## **Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal**

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2017091027	
Project Title: Etiwanda Heights Neighborhood & Conserv	ation Plan 2017091027
Lead Agency: City of Rancho Cucamonga	
Contact Name: Candyce Burnett, City Planner	
Email: Candyce.Burnett@cityofrc.us	Phone Number: (909) 477-2750
Project Location: Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino
City  Project Decription (Proposed actions, location, and/or con	County sequences).
adoption of the EHNCP as a Specific Plan to create a frain the new Rural Conservation Area and development in chapters: The Making of the Plan, Setting and Context, Cand Design Guidelines, Infrastructure and Public Service:	operty in the Rural Zones in Sub-Areas 11 and 13 in the RCA

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

As summarized in Section 4.0: Environmental Analysis of this Draft EIR, most environmental impacts of the Plan that are less than significant or can be reduced to less than significant levels through adherence to regulatory requirements, incorporation of design features, and the implementation of mitigation measures. Impacts to cultural and tribal resources, geology and soils, hazards and hazardous materials, and noise were potentially significant but implementation of mitigation reduced impacts to a less than significant level.

Although mitigation measures have been included as part of the EHCNP, impacts related to air quality, biological resources, greenhouse gas emissions, mineral resources, population and housing, and transportation and traffic would still be significant and unavoidable due to no feasible mitigation to reduce impacts to a less than significant level for each environmental topic.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

The State CEQA Guidelines require that a Draft EIR identify areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by other agencies and the public. Some issues of concern were expressed at the public scoping meeting for the Draft EIR and through comments on the Notice of Preparation (NOP). The following issues of concern have been identified:

- Construction, and operational air quality impacts are discussed in Section 4.2: Air Quality and Section 4.7: Greenhouse Gas Emissions.
- Biological resource impacts associated with implementation of the Plan are discussed in Section 4.3, Biological Resources.
- Cultural and tribal cultural resource impacts of the Plan on various historical and archaeological resources are discussed in Section 4.4: Cultural Resources.
- Utility usage and energy associated with the potential relocation of existing utility infrastructure are discussed in Section 4.5: Energy Conservation and Section 4.16: Utilities and Service Systems.
- The density and scale of the Plan, as well as land use and zoning for the entire Plan Area are discussed in Section 4.10: Land Use and Planning.
- Hazards and hazardous materials associated with construction activities and impacts to students and staff are discussed in Section 4.8: Hazards and Hazardous Materials.
- Noise impacts from construction activities and buildout are discussed in Section 4.12: Noise.
- Traffic on the local roadways and nearby freeway system with the new facilities are discussed in Section 4.15: Transportation and Traffic.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

Local Agency Formation Commission for San Bernardino County (SB LAFCO) California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 8

California Regional Water Quality Control Board—Santa Ana (Region 8) (RWQCB)

Other Agencies San Bernardino County Flood Control District United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) County of San Bernardino, Planning Department				
	 	 ·····		