

Table of Contents

Contents	Page
1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEDURES	1-1
1.2.1 EIR Format	1-2
1.2.2 Type and Purpose of This DEIR.....	1-3
1.3 PROJECT LOCATION	1-4
1.4 PROJECT SUMMARY	1-4
1.5 SUMMARY OF PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	1-5
1.5.1 Alternatives Considered and Rejected during the Planning Process.....	1-5
1.5.2 Alternatives Selected for Further Analysis.....	1-5
1.6 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED	1-9
1.7 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	1-9
1.8 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, MITIGATION MEASURES, AND LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION.....	1-9
2. INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.1 PURPOSE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.....	2-1
2.2 NOTICE OF PREPARATION AND INITIAL STUDY.....	2-2
2.3 SCOPE OF THIS DEIR	2-4
2.3.1 Impacts Considered Less Than Significant	2-5
2.3.2 Potentially Significant Adverse Impacts	2-5
2.3.3 Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts	2-5
2.4 INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE	2-7
2.5 FINAL EIR CERTIFICATION	2-7
2.6 MITIGATION MONITORING.....	2-7
3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	3-1
3.1 PROJECT LOCATION	3-1
3.2 STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES	3-1
3.3 PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS.....	3-2
3.3.1 Description of the Project.....	3-2
3.4 INTENDED USES OF THE EIR	3-2
4. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	4-1
4.1 INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.2 REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	4-1
4.2.1 Regional Location.....	4-1
4.2.2 Regional Planning Considerations.....	4-1
4.3 LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	4-3
4.3.1 Location and Land Use	4-3
4.3.2 Local Planning Considerations	4-7
4.3.3 Environmental Resources and Infrastructure	4-8
4.4 ASSUMPTIONS REGARDING CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	4-9
5. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	5.1-1
5.1 AIR QUALITY	5.1-1
5.1.1 Environmental Setting.....	5.1-1
5.1.2 Thresholds of Significance.....	5.1-17
5.1.3 Existing Regulations and Standard Conditions.....	5.1-20
5.1.4 Environmental Impacts.....	5.1-21
5.1.5 Cumulative Impacts	5.1-43
5.1.6 Mitigation Measures.....	5.1-44
5.1.7 Level of Significance After Mitigation	5.1-44

Table of Contents

Contents	Page
5.1.8	References..... 5.1-44
5.2	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS..... 5.2-1
5.2.1	Environmental Setting..... 5.2-1
5.2.2	Thresholds of Significance..... 5.2-13
5.2.3	Existing Regulations and Standard Conditions..... 5.2-16
5.2.4	Environmental Impacts..... 5.2-16
5.2.5	Cumulative Impacts 5.2-20
5.2.6	Mitigation Measures..... 5.2-21
5.2.7	Level of Significance After Mitigation 5.2-21
5.2.8	References..... 5.2-21
5.3	LAND USE AND PLANNING 5.3-1
5.3.1	Environmental Setting..... 5.3-1
5.3.2	Thresholds of Significance..... 5.3-4
5.3.3	Existing Regulations and Standard Conditions..... 5.3-4
5.3.4	Environmental Impacts..... 5.3-4
5.3.5	Cumulative Impacts 5.3-11
5.3.6	Level of Significance After Mitigation 5.3-11
5.3.7	References..... 5.3-12
5.4	NOISE..... 5.4-1
5.4.1	Environmental Setting..... 5.4-1
5.4.2	Thresholds of Significance..... 5.4-7
5.4.3	Existing Regulations and Standard Conditions..... 5.4-8
5.4.4	Environmental Impacts..... 5.4-13
5.4.5	Cumulative Impacts 5.4-21
5.4.6	Mitigation Measures..... 5.4-22
5.4.7	Level of Significance After Mitigation 5.4-22
5.4.8	References..... 5.4-22
5.5	TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC 5.5-1
5.5.1	Environmental Setting..... 5.5-1
5.5.2	Thresholds of Significance..... 5.5-8
5.5.3	Existing Regulations and Standard Conditions..... 5.5-12
5.5.4	Environmental Impacts..... 5.5-13
5.5.5	Cumulative Impacts 5.5-33
5.5.6	Mitigation Measures..... 5.5-33
5.5.7	Level of Significance After Mitigation 5.5-35
5.5.8	References..... 5.5-35
6.	SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS 6-1
6.1	TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC 6-1
7.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT 7-1
7.1	INTRODUCTION 7-1
7.1.1	Purpose and Scope..... 7-1
7.1.2	Project Objectives 7-2
7.1.3	Significant Impacts of the Project 7-2
7.2	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND REJECTED DURING THE SCOPING/PROJECT PLANNING PROCESS 7-4
7.2.1	Alternative Location 7-4
7.3	ALTERNATIVES SELECTED FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS..... 7-4
7.3.1	Truck Restriction Ordinance: Etiwanda Avenue Only 7-5
7.3.2	No Project Alternative 7-5
7.4	NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE 7-5

Table of Contents

Contents	Page
7.4.1 Air Quality	7-5
7.4.2 Greenhouse Gas	7-6
7.4.3 Land Use and Planning	7-6
7.4.4 Noise.....	7-7
7.4.5 Transportation and Traffic	7-7
7.4.6 Ability to Reduce Environmental Impacts	7-8
7.4.7 Ability to Achieve Project Objectives.....	7-9
7.5 TRUCK RESTRICTION ORDINANCE: ETIWANDA AVENUE ONLY.....	7-9
7.5.1 Air Quality	7-9
7.5.2 Greenhouse Gas.....	7-10
7.5.3 Land Use and Planning	7-10
7.5.4 Noise.....	7-10
7.5.5 Transportation and Traffic	7-11
7.5.6 Ability to Reduce Environmental Impacts	7-11
7.5.7 Ability to Achieve Project Objectives.....	7-12
7.6 ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE.....	7-12
8. IMPACTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT.....	8-1
8.1 ASSESSMENT IN THE INITIAL STUDY	8-1
9. OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS.....	9-1
9.1 SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE CHANGES DUE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT	9-1
9.2 ENERGY IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	9-1
9.2.1 References.....	9-3
10. GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	10-1
11. ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED.....	11-1
12. QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONS PREPARING EIR.....	12-1
PLACEWORKS	12-1
13. BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	13-1

APPENDICES

(The appendices are on the attached compact disc.)

Appendix A	Notice of Preparation and Initial Study
Appendix B	Initial Study Public Review Comments Scoping and Agency Outreach Meeting Attendance Sheets
Appendix C	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases Modeling Data
Appendix D	Health Risk Assessment Background and Modeling Data
Appendix E	Noise Modeling Data
Appendix F	Traffic Analysis Study

Table of Contents

Figure		Page
Figure 3-1	Regional Location	3-5
Figure 3-2	Area Roadway Network.....	3-7
Figure 3-3	Potential Restricted Segment, Etiwanda Avenue.....	3-9
Figure 3-4	Potential Restricted Segment, Country Village Road.....	3-11
Figure 4-1	Site Photos	4-5
Figure 5.1-1	Roadways Impacted by Project.....	5.1-15
Figure 5.1-2	Change in Daily Truck Trips.....	5.1-27
Figure 5.1-3	Diesel Particulate Matter Contours for Residential Receptors, Without Project Scenario	5.1-31
Figure 5.1-4	Diesel Particulate Matter Contours for Residential Receptors, With Project Scenario	5.1-33
Figure 5.1-5	Diesel Particulate Matter Contours for Worker Receptors, Without Project Scenario	5.1-35
Figure 5.1-6	Diesel Particulate Matter Contours for Worker Receptors, With Project Scenario	5.1-37
Figure 5.1-7	Cancer Risk and Chronic Hazard Indices for Sensitive Receptors.....	5.1-41
Figure 5.4-1	Etiwanda Avenue, Existing Traffic Noise Levels.....	5.4-9
Figure 5.4-2	Country Village Road, Existing Traffic Noise Levels.....	5.4-11
Figure 5.4-3	Etiwanda Avenue, Traffic Noise Levels 2035 without Truck Restriction.....	5.4-23
Figure 5.4-4	Etiwanda Avenue, Traffic Noise Levels 2035 with Truck Restriction.....	5.4-25
Figure 5.4-5	Country Village Road, Traffic Noise Levels 2035 without Truck Restriction.....	5.4-27
Figure 5.4-6	Country Village Road, Traffic Noise Levels 2035 with Truck Restriction.....	5.4-29
Figure 5.5-1	Traffic Analysis Study Area.....	5.5-9
Figure 5.5-2	Future Year 2020 Daily Change in Truck Volumes.....	5.5-17
Figure 5.5-3	Future Year 2035 Daily Change in Truck Volumes.....	5.5-23

Table of Contents

Table	Page
Table 1-1	Summary of Project Alternative Impacts Compared to Proposed Project 1-6
Table 1-2	Summary of Environmental Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Levels of Significance After Mitigation 1-11
Table 2-1	NOP Written Comments Summary 2-2
Table 2-2	Public Scoping Meeting Comment 2-3
Table 2-3	Agency Scoping Meeting Comments 2-4
Table 5.1-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants 5.1-2
Table 5.1-2	Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the South Coast Air Basin 5.1-12
Table 5.1-3	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Summary 5.1-13
Table 5.1-4	Existing Daily Emissions Inventory 5.1-14
Table 5.1-5	SCAQMD Significance Thresholds 5.1-18
Table 5.1-6	SCAQMD Localized Significance Thresholds 5.1-20
Table 5.1-7	SCAQMD Toxic Air Contaminants Incremental Risk Thresholds 5.1-20
Table 5.1-8	Average Daily Roadway Segment Volumes and VMT 5.1-22
Table 5.1-9	Roadways Impacted by Project 5.1-23
Table 5.1-10	Average Daily Traffic, Health Risk Assessment 5.1-24
Table 5.1-11	Maximum Daily Regional Operational Phase Emissions 5.1-26
Table 5.1-12	Health Risk Assessment Results for Maximum Exposed Receptors 5.1-30
Table 5.2-1	GHG Emissions and Their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO ₂ 5.2-2
Table 5.2-2	Summary of GHG Emissions Risks to California 5.2-5
Table 5.2-3	2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Reductions Gap 5.2-9
Table 5.2-4	2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan Emissions Change by Sector 5.2-10
Table 5.2-5	2030 GHG Reduction Targets 5.2-15
Table 5.2-6	Annual Roadway Segment Volumes and VMT 5.2-17
Table 5.2-7	Operational Phase GHG Emissions 5.2-18
Table 5.3-1	SCAG 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Goals Consistency Analysis 5.3-5
Table 5.3-2	City of Jurupa Valley General Plan Consistency Analysis 5.3-6
Table 5.4-1	FHWA Design Noise Levels 5.4-4
Table 5.4-2	Community Noise and Land Use Compatibility 5.4-5
Table 5.4-3	Existing Roadway Noise Levels, Etiwanda Avenue and Country Village Road 5.4-7
Table 5.4-4	Existing and Projected Roadway Noise Levels With and Without Proposed Project 5.4-15
Table 5.4-5	Existing and Projected Roadway Noise Levels, Etiwanda Avenue and Country Village Road 5.4-20

Table of Contents

Table	Page
Table 5.4-6	Estimated Roadway Noise Levels, Sensitive Receivers (SoundPLAN) 5.4-21
Table 5.5-1	Intersection Level of Service Descriptions5.5-5
Table 5.5-2	Ramp Level of Service Definitions, HCM Methodology5.5-6
Table 5.5-3	Summary of Intersection Operations Existing Conditions.....5.5-6
Table 5.5-4	Existing Freeway Ramp Peak Hour Level of Service5.5-7
Table 5.5-5	Proposed Project Truck Rerouting to Alternative Routes 5.5-14
Table 5.5-6	2020 Conditions Intersection Level of Service Summary 5.5-15
Table 5.5-7	2035 Conditions Intersection Level of Service Summary 5.5-21
Table 5.5-8	2020 Conditions Freeway Ramps Level of Service Summary 5.5-27
Table 5.5-9	2035 Conditions Freeway Ramps Level of Service Summary 5.5-29
Table 5.5-10	Improvements Required to Mitigate Deficient Intersections and Freeway System 5.5-35
Table 7-1	Summary of Project Alternative Impacts Compared to Proposed Project7-13
Table 7-2	Ability of Each Alternative to Meet the Project Objectives7-14
Table 8-1	Impacts Found Not to Be Significant8-1
Table 9-1	Project Operation-Related Vehicle Fuel/Energy Usage.....9-2

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AAQS	ambient air quality standards
AB	Assembly Bill
ADT	average daily traffic
AQMP	air quality management plan
AR4	<i>Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007</i> (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)
CALGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCA EJ	Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP	congestion management program
CNEL	community noise equivalent level
CO	carbon monoxide
CO _{2e}	carbon dioxide equivalent
dB	decibel
dba	A-weighted decibel
DEIR	draft environmental impact report
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DWR	Department of Water Resources (CA)
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
GHG	greenhouse gases
GWP	global warming potential
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
L _{dn}	day-night noise level
L _{eq}	equivalent continuous noise level
LCFS	low-carbon fuel standard
LOS	level of service

Abbreviations and Acronyms

MATES	Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MER	maximum exposed receptor
MMT	million metric tons
MPO	metropolitan planning organization
MSHCP	multiple-species habitat conservation plan
MT	metric ton
NO _x	nitrogen oxides
NOP	notice of preparation
O ₃	ozone
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazards Assessment
PCE	passenger car equivalent
PM	particulate matter
RCIP	Riverside County Integrated Plan (county general plan)
RCTC	Riverside County Transportation Commission
RivTAM	Riverside Transportation Analysis Model
RPS	renewable portfolio standard
RTA	Riverside Transit Agency
RTP/SCS	regional transportation plan/sustainable communities strategy
SB	Senate Bill
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SoCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SO _x	sulfur oxides
TAC	toxic air contaminants
TIA	traffic impact analysis
tpd	tons per day
TUMF	transportation uniform mitigation fees
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VOC	volatile organic compound
WRCOG	Western Riverside Council of Governments