



State of California – Natural Resources Agency  
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE  
South Coast Region  
3883 Ruffin Road  
San Diego, CA 92123  
(858) 467-4201  
[www.wildlife.ca.gov](http://www.wildlife.ca.gov)

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor  
CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director



February 10, 2020

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

**FEB 11 2020**

**STATE CLEARINGHOUSE**

Ms. Holly R. Owen  
Supervising Planner  
County of Santa Barbara  
624 W. Foster Rd. Suite C  
Santa Maria, CA 93455  
[Howen@countyofsb.org](mailto:Howen@countyofsb.org)

**Subject: Comments on the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the North Fork Ranch Frost Ponds Project, SCH# 2017061009, Santa Barbara County**

Dear Ms. Owen:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has reviewed the above-referenced Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the North Fork Ranch Frost Ponds Project (Project) Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR).

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

**CDFW's Role**

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State [Fish & Game Code, §§ 711.7, subdivision (a) & 1802; Public Resources Code, § 21070; California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, § 15386, subdivision (a)]. CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Id., § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect state fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA (Public Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code, including lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & Game Code, § 1600 et seq.). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" (see Fish & Game Code, § 2050) of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA; Fish & Game Code, § 2050 et seq.) or the Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA; Fish & Game Code, §1900 et seq.), CDFW recommends the project proponent obtain appropriate authorization under the Fish and Game Code.

**Project Location:** The Project is located at 7400 Highway 166, approximately nine miles west of the community of New Cuyama. The project site is on the south side of State Highway 166, between Cottonwood Canyon Road and Schoolhouse Canyon Road. The site is identified as APN 147-020-045, Cuyama Area, Santa Barbara County.

**Project Description/Objectives:** The proposed Project includes the creation of three, 49-acre feet, frost ponds on a 6,565-acre parcel. The proposed pond sites are currently vacant, and adjacent to existing vineyards. A total of approximately 257,945 cubic yards of cut and fill grading would be required to construct the three proposed reservoirs. The reservoirs would have a maximum depth of 27-28 feet, and in total would occupy an area of approximately 15.6 acres.

The Reservoir No. 1 project site is located on the eastern end of the project property adjacent to Schoolhouse Canyon Road.

The Reservoir No. 2 project site is located on the central portion of the project property. The site generally slopes to the east and is approximately 100 feet west of a small ephemeral drainage.

The Reservoir No. 3 project site is located on the western end of the project property approximately one mile east of Cottonwood Canyon Road. Small ephemeral drainages are located approximately 100 feet to west and approximately 250 feet to the east of the reservoir site.

## COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the following comments and recommendations to assist the City of Glendale in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources.

### Specific Comments

- 1) Surveys; Drought and Over Two Years Old. The NOP references biological surveys that were conducted mainly in 2015, with some follow-up in 2016. CDFW does not consider surveys more than two years old valid, especially when these surveys were conducted during an historical drought cycle. CDFW recommends conducting new botanical and animal surveys for the DEIR analysis.
- 2) Species Potentially Present on Project - Plants. Due to previous surveys being more than 3-years old as well as conducted during a prolonged drought, CDFW recommends focused surveys for botanical resources, with special focus on the detection of the following species:

*Chorizanthe blakleyi* (Blakley's spineflower) – This species was observed along School House Canyon road, from the intersection of Highway 166, south onto the Project site, as well as throughout the Project site. CDFW recommends focused surveys for this species be conducted. This plant is a 1B.3, meaning it qualifies under CEQA as rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380.

*Layia heterotricha* (pale yellow layia) – This species was observed on the Project site previously and is listed a CNPS rank 1B.1 plant, meaning it qualifies under CEQA as rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380.

*Eremalche parryi* ssp. *kernensis* (Kern mallow) – This species is known from the general Project area. This species is listed as a CNPS 1B.2, meaning it qualifies under CEQA as rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380.

*Monolopia congdonii* (San Joaquin woollythreads) – This federally endangered, CNPS list 1B.2 plant is known from the general Project area.

*Chorizanthe rectispina* (straight-awned spineflower) – This species is known from the general Project area. This species is listed as a CNPS 1B.3, meaning it qualifies under CEQA as rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380.

*Caulanthus californicus* (California jewelflower) - This species is known from the general Project area. This species is listed as a CNPS 1B.1, meaning it qualifies under CEQA as rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380.

*Eriastrum hooveri* (Hoover's eriastrum) – Historically collected from the general vicinity of the Project. This species is listed as a CNPS 1B.1, meaning it may qualify under CEQA as rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380.

CDFW recommends focused botanical surveys be conducted on the Project site to maximize the potential for documenting special status plant species. We recommend that any focused botanical surveys be conducted following CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (2018). The timing of surveys should adhere to blooming periods on nearby reference sites that are known to support populations of target special status plants. Based on the survey results, the final CEQA document should propose avoidance and mitigation for Project impacts to special-status botanical resources.

CDFW considers plants CNPS ranked 1 and 2 meet the definition of rare or endangered under CEQA Guidelines section 15380, subdivisions (b) and (d), including:

- Plants considered by CDFW to be “rare, threatened or endangered in California.” This includes plants tracked by the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) and the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) as California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) 1 or 2;
- Plants that may warrant consideration on the basis of declining trends, recent taxonomic information, or other factors. This may include plants tracked by the CNDDB and CNPS as CRPR 3 or 4.

CDFW recommends avoiding any CNPS ranked 1-4 plants found on or adjacent to the Project. If avoidance is not feasible, CDFW recommends mitigating at a ratio of no less than 5:1 for impacts to S3 ranked plants, 7:1 for S2 ranked plants and 10:1 for S1 ranked plants. This ratio is for the acreage and the individual plants that comprise each unique community, including density, species richness, cover, abundance, and ensuring the alliance is maintained.

If the Project will impact a sensitive species or vegetation community, specific mitigation to offset the loss of habitat (acreage and type) should be included in the DEIR. Any mitigation

proposed should be covered under a conservation easement, include a long-term management plan, and ensure funding to manage the mitigation land in perpetuity.

CDFW recommends that floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments be conducted at the Project site and neighboring vicinity. The DEIR document should identify, map, and discuss the specific vegetation communities within the Project Area following CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (Survey Protocols) see: (<https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities>). Please note, this protocol has been recently updated and the 2018 version referenced here should be used. In order to determine the rarity ranking of vegetation communities potentially affected by the Project, the MCV alliance/association community names should be provided as CDFW tracks rare natural communities using this classification system.

- 3) Species Potentially Present on Project - Animals. Due to previous surveys being more than 3-years old as well as conducted during a prolonged drought, CDFW recommends focused surveys for botanical resources, with special focus on the detection of the following species:

*Vulpes macrotis mutica* (San Joaquin kit fox) – Both CESA- and ESA-listed, this species is documented as occurring in the Project vicinity. CDFW recommends permitted individuals conduct updated surveys (less than one-year old) for assessment in the current EIR. Survey protocol can be found at

<https://ecos.fws.gov/ServCat/DownloadFile/115020?Reference=74123>. CDFW recommends adhering to the “U.S. Fish And Wildlife Service Standardized Recommendations For Protection Of The San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior To Or During Ground Disturbance” guidance located at:

[https://www.fws.gov/ventura/docs/species/protocols/sjki/sanjoaquin kit fox\\_protection.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/ventura/docs/species/protocols/sjki/sanjoaquin kit fox_protection.pdf)

*Dipodomys ingens* (giant kangaroo rat) - Both CESA- and ESA-listed, this species is documented as occurring in the Project vicinity. CDFW recommends updated surveys (less than one-year old) for assessment in the current EIR. Survey protocol have been developed for other *Dipodomys* species, CDFW recommends permitted individuals follow relevant portions of this protocol to maximize detection <https://www.fws.gov/sacramento/es/Survey-Protocols-Guidelines/Documents/SFWO%20Final%20San%20Joaquin%20K-Rat%20Trapping%20Protocol-2013.pdf>.

*Bombus crotchii* (Crotch bumble bee) – Currently protected under CESA as a candidate species, this species is documented as occurring in the Project vicinity. CDFW recommends updated surveys (less than one-year old) for assessment in the current EIR. Survey protocol have been developed for other *Bombus* species, CDFW recommends permitted individuals follow relevant portions of this protocol to maximize detection

[https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/insects/rpbb/pdf/Survey\\_Protocols\\_RPBB\\_12April\\_2019.pdf](https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/insects/rpbb/pdf/Survey_Protocols_RPBB_12April_2019.pdf).

*Gambelia sila* (blunt-nosed leopard lizard) - Both CESA (fully protected)- and ESA-listed, this species is documented as occurring in the Project vicinity. Additionally, since DFG is not able to issue any form of “take” permit for the blunt-nosed leopard lizard due to its status as a fully-protected animal under the California Fish and Game Code §5050, detection of species presence on a project site is crucial. CDFW recommends updated surveys (less than one-year old) for assessment in the DEIR. Survey protocol have been developed for

other this species, CDFW recommends permitted individuals follow this protocol to maximize detection <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=174900>.

- 4) Rodenticide Use. Impacts to biological resources can occur from wildlife directly consuming poison, or via secondary poisoning where a bird or animal consumes an organism, such as a mouse/rat/rabbit, that has consumed poison. CDFW confirmed anticoagulant rodenticide in 14 of 14 mountain lions necropsied in 2013 (McMillin, 2013). Lima, et al., tested 96 birds of 11 raptor species in California and found 86 out of 96 raptors tested positive for second generation anticoagulant rodenticides. CDFW recommends the DEIR contain language disallowing the use of rodenticides that could result in direct or secondary poisoning to native mammals, birds and raptors.
- 5) Landscaping. CDFW recommends using native, locally appropriate plant species for landscaping on the Project site. CDFW recommends invasive/exotic plants be restricted from use in landscape plans for this Project, including pepper trees (*Schinus* genus) and fountain grasses (*Pennisetum* genus). A list of invasive/exotic plants that should be avoided as well as suggestions for better landscape plants can be found at <http://www.cal-ipc.org/landscaping/dpp/planttypes.php?region=socal>.
- 6) Jurisdictional Waters. The NOP lists the source of water for these ponds as well water. Several of the general ecological communities indicate riparian or stream associated vegetation may be affected by increased drawdown of well water (cone of depression or regional lowering of water table). If the Project will impact any feature regulated under Fish and Game Code Section 1600 (including any pond construction or dewatering), a Streambed Notification should be submitted.

As a Responsible Agency under CEQA, CDFW has authority over activities in streams and/or lakes that will divert or obstruct the natural flow, or change the bed, channel, or bank (including vegetation associated with the stream or lake) of a river or stream, or use material from a streambed. For any such activities, the project applicant (or "entity") must provide written notification to CDFW pursuant to Fish and Game Code Section 1600 *et seq.*

- a) CDFW's issuance of a LSA for a project that is subject to CEQA will require CEQA compliance actions by CDFW as a Responsible Agency. As a Responsible Agency, CDFW may consider the Environmental Impact Report of the local jurisdiction (Lead Agency) for the project. To minimize additional requirements by CDFW pursuant to section 1600 *et seq.* and/or under CEQA, the document should fully identify the potential impacts to the stream or riparian resources and provide adequate avoidance, mitigation, monitoring and reporting commitments for issuance of the LSA<sup>1</sup>.
- b) The project area may support aquatic, riparian, and wetland habitats; therefore, a preliminary delineation of the streams and their associated riparian habitats should be included in the DEIR. The delineation should be conducted pursuant to the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) wetland definition adopted by CDFW<sup>2</sup>. Be advised that some wetland and riparian habitats subject to CDFW's authority may extend beyond the jurisdictional limits of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Section 404 permit and

---

<sup>1</sup> A notification package for a LSA may be obtained by accessing the Department's web site at [www.wildlife.ca.gov/habcon/1600](http://www.wildlife.ca.gov/habcon/1600).

<sup>2</sup> Cowardin, Lewis M., et al. 1970. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, FWS.

Regional Water Quality Control Board Section 401 Certification.

- c) In project areas which may support ephemeral or episodic streams, herbaceous vegetation, woody vegetation, and woodlands also serve to protect the integrity of these resources and help maintain natural sedimentation processes; therefore, CDFW recommends effective setbacks be established to maintain appropriately-sized vegetated buffer areas adjoining ephemeral drainages.
- d) Project-related changes in upstream and downstream drainage patterns, runoff, and sedimentation should be included and evaluated in the DEIR.
- e) As part of the LSA Notification process, CDFW requests the 100, 50, 25, 10, 5, and 2-year frequency storm event for existing and proposed conditions. CDFW recommends the DEIR evaluate the results and address avoidance, minimization, and/or mitigation measures that may be necessary to reduce potential significant impacts.

**General Comments**

- 1) Project Description and Alternatives. To enable CDFW to adequately review and comment on the proposed Project from the standpoint of the protection of plants, fish, and wildlife, we recommend the following information be included in the DEIR:
  - a) A complete discussion of the purpose and need for, and description of, the proposed Project, including all staging areas and access routes to the construction and staging areas; and,
  - b) A range of feasible alternatives to Project component location and design features to ensure that alternatives to the proposed Project are fully considered and evaluated. The alternatives should avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts to sensitive biological resources and wildlife movement areas.
- 2) Lake and Streambed Alteration (LSA) Agreements. As a Responsible Agency under CEQA, CDFW has authority over activities in streams and/or lakes that will divert or obstruct the natural flow; or change the bed, channel, or bank (including vegetation associated with the stream or lake) of a river or stream; or use material from a streambed. For any such activities, the project applicant (or "entity") must provide written notification to CDFW pursuant to section 1600 *et seq.* of the Fish and Game Code. Based on this notification and other information, CDFW determines whether a LSA Agreement with the applicant is required prior to conducting the proposed activities. CDFW's issuance of an LSA Agreement for a project that is subject to CEQA will require related environmental compliance actions by CDFW as a Responsible Agency. As a Responsible Agency, CDFW may consider the CEQA document prepared by the local jurisdiction (Lead Agency) for the Project. To minimize additional requirements by CDFW pursuant to section 1600 *et seq.* and/or under CEQA, the DEIR should fully identify the potential impacts to the stream or riparian resources and provide adequate avoidance, mitigation, monitoring and reporting commitments for issuance of the LSA Agreement<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> A notification package for a LSA may be obtained by accessing the CDFW's web site at [www.wildlife.ca.gov/habcon/1600](http://www.wildlife.ca.gov/habcon/1600).

- a) The Project area supports aquatic, riparian, and wetland habitats; therefore, a preliminary jurisdictional delineation of the streams and their associated riparian habitats should be included in the DEIR. The delineation should be conducted pursuant to the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) wetland definition adopted by the CDFW (Cowardian, 1970). Some wetland and riparian habitats subject to CDFW's authority may extend beyond the jurisdictional limits of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' section 404 permit and Regional Water Quality Control Board section 401 Certification.
  - b) In areas of the Project site which may support ephemeral streams, herbaceous vegetation, woody vegetation, and woodlands also serve to protect the integrity of ephemeral channels and help maintain natural sedimentation processes; therefore, CDFW recommends effective setbacks be established to maintain appropriately-sized vegetated buffer areas adjoining ephemeral drainages.
  - c) Project-related changes in drainage patterns, runoff, and sedimentation should be included and evaluated in the DEIR.
- 3) Wetlands Resources. CDFW, as described in Fish and Game Code section 703(a), is guided by the Fish and Game Commission's policies. The Wetlands Resources policy (<http://www.fgc.ca.gov/policy/>) of the Fish and Game Commission "...seek[s] to provide for the protection, preservation, restoration, enhancement and expansion of wetland habitat in California. Further, it is the policy of the Fish and Game Commission to strongly discourage development in or conversion of wetlands. It opposes, consistent with its legal authority, any development or conversion that would result in a reduction of wetland acreage or wetland habitat values. To that end, the Commission opposes wetland development proposals unless, at a minimum, project mitigation assures there will be 'no net loss' of either wetland habitat values or acreage. The Commission strongly prefers mitigation which would achieve expansion of wetland acreage and enhancement of wetland habitat values."
- a) The Wetlands Resources policy provides a framework for maintaining wetland resources and establishes mitigation guidance. CDFW encourages avoidance of wetland resources as a primary mitigation measure and discourages the development or type conversion of wetlands to uplands. CDFW encourages activities that would avoid the reduction of wetland acreage, function, or habitat values. Once avoidance and minimization measures have been exhausted, the Project must include mitigation measures to assure a "no net loss" of either wetland habitat values, or acreage, for unavoidable impacts to wetland resources. Conversions include, but are not limited to, conversion to subsurface drains, placement of fill or building of structures within the wetland, and channelization or removal of materials from the streambed. All wetlands and watercourses, whether ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial, should be retained and provided with substantial setbacks, which preserve the riparian and aquatic values and functions for the benefit to on-site and off-site wildlife populations. CDFW recommends mitigation measures to compensate for unavoidable impacts be included in the DEIR and these measures should compensate for the loss of function and value.
  - b) The Fish and Game Commission's Water policy guides CDFW on the quantity and quality of the waters of this state that should be apportioned and maintained respectively so as to produce and sustain maximum numbers of fish and wildlife; to provide maximum protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife and their habitat; encourage and support programs to maintain or restore a high quality of the waters of this state;

prevent the degradation thereof caused by pollution and contamination; and, endeavor to keep as much water as possible open and accessible to the public for the use and enjoyment of fish and wildlife. CDFW recommends avoidance of water practices and structures that use excessive amounts of water, and minimization of impacts that negatively affect water quality, to the extent feasible (Fish & Game Code, § 5650).

- 4) CESA. CDFW considers adverse impacts to a species protected by CESA to be significant without mitigation under CEQA. As to CESA, take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species, or State-listed rare plant species that results from the Project is prohibited, except as authorized by state law (Fish and Game Code, §§ 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §786.9). Consequently, if the Project, Project construction, or any Project-related activity during the life of the Project will result in take of a species designated as endangered or threatened, or a candidate for listing under CESA, CDFW recommends that the Project proponent seek appropriate take authorization under CESA prior to implementing the Project. Appropriate authorization from CDFW may include an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) or a consistency determination in certain circumstances, among other options [Fish & Game Code, §§ 2080.1, 2081, subds. (b) and (c)]. Early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to a Project and mitigation measures may be required in order to obtain a CESA Permit. Revisions to the Fish and Game Code, effective January 1998, may require that CDFW issue a separate CEQA document for the issuance of an ITP unless the Project CEQA document addresses all Project impacts to CESA-listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of an ITP. For these reasons, biological mitigation monitoring and reporting proposals should be of sufficient detail and resolution to satisfy the requirements for a CESA ITP.
- 5) Biological Baseline Assessment. To provide a complete assessment of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the project area, with particular emphasis upon identifying endangered, threatened, sensitive, regionally and locally unique species, and sensitive habitats, the DEIR should include the following information:
  - a) Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region [CEQA Guidelines, § 15125(c)];
  - b) A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities, following CDFW's *Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities* (see <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline>);
  - c) Floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments conducted at the Project site and within the neighboring vicinity. *The Manual of California Vegetation*, second edition, should also be used to inform this mapping and assessment (Sawyer, 2008). Adjoining habitat areas should be included in this assessment where site activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite. Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions;
  - d) A complete, recent, assessment of the biological resources associated with each habitat type on site and within adjacent areas that could also be affected by the project. CDFW's California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB) in Sacramento should be contacted to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat.



CDFW recommends that CNDDDB Field Survey Forms be completed and submitted to CNDDDB to document survey results. Online forms can be obtained and submitted at [http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/submitting\\_data\\_to\\_cnddb.asp](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/submitting_data_to_cnddb.asp);

- e) A complete, recent, assessment of rare, threatened, and endangered, and other sensitive species on site and within the area of potential effect, including California SSC and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & Game Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050 and 5515). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition of endangered, rare or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Seasonal variations in use of the project area should also be addressed. Focused species-specific surveys, conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, are required. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures should be developed in consultation with CDFW and the USFWS; and,
  - f) A recent, wildlife and rare plant survey. CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of two years, in non-drought conditions. Some aspects of the proposed project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if build out could occur over a protracted time frame, or in phases.
- 6) Biological Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts. To provide a thorough discussion of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources, with specific measures to offset such impacts, the following should be addressed in the DEIR:
- a) A discussion of potential adverse impacts from lighting, noise, human activity, exotic species, and drainage. The latter subject should address Project-related changes on drainage patterns and downstream of the project site; the volume, velocity, and frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and, post-Project fate of runoff from the project site. The discussion should also address the proximity of the extraction activities to the water table, whether dewatering would be necessary and the potential resulting impacts on the habitat (if any) supported by the groundwater. Mitigation measures proposed to alleviate such Project impacts should be included;
  - b) A discussion regarding indirect Project impacts on biological resources, including resources in nearby public lands, open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, and any designated and/or proposed or existing reserve lands (e.g., preserve lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP, Fish & Game Code, § 2800 et. seq.). Impacts on, and maintenance of, wildlife corridor/movement areas, including access to undisturbed habitats in adjacent areas, should be fully evaluated in the DEIR;
  - c) An analysis of impacts from land use designations and zoning located nearby or adjacent to natural areas that may inadvertently contribute to wildlife-human interactions. A discussion of possible conflicts and mitigation measures to reduce these conflicts should be included in the DEIR; and,

- d) A cumulative effects analysis, as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant communities and wildlife habitats.
- 7) Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation for Sensitive Plants. The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect sensitive plant communities from Project-related direct and indirect impacts. CDFW considers these communities to be imperiled habitats having both local and regional significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a statewide ranking of S-1, S-2, S-3 and S-4 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by querying the CNDDDB and are included in *The Manual of California Vegetation*.
- 8) Compensatory Mitigation. The DEIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related impacts to sensitive plants, animals, and habitats. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project impacts. For unavoidable impacts, on-site habitat restoration or enhancement should be discussed in detail. If on-site mitigation is not feasible or would not be biologically viable and therefore not adequately mitigate the loss of biological functions and values, off-site mitigation through habitat creation and/or acquisition and preservation in perpetuity should be addressed. Areas proposed as mitigation lands should be protected in perpetuity with a conservation easement, financial assurance and dedicated to a qualified entity for long-term management and monitoring. Under Government Code section 65967, the lead agency must exercise due diligence in reviewing the qualifications of a governmental entity, special district, or nonprofit organization to effectively manage and steward land, water, or natural resources on mitigation lands it approves.
- 9) Long-term Management of Mitigation Lands. For proposed preservation and/or restoration, the DEIR should include measures to protect the targeted habitat values from direct and indirect negative impacts in perpetuity. The objective should be to offset the Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of wildlife habitat values. Issues that should be addressed include (but are not limited to) restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, and increased human intrusion. An appropriate non-wasting endowment should be set aside to provide for long-term management of mitigation lands.
- 10) Nesting Birds. CDFW recommends that measures be taken to avoid Project impacts to nesting birds. Migratory nongame native bird species are protected by international treaty under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) of 1918 (Title 50, § 10.13, Code of Federal Regulations). Sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code prohibit take of all birds and their active nests including raptors and other migratory nongame birds (as listed under the Federal MBTA). Proposed Project activities including (but not limited to) staging and disturbances to native and nonnative vegetation, structures, and substrates should occur outside of the avian breeding season which generally runs from February 1 through September 1 (as early as January 1 for some raptors) to avoid take of birds or their eggs. If avoidance of the avian breeding season is not feasible, CDFW recommends surveys by a qualified biologist with experience in conducting breeding bird surveys to detect protected native birds occurring in suitable nesting habitat that is to be disturbed and (as access to adjacent areas allows) any other such habitat within 300-feet of the disturbance area (within 500-feet for raptors). Project personnel, including all contractors

working on site, should be instructed on the sensitivity of the area. Reductions in the nest buffer distance may be appropriate depending on the avian species involved, ambient levels of human activity, screening vegetation, or possibly other factors.

- 11) Translocation/Salvage of Plants and Animal Species. Translocation and transplantation is the process of moving an individual from the Project site and permanently moving it to a new location. CDFW generally does not support the use of, translocation or transplantation as the primary mitigation strategy for unavoidable impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered plant or animal species. Studies have shown that these efforts are experimental and the outcome unreliable. CDFW has found that permanent preservation and management of habitat capable of supporting these species is often a more effective long-term strategy for conserving sensitive plants and animals and their habitats.
- 12) Moving out of Harm's Way. The proposed Project is anticipated to result in clearing of natural habitats that support many species of indigenous wildlife. To avoid direct mortality, we recommend that a qualified biological monitor approved by CDFW be on-site prior to and during ground and habitat disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species or other wildlife of low mobility that would be injured or killed by grubbing or Project-related construction activities. It should be noted that the temporary relocation of on-site wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting project impacts associated with habitat loss. If the project requires species to be removed, disturbed, or otherwise handled, we recommend that the DEIR clearly identify that the designated entity shall obtain all appropriate state and federal permits.
- 13) Revegetation/Restoration Plan. Plans for restoration and re-vegetation should be prepared by persons with expertise in southern California ecosystems and native plant restoration techniques. Plans should identify the assumptions used to develop the proposed restoration strategy. Each plan should include, at a minimum: (a) the location of restoration sites and assessment of appropriate reference sites; (b) the plant species to be used, sources of local propagules, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) a schematic depicting the mitigation area; (d) a local seed and cuttings and planting schedule; (e) a description of the irrigation methodology; (f) measures to control exotic vegetation on site; (g) specific success criteria; (h) a detailed monitoring program; (i) contingency measures should the success criteria not be met; and (j) identification of the party responsible for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site in perpetuity. Monitoring of restoration areas should extend across a sufficient time frame to ensure that the new habitat is established, self-sustaining, and capable of surviving drought.
  - a) CDFW recommends that local on-site propagules from the Project area and nearby vicinity be collected and used for restoration purposes. On-site seed collection should be initiated in the near future to accumulate sufficient propagule material for subsequent use in future years. On-site vegetation mapping at the alliance and/or association level should be used to develop appropriate restoration goals and local plant palettes. Reference areas should be identified to help guide restoration efforts. Specific restoration plans should be developed for various Project components as appropriate.
  - b) Restoration objectives should include providing special habitat elements where feasible to benefit key wildlife species. These physical and biological features can include (for example) retention of woody material, logs, snags, rocks and brush piles (see Mayer and Laudenslayer, 1988).

## CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP to assist the County of Santa Barbara in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact Kelly Schmoker-Stanphill, Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist), at (626) 335-9092 or by email at [Kelly.schmoker@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:Kelly.schmoker@wildlife.ca.gov).

Sincerely,



Erinn Wilson  
Environmental Program Manager I

cc: CDFW  
Erinn Wilson – Los Alamitos  
Steve Gibson – Los Alamitos  
Sara Rains – Fillmore  
Dolores Duarte – San Diego  
CDFW CEQA Email  
Scott Morgan (State Clearinghouse)

## References

Cowardin, Lewis M., et al. 1970. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.

Kirkpatrick, J.B. and C.F. Hutchinson. 1977. The community response of California Coastal Sage Scrub. *Vegetation* 25:21-33.

Lima, L. and T. Salmon. 2010. Lima, L. and T. Salmon. 2010. Assessing some potential environmental impacts from agricultural anticoagulant uses. Proceedings of Vertebrate Pest Conference.

Mayer, K. E. and W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr. 1988. Editors: A guide to wildlife habitats of California. State of California, The Resources Agency, Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento, CA.

McMillin, Stella, California Department of Fish and Game. Wildlife Investigations Laboratory.

Safford, J.M., and R. Quinn, 1998. Conservation Plan for the Etiwanda-Day Canyon Drainage System Supporting the Rare Natural Community of Alluvial Fan Sage Scrub. Report submitted to the California Department of Fish and Game. Contract # FG5641R5.

Smith, R. L. 1980. Alluvial scrub vegetation of the San Gabriel River floodplain, California. *Madrono* 27: 126-138.

Ms. Owens  
County of Santa Barbara  
February 10, 2020  
Page 13 of 13

Smith, R. L. 1980. Alluvial scrub vegetation of the San Gabriel River floodplain, California. *Madrono* 27: 126-138.

Sawyer, J. O., Keeler-Wolf, T., and Evens J.M. 2008. *A manual of California Vegetation*, 2nd ed. ISBN 978-0-943460-49-9.