does not have environmental effects which will cause adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

,

• . -- -- -