## Appendix L 4. Land Use Transportation Table

## **IV. Environmental Impact Analysis**

## **K.** Transportation

		Response			Supporting/Complementary	Destant	
No.	Guiding Questions	to Guiding Questions	Description	Relevant Plan, Policies, And Programs	City Plans, Policies, And Programs To Consult	Project Consistency?	
-	EXISTING PLAN APPLICABILITY						
1	Does the project include additions or new construction along a street designated as a Boulevard I, and II, and/or Avenue I, II, or III on property zoned for R3 or less restrictive zone? (screening question).	No	The Project Site has frontage directly on Mateo Street and Imperial Street, which are designated as an Avenue III and a Collector Street, respectively under the Mobility Plan 2035 Street Standards Plan. The Project Site is zoned within a Heavy Industrial Zone (M3) per the City of Los Angeles Municipal Code.	LAMC Section 12.37 (Waivers of Dedications and Improvement).		Yes	
2	Is project site along any network identified in the City's Mobility Plan?	Yes	Mateo Street is designated as a Neighborhood Enhanced Network (NEN). Mateo Street is designated as a Tier 2 Bicycle Lane within the Bicycle Lane Network.	Refer to Table IV.G-2 Project Consistency with the Applicable Policies of the Mobility Plan 2035 for consistency analysis of policies 2.3 through 2.7.		Yes	
3	Are dedications or improvements needed to serve long-term mobility needs identified in the Mobility Plan 2035?	No	Off-site improvements would be generally contained in the adjacent rights-of-way to the Project Site. These off-site improvements would consist of sidewalk dedications (6' Mateo Street and 8' Imperial Street), widenings, and improvements; planting	Refer to <b>Table IV.G-2</b> <b>Project Consistency with</b> <b>the Applicable Policies of</b> <b>the</b> <b>Mobility Plan 2035</b> for consistency analysis of	Refer to Table IV.G-2 Project Consistency with the Applicable Policies of the Mobility Plan 2035 for consistency analysis of policy 2.17 Street Widening.	Yes	

 Table IV.K-2

 Project Consistency with Plans, Programs, Ordinances, or Policies

		Response	ject consistency with Plans, Pro		Supporting/Complementary	
		to Guiding		Relevant Plan, Policies,	City Plans, Policies, And	Project
No.	Guiding Questions	Questions	Description	And Programs	Programs To Consult	Consistency?
			street trees; roadway circulation improvements; installing street lights (if required); and underground existing overhead powerlines.	policies 2.4 and 2.17 Street Widening.		
4	Does the project require placement of transit furniture in accordance with City's Coordinated Street Furniture and Bus Bench Program?	The Project will improve transit furniture as required.	The Project will improve transit furniture as required in accordance with the City's Coordinated Street Furniture and Bus Bench Program.			Yes
5	Is project site in an identified Transit Oriented Community (TOC)?	Yes	Transit Oriented Communities (TOCs) are applicable to housing developments that include on-site restricted affordable units. The Project is not pursuing TOC program incentives. However, the Project will set aside 11 percent of its units, or 20 units, for deed-restricted for Very Low Income Households. The Project is in TOC Affordable Housing Incentive Area Tier 3.	Refer to <b>Section IV.K.</b> <b>Transportation</b> for a consistency analysis with the TOC Guidelines.		Yes
6	Is project site on a roadway identified in City's High Injury Network?	No	The Project Site is bordered by Mateo Street and Imperial Street, which are not identified in the City's High Injury Network.	Refer to <b>Section IV.K.</b> <b>Transportation</b> for a consistency analysis with the Vision Zero Plan.	Refer to <b>Section IV.K.</b> <b>Transportation</b> for a consistency analysis with the Mobility Plan 2035.	Yes
7	Does project propose repurposing existing curb space? (Bike corral, car-sharing, parklet, electric vehicle charging, loading zone, curb extension, etc.)	No		Refer to Table IV.G-2 Project Consistency with the Applicable Policies of the Mobility Plan 2035 for consistency analysis of policies 2.1 Adaptive Reuse of Streets, 2.10 Loading Areas, 3.5 Multi-Modal Features, 3.8 Bicycle	Refer to <b>Table IV.G-2</b> <b>Project Consistency with</b> <b>the Applicable Policies of</b> <b>the Mobility Plan 2035</b> for consistency analysis of policies 2.3 Pedestrian Infrastructure, 2.4 Neighborhood Enhanced Network, 3.2 People with	Yes

Table IV.K-2Project Consistency with Plans, Programs, Ordinances, or Policies

			ject consistency with Flans, Flo	gramo, oramanoco, or r		
		Response			Supporting/Complementary	
		to Guiding		Relevant Plan, Policies,	City Plans, Policies, And	Project
No.	Guiding Questions	Questions	Description	And Programs	Programs To Consult	Consistency?
				Parking, 4.13 Parking and	Disabilities, 4.1 New	
				Land Use Management, and	Technologies, MP 5.1	
				5.4 Clean Fuels and	Sustainable Transportation	
				Vehicles.	and 5.5 Green Streets.	
				Refer to Table IV.G-2		
				Project Consistency with		
				the Applicable Policies of		
				the Mobility Plan 2035 for		
				consistency analysis of	Refer to Section IV.K.	
	Does project propose			policies 2.3 Pedestrian	<b>Transportation</b> for a	
8	narrowing or shifting	No		Infrastructure, 3.1 - Access	consistency analysis with the	Yes
Ŭ	existing sidewalk	110		for All, MP 2.17	Healthy LA, Vision Zero, and	100
	placement?			Street Widenings, and 3.5.	Sustainability pLAn.	
				Refer to Section IV.K.		
				Transportation subtitle		
				Pedestrian Framework for a		
				discussion of PED.		
				Refer to <b>Table IV.G-2</b>		
				Project Consistency with		
	Does project propose paving, narrowing, shifting or removing an existing parkway?	narrowing, No.		the Applicable Policies of		
				the Mobility Plan 2035 for		
•				consistency analysis of		Ň
9				policy 5.5 Green Streets;		Yes
				Refer to Section IV.K.		
				Transportation for a		
				consistency analysis of		
				Sustainability pĹAn.		
	Deservation	Ī		Refer to Section IV.K.		
10	Does project propose modifying, removing or			Transportation subtitle		
				Bicycle Networks for a	Refer to Section IV.K.	
	otherwise affect existing	No		discussion of BEN. Refer to	Transportation for a	Vee
	bicycle infrastructure?	No		Table IV.G-2	consistency analysis with the	Yes
	(ex: driveway proposed			Project Consistency with	Vision Zero Plan.	
	along street with bicycle			the Applicable Policies of		
	facility)			the Mobility Plan 2035 for		

 Table IV.K-2

 Project Consistency with Plans, Programs, Ordinances, or Policies

			ject consistency with Flans, Flo			
		Response			Supporting/Complementary	
		to Guiding		Relevant Plan, Policies,	City Plans, Policies, And	Project
No.	Guiding Questions	Questions	Description	And Programs	Programs To Consult	Consistency?
				consistency analysis of policy 4.15 Public Hearing		
				Process.		
11	Is project site adjacent to an alley? If yes, will project make use of, modify, or restrict alley access?	No		Refer to <b>Table IV.G-2</b> <b>Project Consistency with</b> <b>the Applicable Policies of</b> <b>the Mobility Plan 2035</b> for consistency analysis of policies 3.9 Increased Network Access (PS.3), 3.10 Cul-de-Sacs (PS.3) 5.5 Green Streets (ENG.9); 3.9 Increased Network Access (PL.1), and 2.1 Adaptive		Yes
12 ACC	Does project create a cul-de-sac or is project site located adjacent to existing cul-de-sac? If yes, is cul-de-sac consistent with design goal in Mobility Plan 2035 (maintain through bicycle and pedestrian access)?	No		Resuse of Streets (PL.13) Refer to Table IV.G-2 Project Consistency with the Applicable Policies of the Mobility Plan 2035 for consistency analysis of policy 3.10 Cul-de-sacs.		Yes
ACC	E33. DRIVEWATS AND L		The Dreight dage not propose arrive and	Defer to Table IV C C		
13	Does project site introduce a new driveway or loading access along an arterial (Avenue or Boulevard)?	No	The Project does not propose any new driveways or loading access along an arterial. Vehicular access to the Project Site is proposed via one new driveway located off the west side of Imperial Street (a Collector Street).	Refer to Table IV.G-2 Project Consistency with the Applicable Policies of the Mobility Plan 2035 for consistency analysis of policies 3.9 Increased Network Access (PL.1); 2.3	Refer to <b>Section IV.K.</b> <b>Transportation</b> for a consistency analysis with the Vision Zero Plan.	Yes

 Table IV.K-2

 Project Consistency with Plans, Programs, Ordinances, or Policies

			ject consistency with Flans, Fro				
		Response			Supporting/Complementary	Durtant	
		to Guiding	<b>_</b>	Relevant Plan, Policies,	City Plans, Policies, And	Project	
No.	Guiding Questions	Questions	Description	And Programs	Programs To Consult	Consistency?	
				Pedestrian Infrastructure,			
				and 3.1 Access for All			
				(PK.10), CDG 4.1.02.			
				Refer to Table IV.G-2			
	If yes to 13, Is a non-	, N/A		Project Consistency with	Refer to Section IV.K.		
	arterial frontage or alley			the Applicable Policies of	Transportation for a		
14	access available to			the Mobility Plan 2035 for	consistency analysis with the	Yes	
	serve the driveway or			consistency analysis of	Vision Zero Plan.		
	loading access needs?			policy 3.9 Increased Network			
				Access (PL.1); MPP 321			
	Does project site						
15	include a corner lot?	No		CDG 4.1.01		Yes	
15	(avoid driveways too	NO		CDG 4.1.01		165	
	close to intersections)						
			Per LADOT's Manual of Policies and		Refer to Section IV.K.		
			Procedures, Section 321, it is		Transportation for a		
			recommended that two-way driveways		consistency analysis with the		
	Does project propose		serving commercial and multi-family		Vision Zero and Plan and		
16	driveway width in	driveway width in No residential uses (more than 25 spaces)	MPP Sec. 321	Sustainability pLAn and	Yes		
10	excess of City standard?	NO	are 30 feet in width. The Project's	Refer to Section IV.K. Transportation subtitle		165	
			driveway will be approximately 30 feet				
			in width.		Pedestrian Framework for a		
					discussion of PED and BEN.		
					CDG 4.1.04		
			Per LADOT's Manual of Policies and				
			Procedures (MPP) Section 321, a				
			maximum of one driveway is allowed		Refer to Section IV.K.		
	Does project propose		along an arterial frontage between 0			Vaa	
17	more driveways than	han	and 200 feet. The Project proposes	MPP - Sec No. 321 Driveway	<b>Transportation</b> for a consistency analysis with the		
17	required by City maximum standard?	one driveway along Imperial Street, a	Design		Yes		
		maximum standard? Colle	Collector Street, and the Project's	_	Vision Zero Plan, Mobility		
			frontage is less than 200 feet, which is		Plan 2035, Healthy LA. Plan.		
			compliant with LADOT's MPP, Section				
			321.				

Table IV.K-2Project Consistency with Plans, Programs, Ordinances, or Policies

					Supporting/Complementary	
		Response to Guiding		Polovant Plan Policios		Broject
No	Cuiding Questions	_	Description	Relevant Plan, Policies,	City Plans, Policies, And	Project
No.	Guiding Questions	Questions	Description	And Programs	Programs To Consult	Consistency?
			A loading zone is proposed as part of	Refer to <b>Table IV.G-2</b>		
			the project. The Project proposes all	Project Consistency with		
			loading to occur off-street and	the Applicable Policies of		
	Are loading zones		internally to the Project Site.	the Mobility Plan 2035 for		
18	proposed as a part of	Yes		consistency analysis of		Yes
	the project?			policies 2.10 Loading Areas.		
				4.13 Parking and Land		
				Management (PK.1); 2.10		
				Loading Areas (PK.7 and		
				PK.8); MPP 321		
	Does project include			Refer to Table IV.G-2		
	"drop-off" zones or			Project Consistency with		
19	areas? If yes, are such	No		the Applicable Policies of		Yes
10	areas located to the	NO		the Mobility Plan 2035 for		100
	side or rear of the			consistency analysis of		
	building?			policy 2.10 Loading Areas.		
	Does project propose			Refer to Table IV.G-2		
	Does project propose modifying,			Project Consistency with		
20				the Applicable Policies of		
	limiting/restricting, or	No		the Mobility Plan 2035 for		Yes
	removing public access to a public right-of-way (e.g., vacating public right-of-way?)	INO		consistency analysis of		res
				policies 2.3 Pedestrian		
				Infrastructure and 3.9		
				Increased Network Access.		

 Table IV.K-2

 Project Consistency with Plans, Programs, Ordinances, or Policies