Draft Environmental Impact Report

Appendix

Noise

Pacheco Reservoir Expansion Project

November 2021

Attachments

Attachment A Noise Measurement Data

Attachment B Noise Propagation Calculations

Attachment A

Noise Measurement Data



Long-Term Noise Measurement Summary

KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Measurement Site: near dam construction site

Measurement Date: 2/3/2021

Project Name: Pacheco Reservoir

Computation of CNEL

Hour of Day (military	Sound Level Leg	Sound Power =10*Log(dBA		d of 24-Houncluded, 0=	-		ower Breakde eriod of Day	-	
time)	(dBA)	/10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	
0:00		8,710	0	0	1	0	0	8,710	
1:00	38.9	7,762	0	0	1	0	0	7,762	
2:00	38.7	7,413	0	0	1	0	0	7,413	
3:00	46.3	42,658	0	0	1	0	0	42,658	
4:00	43.2	20,893	0	0	1	0	0	20,893	
5:00	43.2	20,893	0	0	1	0	0	20,893	
6:00	44.7	29,512	0	0	1	0	0	29,512	
7:00	44.5	28,184	1	0	0	28,184	0	0	
8:00	42.0	15,849	1	0	0	15,849	0	0	
9:00	44.7	29,512	1	0	0	29,512	0	0	
10:00	41.4	13,804	1	0	0	13,804	0	0	
11:00	42.1	16,218	1	0	0	16,218	0	0	
12:00	45.2	33,113	1	0	0	33,113	0	0	
13:00	46.9	48,978	1	0	0	48,978	0	0	
14:00	46.7	46,774	1	0	0	46,774	0	0	
15:00	46.1	40,738	1	0	0	40,738	0	0	start
16:00	47.4	54,954	1	0	0	54,954	0	0	
17:00	45.2	33,113	1	0	0	33,113	0	0	
18:00	45.9	38,905	1	0	0	38,905	0	0	
19:00	45.9	38,905	0	1	0	0	38,905	0	
20:00	44.9	30,903	0	1	0	0	30,903	0	
21:00	43.7	23,442	0	1	0	0	23,442	0	
22:00	40.7	11,749	0	0	1	0	0	11,749	
23:00	41.9	15,488	0	0	1	0	0	15,488	
	Sur	n of Sound Pow	er during	Period wo	/penalty	400,141	93,250	165,078	
		Log Factor for C		• •		1	3	10	
		Sound Powe	r during	Period with	penalty	400,141	279,749	1,650,783	

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties
Hours per Day
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties
97,111
CNEL 49.9

Ldn computation on next page.

	Period of 24-Hour		Sound I	Power
	Day (1=	included,	Breakdo	wn by
	0=	not)	Period o	of Day
	Day	Night	Day	Night
	0	1	0	8,710
	0	1	0	7,762
	0	1	0	7,413
	0	1	0	42,658
	0	1	0	20,893
	0	1	0	20,893
	0	1	0	29,512
	1	0	28,184	0
	1	0	15,849	0
	1	0	29,512	0
	1	0	13,804	0
	1	0	16,218	0
	1	0	33,113	0
	1	0	48,978	0
	1	0	46,774	0
	1	0	40,738	0
	1	0	54,954	0
	1	0	33,113	0
	1	0	38,905	0
	1	0	38,905	0
	1	0	30,903	0
	1	0	23,442	0
	0	1	0	11,749
	0	1	0	15,488
Sum of Sound Power during	Period w	o/penalty	493,391	165,078
Log Factor for Pena	alty (i.e.,	10*log(x))	1	10
Sound Power during F	Period wi	th penalty	493,391	1,650,783
Total Dai	ily Sound	Power, wit	th penalties	2,144,174
	urs per Day	24		
Average Hour	rly Sound	Power, wit	th penalties	89,341
			Ldn	49.5

Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans 2009.

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans 2009.

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 *Technical Noise Supplement*. Sacramento, CA. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/. Accessed September 24, 2010.

Summary- ST 1

File Name on Meter LxT_Data.056.s

File Name on PC LxT_0003285-20210203 153220-LxT_Data.056.ldbi

Serial Number0003285ModelSoundTrack LxT®Firmware Version2.302

User Location

Job Description

Note

Measurement

Description

 Start
 2021-02-03
 15:32:20

 Stop
 2021-02-03
 15:47:23

 Duration
 00:15:02.3

 Run Time
 00:15:02.3

 Pause
 00:00:00.0

Pre-Calibration2021-02-0315:31:06Post-CalibrationNoneCalibration Deviation---

Overall Settings

RMS Weight A Weighting
Peak Weight A Weighting
Detector Slow
Preamplifier PRMLxT1L
Microphone Correction Off
Integration Method Linear
Overload 121.8 dB

 A
 C
 Z

 Under Range Peak
 78.1
 75.1
 80.1

 Under Range Limit
 26.1
 25.9
 31.0

 Noise Floor
 16.5
 16.7
 21.9

Results

LAeq 51.9 LAE 81.4

LApeak (max)2021-02-0315:33:3881.3 dBLASmax2021-02-0315:40:5462.1 dBLASmin2021-02-0315:32:4337.4 dB

SEA -99.94 dB

LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LAS > 115.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration)	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	s s s
LCeq LAeq LCeq - LAeq LAleq LAeq LAleq - LAeq	65.1 51.9 13.2 53.0 51.9 1.1	dB dB dB dB	
Direct Direct		4	
	dB	Time Stamp	dB
Leq	51.9		65.1
LS(max)	62.1	2021/02/03 15:40:54	
LS(min)	37.4	2021/02/03 15:32:43	
LPeak(max)	81.3	2021/02/03 15:33:38	
Overload Count	0		
Overload Duration	0.0	S	
Dose Settings			
Dose Settings			
Dose Name	OSHA-1	OSHA-2	
Dose Name Exchange Rate	5	3	dB
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold	5 90	3 80	dB dB
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level	5 90 90	3 80 90	dB dB dB
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold	5 90	3 80 90	dB dB
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration	5 90 90	3 80 90	dB dB dB
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level	5 90 90	3 80 90	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results	5 90 90 8	3 80 90 8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose	5 90 90 8 0.01	3 80 90 8 0.00	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected)	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t)	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t) Statistics	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4 36.8	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8 36.8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t)	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8 36.8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t) Statistics LAI5.00	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4 36.8	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8 36.8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t) Statistics LAI5.00 LAI10.00	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4 36.8	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8 36.8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t) Statistics LAI5.00 LAI10.00 LAI33.30	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4 36.8 55.3 53.9 52.0	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8 36.8	dB dB dB h
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration Results Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t) Statistics LAI5.00 LAI10.00 LAI33.30 LAI50.00	5 90 90 8 0.01 0.47 51.4 26.4 36.8 55.3 53.9 52.0 50.9	3 80 90 8 0.00 0.02 51.9 36.8 36.8 dB dB	dB dB dB h

Calibration History		
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa
PRMLxT1L	2021-02-03 15:31:03	-28.14

PRMLxT1L	2020-04-23 12:50:26	-27.93
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-27 05:51:17	-28.11
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-26 09:44:36	-28.09
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-26 09:05:52	-27.97
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-12 14:56:47	-28.14
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-12 14:35:25	-28.06
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-12 14:22:03	-28.08
PRMLxT1L	2020-01-31 10:37:34	-28.15
PRMLxT1L	2020-01-29 09:40:48	-28.13
PRMLxT1L	2020-01-15 11:51:04	-28.02

Summary- ST 2

File Name on Meter LxT_Data.057.s

File Name on PC LxT_0003285-20210204 122000-LxT_Data.057.ldbi

Serial Number0003285ModelSoundTrack LxT®Firmware Version2.302

User Location

Job Description

Note

Measurement

Description

 Start
 2021-02-04
 12:20:00

 Stop
 2021-02-04
 12:35:00

 Duration
 00:15:00.7

 Run Time
 00:15:00.7

 Pause
 00:00:00.0

Pre-Calibration2021-02-0412:16:45Post-CalibrationNoneCalibration Deviation---

Overall Settings

RMS Weight A Weighting
Peak Weight A Weighting
Detector Slow
Preamplifier PRMLxT1L
Microphone Correction Off
Integration Method Linear
Overload 121.8 dB

 A
 C
 Z

 Under Range Peak
 78.1
 75.1
 80.1

 Under Range Limit
 26.1
 25.8
 31.0

 Noise Floor
 16.4
 16.7
 21.8

Results

LAeq 69.6 **LAE** 99.2

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{EA} & 915.036 \; \mu \text{Pa}^2 \text{h} \\ \textbf{EA8} & 29.258 \; \text{mPa}^2 \text{h} \\ \textbf{EA40} & 146.292 \; \text{mPa}^2 \text{h} \\ \end{array}$

 LApeak (max)
 2021-02-04
 12:29:31
 91.9 dB

 LASmax
 2021-02-04
 12:22:46
 79.0 dB

 LASmin
 2021-02-04
 12:30:29
 51.4 dB

SEA -99.94 dB

LAS > 85.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LAS > 115.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LApeak > 135.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LApeak > 137.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration) LApeak > 140.0 dB (Exceedance Counts / Duration)	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	s s s
LCeq LAeq LCeq - LAeq LAleq LAleq LAeq LAleq	76.0 69.6 6.3 71.4 69.6 1.8	dB dB dB dB	
LAIeq - LAeq		A	
Leq	dB 69.6	Time Stamp	dB 76.0
LS(max) LS(min)	79.0 51.4	2021/02/04 12:22:46 2021/02/04 12:30:29	
LPeak(max)	91.9	2021/02/04 12:30:29	
Overload Count Overload Duration	0 0.0	s	
Dose Settings	05114.4	OSUA 2	
Dose Name Exchange Rate Threshold Criterion Level Criterion Duration	OSHA-1 5 90 90 8	80 90	dB dB dB dB
Results			
Dose Projected Dose TWA (Projected) TWA (t) Lep (t)	0.16 5.24 68.7 43.7 54.6	0.03 0.91 69.6 54.6	. % i dB i dB
Statistics LAI5.00 LAI10.00 LAI33.30	74.8 73.7 69.5	dB	
LAI50.00 LAI66.60 LAI90.00	67.4 65.4 60.4	dB	

Calibration History		
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa
PRMLxT1L	2021-02-04 12:16:45	-28.10

PRMLxT1L	2021-02-03 15:31:03	-28.14
PRMLxT1L	2020-04-23 12:50:26	-27.93
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-27 05:51:17	-28.11
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-26 09:44:36	-28.09
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-26 09:05:52	-27.97
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-12 14:56:47	-28.14
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-12 14:35:25	-28.06
PRMLxT1L	2020-02-12 14:22:03	-28.08
PRMLxT1L	2020-01-31 10:37:34	-28.15
PRMLxT1L	2020-01-29 09:40:48	-28.13

Attachment B

Noise Propagation Calculations



Construction Source Noise Prediction Model

		Combined Predicted		Reference Noise Levels	Usage
	Distance in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	(L _{max}) at 50 feet ¹	Factor ¹
Daytime threshold	619	60.0	Front End Loader	80	0.4
Nighttime threshold	1,554	50.0	Excavator	85	0.4
	_		Dozer	85	0.4
			Generator	82	0.5
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Excavator	85	0.4
			Ground Type	soft	
			Source Height	8	
			Receiver Height	5	
			Ground Factor ²	0.63	

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³	
Front End Loader	76.0	
Excavator	81.0	
Dozer	81.0	
Generator	79.0	
Backhoe	76.0	
Excavator	81.0	

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet)

87.3

Sources

 $L_{eo}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2018: pg 86); and

D = Distance from source to receiver.

 $^{^{1}}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Table 4-26 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2018 (pg 86).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2018 (pg 176 and 177).



Construction Source Noise Prediction Model

		Combined Predicted		Reference Noise Levels	Usage
	Distance in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	(L _{max}) at 50 feet ¹	Factor ¹
Daytime threshold	288	75.0	Blasting	94	1

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³	
Blasting	94.0	

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L_{eq} dBA at 50 feet)

94.0

Sources

 $L_{eo}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2018: pg 86); and

D = Distance from source to receiver.

 $^{^{1}}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Table 4-26 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2018 (pg 86).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2018 (pg 176 and 177).

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0	74.0	100	77.0	74.0
Boring Jack Power Unit Chain Saw	50 20	80 85	83	1 46	74.0 79.0	71.0 72.0	100 100	77.0 78.0	74.0 71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	85 93	84 87	46	79.0 87.0	72.0 80.0	100	78.0 81.0	71.0 74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	95 80	83	4 57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	74.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	77.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant	15	83	na	0	74.0	68.7	100	72.0	08.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	40	85	79	40	77.0	75.0	100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0	68.0
Concrete Saw	20	90	90	55	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Crane	16	85	81	405	79.0	71.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50	82	81	19	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS	50	70	73	74	64.0	61.0	100	67.0	64.0
Gradall	40	85	83	70	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100		
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jac	25	80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer		90	90	212	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Pavement Scarafier	20	85	90	2	79.0	72.0	100	84.0	77.0
Paver	50	85	77	9	79.0	76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55	75	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps	50	77	81	17	71.0	68.0	100	75.0	72.0
Refrigerator Unit	100	82	73	3	76.0	76.0	100	67.0	67.0
Rivit Buster/chipping gun	20	85	79	19	79.0	72.0	100	73.0	66.0
Rock Drill	20	85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0	68.0
Roller	20 20	85 85	80 96	16 9	79.0 79.0	72.0 72.0	100 100	74.0 90.0	67.0 83.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzl	40	85	96 84	9 12	79.0	75.0	100	78.0	74.0
Scraper Shears (on backhoe)	40	85	96	5	79.0	75.0 75.0	100	90.0	74.0 86.0
Slurry Plant	100	85 78	96 78	5 1	79.0 72.0	73.0	100	72.0	72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	78 82	80	75	72.0 76.0	73.0	100	74.0	72.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	73.0	100	74.0	71.0
Tractor	40	84	na	0	74.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-tru		85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch	40	73	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0
Helicopter		98							

Source:

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560



Pump Station Noise

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted	
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipme
Threshold	1,375	45.0	Pumps
SF Res	620	52.2	Pumps
Residence 2		#NUM!	Pumps
			Pumps
			D

	Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
Pumps	77	0.5
Pumns	77	0.5

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Pumps	74.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leq dBA at 50 feet)

81

Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

D = Distance from source to receiver.

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{Obtained}$ from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $^{^2\,\}text{Based}$ on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $^{^3}$ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). L_{eq} (equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	79.0	70.0	100	78.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100	72.0	00.0
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0	07.0	100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant	15	83	na	0	77.0	68.7	100		
Concrete Mixer Truck	40	85	79	40	79.0	75.0	100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0	68.0
Concrete Saw	20	90	90	55	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Crane	16	85	81	405	79.0	71.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	76.0	72.0
Orill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
ront End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50	82	81	19	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS s	50	70	73	74	64.0	61.0	100	67.0	64.0
Gradall	40	85	83	70	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100		
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Ja		80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
lydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
mpact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
ackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer	20	90	90	212	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Pavement Scarafier	20	85	90	2	79.0	72.0	100	84.0	77.0
Paver	50	85	77 75	9	79.0	76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55 or	75 85	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50 50	85 77	85 91	90 17	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0 72.0
Pumps Pofrigorator Unit		77 82	81 72	17 2	71.0 76.0	68.0 76.0	100	75.0 67.0	72.0 67.0
Refrigerator Unit Rivit Buster/chipping gun	100 20	82 85	73 79	3 19	76.0 79.0	76.0 72.0	100 100	73.0	66.0
				3				75.0 75.0	68.0
Rock Drill Roller	20 20	85 85	81 80	3 16	79.0 79.0	72.0 72.0	100 100	75.0 74.0	68.0 67.0
koner Sand Blasting (Single Nozzl		85 85	80 96	9	79.0 79.0	72.0 72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper	40	85	84	12	79.0	75.0	100	78.0	74.0
shears (on backhoe)	40	85	96	5	79.0	75.0 75.0	100	90.0	86.0
lurry Plant	100	78	78	1	73.0	73.0	100	72.0	72.0
lurry Trenching Machine	50	82	80	75	76.0	73.0	100	74.0	71.0
oil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100	, 4.0	, 1.0
ractor	40	84	na	0	74.0	74.0	100		
/acuum Excavator (Vac-tr		85	85	149	78.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
/acuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0
/entilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
/ibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
/ibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch	40	73	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0
chipper		75							

Source:

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560

Distance Propagation Calculations for Stationary Sources of Ground Vibration



KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Determine units in which to perform calculation.

- If vibration decibels (VdB), then use Table A and proceed to Steps 2A and 3A.
- If peak particle velocity (PPV), then use Table B and proceed to Steps 2B and 3B.

STEP 2A: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference vibration level (VdB) and distance.

STEP 3A: Select the distance to the receiver.

Table A. Propagation of vibration decibels (VdB) with distance

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level					
	vibration level	vibration level				
	(VdB)	@	(ft)			
Impact Pile Driver	112	@	25			
Blasting	109	@	25			
Vibratory Roller	94	@	25			
Large Bulldozer	87	@	25			
Caisson Drilling	87	@	25			
Loaded Truck	86	@	25			
Jackhammer	79	@	25			
Small Bulldozer	58	@	25			

Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor							
vibration level		distance					
(VdB)	@	(ft)					
79.8	@	295					
79.8	@	235					
79.7	@	75					
79.3	@	45					
79.3	@	45					
79.9	@	40					
79.0	@	25					
79.0	@	5					

The Lv metric (VdB) is used to assess the likelihood for vibration to result in human annoyance.

STEP 2B: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference peak particle velocity (PPV) and distance.

STEP 3B: Select the distance to the receiver.

Table B. Propagation of peak particle velocity (PPV) with distance

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level					
	vibration level	distance				
	(PPV)	@	(ft)			
Impact Pile Driver	1.518	@	25			
Blasting	1.130	@	25			
Vibratory Roller	0.210	@	25			
Large Bulldozer	0.089	@	25			
Caisson Drilling	0.089	@	25			
Loaded Truck	0.076	@	25			
Jackhammer	0.035	@	25			
Small Bulldozer	0.003	@	25			

Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor								
vibration level		distance						
(PPV)	@	(ft)						
0.49	@	53						
0.50	@	43						
0.50	@	14						
0.49	@	8						
0.49	@	8						
0.51	@	7						
0.39	@	5						
0.13	@	2						

The PPV metric (in/sec) is used for assessing the likelihood for the potential of structural damage.

Notes:

Computation of propagated vibration levels is based on the equations presented on pg. 185 of FTA 2018. Estimates of attenuated vibration levels do not account for reductions from intervening underground barriers or other underground structures of any type, or changes in soil type.

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual. FTA Report No. 0123. Washington, D.C. Accessed: December 20, 2020. Page Available:

https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123 0.pdf



Attenuation Calculations for Stationary Noise Sources

KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

Noise Source/ID	Reference	e Noi	ise Level	P	Attenuation Characteristics Attenuated Noise Level at Re					el at Recep	tor	
	noise level		distance	Ground Type	Source	Receiver	Ground		noise leve	I	distance	
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	Height (ft)	Height (ft)	Factor		(dBA)	@	(ft)	
Kaman K-1200 helicopter	83.0	@	492	hard	6	5	0.00		99.8	@	71	
Kaman K-1200 helicopter	83.0	@	492	hard	6	5	0.00		79.9	@	700	

Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available:

Accessed: March 5, 2020.



Traffic Noise Spreadsheet Calculator Project Genereated Truck Trip Noise

Project:	Pacheco Reservo	oir Expansion Project																
								Input	:							Output		
	Noise Level Des	scriptor: Leq																
	Site Con	ditions: Soft																
	Traffi	c Input: Peak																
	Traffic K	-Factor:				Distan	ce to											
			Pe	Peak		Directi	onal											
		Segment Description and Location	H	Hour	Speed	Centerline	, (feet) ₄		Traffic Di	stribution	Characte	ristics		Leq,		Distance to 0	Contour, (fee	t) ₃
Number	Name	Road Segmetn	Vol	olume	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5.6.7}	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA	50 dBA
				0.4	(,0,100	70 IIICUIUII	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, o D u ,	,	, o	(45,1/5,6,7	05 05/1	00 0071	35 45/1	30 05/1
				oranic .	(70 71410	70 1110 414111	,,,,,,,	70 2 u y	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	70 Talgare	(4.57.1/5,6,7	03 4371	00 001	33 437	30 45,1
					(p/			7571410	70 1110 2112	,,,,,,	70 Duy	,,	70 Hight	(427.175,6,7	00 001	00 001	33 427	30 457
PP	Access Roads	Kaiser Aetna Road/SR 152		146	30	92	108	84.0%	2.0%	14.0%	60.0%	25.0%	15.0%	55.5	23	50	108	232
PP Alt A	Access Roads Access Roads		1				108 108			,				.,,				
		Kaiser Aetna Road/SR 152	1 3	146	30	92		84.0%	2.0%	14.0%	60.0%	25.0%	15.0%	55.5	23	50	108	232
Alt A	Access Roads	Kaiser Aetna Road/SR 152 Kaiser Aetna Road/SR 152	1 3 1	146 307	30 30	92 92	108	84.0% 90.0%	2.0% 2.0%	14.0% 8.0%	60.0% 60.0%	25.0% 25.0%	15.0% 15.0%	55.5 57.0	23 29	50 63	108 136	232 294

Access Road analysis assumes all trucks at one intersection, thus volumes and project-generated noise at other intersections would be lower

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Traffic Noise Spreadsheet Calculator SR 152 Existing Traffic Noise Levels

Project:	Pacheco Reservo	ir Expansion Project																
								Input								Output		
	Noise Level Des	criptor: Leq																
	Site Con	ditions: Soft																
		c Input: ADT																
	Traffic K	-Factor: 10				Distance	to											
						Direction												
		Segment Description and Lo	cation		Speed	Centerline, (Traffic Dis					Leq,		Distance to C	Contour, (fee	≥t)₃
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5,6,7}	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
1	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	41,800	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	208	448	965	2078
1	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	41,800	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	208	448	965	2078
1	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	41,800	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	208	448	965	2078
1	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	41,800	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	208	448	965	2078

Peak hour assumes 2 hours of the day so total trips/2

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Traffic Noise Spreadsheet Calculator SR 152 With Project Truck Noise

Project:	Pacheco Reserv	oir Expansion Project																
								Inpu	t							Output		
	Noise Level De	escriptor: Leq																
	Site Co	onditions: Soft																
	Traf	ffic Input: ADT																
	Traffic	K-Factor: 10				Distar	ice to											
						Direct	tional											
		Segment Description and Locati	on		Speed	Centerlin	e, (feet) ₄		Traffic D	istribution	Characte	ristics		Leq,	1	Distance to	Contour, (fe	et) ₃
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5,6,7}	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
PP	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	41,946	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	208	449	967	2083
Alt A	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	42,021	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	209	449	968	2086
Alt B	State Route 152	Casa De Fruta	Santa Clara/Merced County Line	41,994	65	70	130	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.1	208	449	968	2085
Alt B Alt C		Casa De Fruta Casa De Fruta		41,994 41,947	65 65	70 70	130 130	97.0% 97.0%	2.0% 2.0%	1.0% 1.0%	80.0% 80.0%	15.0% 15.0%	5.0% 5.0%	75.1 75.1	208 208	449 449	968 967	2085 2083

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.

Citation # Citations

1	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Table (5-11), Pg 5-60.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Table (4-2), Pg 4-17.
2	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-26), Pg 5-60.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (4-5), Pg 4-17.
3	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-16), Pg 2-32.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
4	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-11), Pg 5-47, 48.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
5	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-26), Pg 2-55, 56.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-23), Pg 2-51, 52.
6	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-27), Pg 2-57.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-24), Pg 2-53.
7	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Pg 2-53.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Pg 2-57.
8	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-7), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
۵	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement, 2009 (November), Equation (5-8), Pg 5-45	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5

- Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-8), Pg 5-45.
 Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-9), Pg 5-45.
 Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-13), Pg 5-49.
 Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-14), Pg 5-49.
 Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-14), Pg 5-49.
- 13 Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (16), Pg 67
- 14 Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (20), Pg 69
- 15 Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (18), Pg 69

References

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2009 (November). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/tens_complete.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2013 (September). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/TeNS_Sept_2013A.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

Federal Highway Administration. 2004. Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5. Available: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/traffic_noise_model/tnm_v25/. Accessed August 17, 2017.