

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2018062002

Project Title: Coyote Hills Restoration and Public Access Project

Lead Agency: East Bay Regional Park District

Contact Name: Karla Cuero

Email: kcuero@ebparks.org Phone Number: 510-544-2622

Project Location: Fremont Alameda County  
*City* *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Coyote Hills Restoration and Public Access Project aims to restore habitat and add public access facilities to a 306-acre parcel that would become part of Coyote Hills Regional Park. The existing Coyote Hills Regional Park is located in the northwest corner of the City of Fremont, east of the Don Edwards San Francisco Bay Wildlife Refuge, and north of State Highway Route 84. The 306-acre expansion area borders the east side of the existing Regional Park; is bounded to the west by Ardenwood Boulevard and Paseo Padre Parkway; and is bounded to the north by the Alameda Creek Flood Control Channel. The proposed Project consists of two main components: a Land Use Plan Amendment (LUPA) and a Park Development Plan, both prepared by the East Bay Regional Park District (District). The LUPA amends the 2005 Coyote Hills Regional Park Land Use Plan to include the 306-acre expansion area and its land uses. The Park Development Plan outlines the restoration and visitor-service facilities and public access trail development proposed for the expansion area. The proposed expansion includes a new entry kiosk, parking lot, restroom and family picnic facilities, entry area improvements, signage, over 5 miles of new trails, wildlife observation platforms, and approximately 150 acres of habitat restoration and enhancement. Proposed habitat restoration and enhancement types would include willow thicket and mixed riparian forest, as well as oak savanna, seasonal wetlands, and enhanced grasslands. The Project would preserve views, continue agriculture, and preserve, protect, and interpret the site's rich natural resources, Native American culture, and historic resources.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Potential significant impacts were identified for the following environmental topics: air quality, biological resources, geology and soils, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, noise, transportation and traffic, and utilities and service systems. The analysis undertaken in support of this DEIR has determined that Project impacts for these topic areas are less than significant or could be mitigated to below the threshold of significance. Mitigation Measures are detailed in Table 2-1 of the Draft EIR for each of these environmental topics. As discussed in the DEIR in section 4.2 - Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources, disassembly of the Contractor's Residence would result in a Significant and Unavoidable Impact on historic architectural resources.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The Proposed Project raises the following areas of potential controversy: historic architectural resources, archaeological resources including potential for buried Native American remains, preservation and protection of biological resources, access to the site for mosquito abatement, and alternative locations for trails and parking.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Responsible or trustee agencies for the project include the City of Fremont, Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), the Division of the State Architect, and State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO).