Form F

## Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

## Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document. SCH #: \_\_\_\_\_\_2018082955 Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_\_Yolo County Cannabis Land Use Ordinance Lead Agency: \_\_\_\_\_\_Yolo County Department of Community Services Contact Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_Susan Strachan, Cannabis Program Manager

Email: \_\_\_\_\_ cannabis@yolocounty.org

Project Location: unincorporated area of Yolo County
City

County

Phone Number:

(530) 406-4800

Project Decription (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The County proposes to amend the County General Plan and County Code to continue to regulate, and potentially reduce or expand, allowed cannabis activities in the unincorporated area of the County. The County is considering five alternative variations to the proposed Cannabis Land Use Ordinance, all of which rely on the same underlying regulatory requirements that would regulate cannabis activities through land use, zoning, and development standards. The alternatives vary based on allowed cannabis license types, assumed numbers of operations, allowed location based on zoning, controls on overconcentration, and required buffers from identified sensitive uses. The alternatives are:

Alternative 1: Cultivation (Ancillary Nurseries and Processing Only) with Existing Limits (Existing Operations with CLUO) (CEQA Preferred Alternative) – 78 licenses

Alternative 2: All License Types with Moderate Limits - 132 licenses

Alternative 3: All License Types with High Limits – 264 licenses

Alternative 4: Mixed-Light/Indoor License Types Only with Moderate Limits, No Hoop Houses or Outdoor Types – 132 licenses

Alternative 5: All License Types with Moderate Limits, Within Agricultural Zones Only, No Retail – 130 licenses

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Significant but mitigatable impacts:

Impact BIO-1: Special-Status Species (all alternatives). Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 would mitigate this impact for all alternatives.

Impact BIO-2: Riparian Habitat and Other Sensitive Natural Communities (all alternatives). Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 would mitigate this impact for all alternatives.

Impact BIO-3: Wetlands (all alternatives). Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 would mitigate this impact for all alternatives.

Impact BIO-4: Wildlife Movement (all alternatives). Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 would mitigate this impact for all alternatives.

Significant and unavoidable impacts:

Impact AES-3: Substantially Degrade the Existing Visual Character or Quality of the Project Area (all alternatives) Impact AQ-4: Expose a Substantial Number of People to Adverse Odors (all alternatives)

Impact CUM-1: Cumulative Visual Character Impacts (all alternatives)

Impact CUM-3: Cumulative Odor Impacts (all alternatives)

Impact OVC-1: Visual Character Impacts from Overconcentration of Cannabis Uses (all alternatives)

Impact OVC-3: Odor Impacts from Overconcentration of Cannabis Uses (all alternatives)

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Known areas of controversy:

- whether cannabis uses and activities should be allowed in unincorporated Yolo County;
- whether noncultivation cannabis activities should be allowed;
- whether existing allowed cannabis cultivation should be allowed to expand;
- interplay of non-cannabis agriculture and cannabis agriculture;
- whether cannabis is an agricultural land use;
- odor impacts from cannabis uses and activities;
- impacts in all environmental issue areas from cannabis uses and activities;
- impacts of overconcentration of cannabis uses in particular areas;
- social, economic, and safety/crime impacts of cannabis uses and activities; and
- concerns about the adequacy of the proposed buffers from sensitive land uses or receptors.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

The following responsible and trustee agencies may have jurisdiction over elements of the project:

California Department of Consumer Affairs, Bureau of Cannabis Control;

California Department of Fish and Wildlife;

California Department of Food and Agriculture, CalCannabis;

California Department of Pesticide Regulation;

California Department of Public Health;

California Department of Transportation;

California Department of Water Resources;

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board;

State Water Resources Control Board; and

Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District.