

State of California – Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE South Coast Region 3883 Ruffin Road

CHARLTON H. BONHAM. Director

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor

San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 467-4201 www.wildlife.ca.gov

December 16, 2020

Steven Jones Los Angeles County 320 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

sdiones@planning.lacountv.gov

Governor's Office of Planning & Research

Dec 16 2020

STATE CLEARING HOUSE

Subject: Comments on the Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact

Report for the The View Project (Project No. R2015-01232/ Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 073082/ Conditional Use Permit N. 201500052/ Environmental Assessment No. RENV 201500089), SCH #2017041016, Los Angeles County

Dear Mr. Jones:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) from the County of Los Angeles (County: Lead Agency) for The View Project (Project).

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW's Role

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State [Fish & Game Code, §§ 711.7, subdivision (a) & 1802; Public Resources Code, § 21070; California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, § 15386, subdivision (a)]. CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Id., § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect State fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA (Public Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code, including lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & Game Code, § 1600 et seq.). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" (see Fish & Game Code, § 2050) of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA; Fish & Game Code, § 2050 et seg.) or the Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA; Fish & Game Code, §1900 et seq.), CDFW recommends the Project proponent obtain appropriate authorization under the Fish and Game Code.

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 2 of 10

Project Location: The Project site is located at 5101 South Overhill Drive, Windsor Hills; Assessor's Parcel Number 5009-007-022. The property is surrounded by commercial uses to the north, single family residence homes to the south, an elementary school to the east, and commercial and oil fields to the west. The 1.84-acre triangular-shaped property is approximately 425 feet south of the City of Los Angeles jurisdictional boundary, east of La Brea, west of Overhill Drive and 425 feet south of Stocker Street.

Project Description/Objectives: The Project consists of a vesting tentative tract map to create one multi-family residence lot and a conditional use permit for development of 88 attached residential condominium dwelling units. In addition, the Project will also include a yard modification for reduced front yard setbacks and a request to exceed the maximum height within zone C-1 (Restricted Business) on 1.84 acres. The Project site is currently vacant and unimproved. Living space and subterranean parking for 206 spaces are proposed to be contained within a five-story, 65-foot height structure. The Project proposes movement of earth material including 28,150 cubic yards of cut; 300 cubic yards of fill; and 27,850 cubic yards of export to an approved landfill to be determined. Finally, 12,876 square feet of landscaping is proposed.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the following comments and recommendations to assist the County in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources.

Specific Comments

- 1) <u>Bat Species</u>. A review of California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) indicates occurrences of several bat species within three miles of the Project vicinity. These species include western mastiff bat (*Eumops perotis californicus*), hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*), and pallid bat (*Antrozous pallidus*). The western and pallid bat species are designated California Species of Special Concern. Despite the high diversity and sensitivity of bats in Southern California, numerous bat species are known to roost in trees and structures throughout Los Angeles County. As the Project site is surrounded by urban development structures and ornamental trees that may provide roosting habitat for bats, Project activities may have the potential to adversely impact foraging bat populations within the vicinity.
 - a) Bats are considered non-game mammals and are afforded protection by State law from take and/or harassment (Fish and Game Code, § 4150, California Code of Regulations, § 251.1). The DEIR should provide a thorough discussion of potential impacts to bats from construction and operation of the Project to adequately disclose potential impacts and to identify appropriate avoidance and mitigation measures. The DEIR should describe feasible measures which could minimize significant adverse impacts (CEQA Guidelines, §15126.4[a][1]).
- 2) Mammal Species. A review of CNDDB indicates occurrences of south coast marsh vole (Microtis californicus stephensi), a designated California Species of Concern, within a half mile of the Project vicinity. Project ground disturbing activities such as grading and grubbing may result in habitat destruction, causing the death or injury of adults or juveniles. In addition, the Project may remove habitat by eliminating vegetation that may support essential foraging and breeding habitat.

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 3 of 10

- a) CEQA provides protection not only for State and federally listed species, but for any species including, but not limited to, California Species of Special Concern which can be shown to meet the criteria for State listing. These Species of Special Concern meet the CEQA definition of rare, threatened, or endangered species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15065). Take of Species of Special Concern could require a mandatory finding of significance by the Lead Agency (CEQA Guidelines, § 15065).
- b) CDFW recommends a qualified biologist familiar with the mammal species behavior and life history conduct specialized surveys prior to vegetation removal and/or grading to determine the presence/absence of Species of Special Concern. Surveys should be conducted during active season when the mammal species is most likely to be detected.
- c) CDFW recommends that a qualified biological monitor be on site during ground and habitat disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species that would be injured or killed by these Project-related activities. It should be noted that the temporary relocation of on-site wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with habitat loss.
- d) Effective mitigation should be considered to compensate for the temporal or permanent loss of occupied habitat within the Project site. Replacement or restored habitat should be considered for impacts to occupied habitat. This mitigation would require structurally (e.g., ground cover, subshrubs, shrubs, and trees) and species diverse vegetation as part of habitat restoration. Depending on the status of the mammal species impacted, replacement habitat acreage should increase with the occurrence of a California Species of Special Concern. Replacement habitat acres should further increase with the occurrence of a CESA-listed threatened or endangered species.
- e) CDFW has the authority to issue permits for the take or possession of wildlife, including mammals; birds, nests, and eggs; reptiles, amphibians, fish, plants; and invertebrates (Fish & G. Code, §§ 1002, 1002.5, 1003). Effective October 1, 2018, a Scientific Collecting Permit is required to monitor project impacts on wildlife resources, as required by environmental documents, permits, or other legal authorizations; and, to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with otherwise lawful activities (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 650). Please visit CDFW's Scientific Collection Permits webpage for information (CDFW 2020a). Pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 650, the County/qualified biologist must obtain appropriate handling permits to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with Project construction and activities.
- 3) Nesting Birds. The Initial Study states, "due to the presence of trees on-site, there is a potential for nesting habitat for bird species that are afforded protection under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). Vegetation on site may provide suitable nesting sites for birds. A report should provide recommendations for the avoidance of nesting birds during construction activities at the site." CDFW recommends that measures be taken to avoid Project impacts to nesting birds.

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 4 of 10

- a) Migratory nongame native bird species are protected by international treaty under the Federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) of 1918 (Code of Federal Regulations, Title 50, § 10.13). Sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 of the California Fish and Game Code prohibit take of all birds and their active nests including raptors and other migratory nongame birds (as listed under the Federal MBTA).
- b) Proposed Project activities including (but not limited to) staging and disturbances to native and nonnative vegetation and substrates should occur outside of the avian breeding season which generally runs from February 15 through August 31 (as early as January 1 for some raptors) to avoid take of birds or their eggs.
- c) If impacts to nesting birds and raptors cannot be avoided, CDFW recommends the DEIR include measures to mitigate for impacts. CDFW recommends surveys by a qualified biologist with experience conducting breeding bird and raptor surveys. Surveys are needed to detect protected native birds and raptors occurring in suitable nesting habitat that may be disturbed and any other such habitat within 300 feet of the Project disturbance area, to the extent allowable and accessible. For raptors, this radius should be expanded to 500 feet and 0.5 mile for special status species. Project personnel, including all contractors working on site, should be instructed on the sensitivity of the area. Reductions in the nest buffer distance may be appropriate depending on the avian species involved, ambient levels of human activity, screening vegetation, or possibly other factors.
- d) CDFW recommends the DEIR provide an analysis of the expected increase in human presence and any subsequent change in traffic, noise level and frequency, and artificial lighting relative to a no build alternative. Using these expected elevated levels of human-driven disturbances, further consideration should be given to potential impacts to birds and raptors nesting within and adjacent to the Project site.
- e) It should be noted that the temporary exclusion of Project activities within nesting buffers during nesting season may not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with the loss of breeding and nesting habitat. Effective mitigation for impacts to nesting habitat for birds and raptors requires structurally (e.g., ground cover, subshrubs, shrubs, and trees) and species diverse vegetation as part of habitat restoration.
 - Additional mitigation, separate from impacts to vegetation communities, should be considered to compensate for the temporal or permanent loss of occupied nesting habitat within the Project site. Depending on the status of the bird or raptor species impacted, replacement habitat acres should increase with the occurrence of a California Species of Special Concern. Replacement habitat acres should further increase with the occurrence of a CESA-listed threatened or endangered species.
- 4) <u>Landscaping</u>. The Initial Study states, "12,876 square feet of landscaping is proposed." CDFW recommends the DEIR provide the Project's landscaping plant palette and replacement tree species list. CDFW also recommends using native, locally appropriate plant species for landscaping on the Project site. CDFW recommends invasive/exotic plants, including pepper trees (*Schinus* genus) and fountain grasses (*Pennisetum* genus), be restricted from use in landscape plans for this Project. A list of invasive/exotic plants that

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 5 of 10

should be avoided as well as suggestions for better landscape plants can be found at California Invasive Plant Species Council website (Cal-IPC, 2020).

5) Tree Replacement. The Initial Study indicates the presence of trees on site; however, it does not indicate the species or whether they will be removed during vegetation removal and grading activities. To compensate for any loss of trees, CDFW recommends replacing all non-native trees removed as a result of the proposed work activities at least a 1:1 ratio with native trees. CDFW recommends replacing native trees at least a 3:1 ratio with a combination of native trees and/or appropriate understory and lower canopy plantings. CDFW recommends that any loss of oaks should be replanted at a minimum 10:1 ratio. Replacement oaks should come from nursery stock grown from locally sourced acorns, or from acorns gathered locally, preferably from the same watershed in which they were planted.

General Comments

- 1) <u>Disclosure</u>. A DEIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the effect which a proposed project is likely to have on the environment (Pub. Resources Code, § 20161; CEQA Guidelines, §15151). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may provide comments on the adequacy of proposed avoidance, minimization, or mitigation measures, as well as to assess the significance of the specific impact relative to the species (e.g., current range, distribution, population trends, and connectivity).
- 2) Mitigation Measures. Public agencies have a duty under CEQA to prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in projects through the use of feasible alternatives or mitigation measures [CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15002(a)(3), 15021]. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, an environmental impact report shall describe feasible measures which could mitigate for impacts below a significant level under CEQA.
 - a) Level of Detail. Mitigation measures must be feasible, effective, implemented, and fully enforceable/imposed by the lead agency through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally binding instruments (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6(b); CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15126.4, 15041). A public agency shall provide the measures that are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other measures (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6). CDFW recommends that the County prepare mitigation measures that are specific, detailed (i.e., responsible party, timing, specific actions, location), and clear in order for a measure to be fully enforceable and implemented successfully via a mitigation monitoring and/or reporting program (CEQA Guidelines, § 15097; Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may provide comments on the adequacy and feasibility of proposed mitigation measures.
 - b) <u>Disclosure of Impacts</u>. If a proposed mitigation measure would cause one or more significant effects, in addition to impacts caused by the Project as proposed, the environmental document should include a discussion of the effects of proposed mitigation measures [CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4(a)(1)]. In that regard, the environmental document should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about a project's proposed mitigation measure(s). Adequate

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 6 of 10

disclosure is necessary so CDFW may assess the potential impacts of proposed mitigation measures.

- 3) Biological Baseline Assessment and Impact Analysis. CDFW recommends providing a complete assessment and impact analysis of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project area, with emphasis upon identifying endangered, threatened, sensitive, regionally and locally unique species, and sensitive habitats. Impact analysis will aid in determining any direct, indirect, and cumulative biological impacts, as well as specific mitigation or avoidance measures necessary to offset those impacts, as referred in General Comment 6. CDFW recommends avoiding any sensitive natural communities found on or adjacent to the Project. CDFW also considers impacts to Species of Special Concern a significant direct and cumulative adverse effect without implementing appropriate avoid and/or mitigation measures. The DEIR should include the following information:
 - a) Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region [CEQA Guidelines, § 15125(c)]. The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect Sensitive Natural Communities from Project-related impacts. Project implementation may result in impacts to rare or endangered plants or plant communities that have been recorded adjacent to the Project vicinity. CDFW considers these communities as threatened habitats having both regional and local significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a <u>State-wide ranking</u> of S1, S2, S3 and S4 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level (CDFWb 2020).
 - b) A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities, following CDFW's <u>Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to</u> <u>Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities</u> (CDFW 2018);
 - c) Floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments conducted at the Project site and within the neighboring vicinity. <u>The Manual of California Vegetation</u>, second edition, should also be used to inform this mapping and assessment (Sawyer, 2008). Adjoining habitat areas should be included in this assessment where site activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite. Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions;
 - d) A complete, recent, assessment of the biological resources associated with each habitat type on site and within adjacent areas that could also be affected by the Project. CDFW's California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB) should be contacted to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat. CDFW recommends that <u>CNDDB Field Survey Forms</u> be completed and submitted to CNDDB to document survey results (CDFWc 2020).
 - e) A complete, recent, assessment of rare, threatened, and endangered, and other sensitive species on site and within the area of potential effect, including California Species of Special Concern and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & Game Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050 and 5515). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition of endangered, rare or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Seasonal variations in use of the Project area should

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 7 of 10

also be addressed. Focused species-specific surveys, conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, are required. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures should be developed in consultation with CDFW and the USFWS; and,

- f) A recent, wildlife and rare plant survey. CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of the proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if build out could occur over a protracted time frame, or in phases.
- 4) <u>Project Description and Alternatives</u>. To enable CDFW to adequately review and comment on the proposed Project from the standpoint of the protection of plants, fish, and wildlife, we recommend the following information be included in the DEIR:
 - A complete discussion of the purpose and need for, and description of, the proposed Project, including all staging areas and access routes to the construction and staging areas; and,
 - b) A range of feasible alternatives to Project component location and design features to ensure that alternatives to the proposed Project are fully considered and evaluated. The alternatives should avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts to sensitive biological resources and wildlife movement areas.
- 5) CESA. CDFW considers adverse impacts to a species protected by CESA to be significant without mitigation under CEQA. As to CESA, take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species, or State-listed rare plant species that results from the Project is prohibited, except as authorized by state law (Fish and Game Code, §§ 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 786.9). Consequently, if the Project, Project construction, or any Project-related activity during the life of the Project will result in take of a species designated as endangered or threatened, or a candidate for listing under CESA, CDFW recommends that the Project proponent seek appropriate take authorization under CESA prior to implementing the Project. Appropriate authorization from CDFW may include an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) or a consistency determination in certain circumstances, among other options [Fish & Game Code, §§ 2080.1, 2081, subds. (b) and (c)]. Early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to a Project and mitigation measures may be required in order to obtain a CESA Permit. Revisions to the Fish and Game Code, effective January 1998, may require that CDFW issue a separate CEQA document for the issuance of an ITP unless the Project CEQA document addresses all Project impacts to CESA-listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of an ITP. For these reasons, biological mitigation monitoring and reporting proposals should be of sufficient detail and resolution to satisfy the requirements for a CESA ITP.
- 6) <u>Biological Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts</u>. To provide a thorough discussion of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources, with specific measures to offset such impacts, the following should be addressed in the DEIR:
 - a) A discussion of potential adverse impacts from lighting, noise, human activity, exotic species, and drainage. The latter subject should address Project-related changes on

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 8 of 10

drainage patterns and downstream of the Project site; the volume, velocity, and frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and, post-Project fate of runoff from the Project site. The discussion should also address the proximity of the extraction activities to the water table, whether dewatering would be necessary and the potential resulting impacts on the habitat (if any) supported by the groundwater. Mitigation measures proposed to alleviate such Project impacts should be included;

- b) A discussion regarding indirect Project impacts on biological resources, including resources in nearby public lands, open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, and any designated and/or proposed or existing reserve lands (e.g., preserve lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP, Fish & Game Code, § 2800 et. seq.). Impacts on, and maintenance of, wildlife corridor/movement areas, including access to undisturbed habitats in adjacent areas, should be fully evaluated in the DEIR;
- c) An analysis of impacts from land use designations and zoning located nearby or adjacent to natural areas that may inadvertently contribute to wildlife-human interactions. A discussion of possible conflicts and mitigation measures to reduce these conflicts should be included in the DEIR; and,
- d) A cumulative effects analysis, as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant communities and wildlife habitats.
- 7) Compensatory Mitigation. The DEIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related impacts to sensitive plants, animals, and habitats. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project impacts. For unavoidable impacts, on-site habitat restoration or enhancement should be discussed in detail. If on-site mitigation is not feasible or would not be biologically viable and therefore not adequately mitigate the loss of biological functions and values, off-site mitigation through habitat creation and/or acquisition and preservation in perpetuity should be addressed. Areas proposed as mitigation lands should be protected in perpetuity with a conservation easement, financial assurance and dedicated to a qualified entity for long-term management and monitoring. Under Government Code section 65967, the lead agency must exercise due diligence in reviewing the qualifications of a governmental entity, special district, or nonprofit organization to effectively manage and steward land, water, or natural resources on mitigation lands it approves.
- 8) Long-term Management of Mitigation Lands. For proposed preservation and/or restoration, the DEIR should include measures to protect the targeted habitat values from direct and indirect negative impacts in perpetuity. The objective should be to offset the Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of wildlife habitat values. Issues that should be addressed include (but are not limited to) restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, and increased human intrusion. An appropriate non-wasting endowment should be set aside to provide for long-term management of mitigation lands.

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 9 of 10

9) Moving out of Harm's Way. The proposed Project may result in impacting natural habitats on and/or adjacent to the Project site that may support species of wildlife. To avoid direct mortality, we recommend that a qualified biological monitor approved by CDFW be on-site prior to and during ground and habitat disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species or other wildlife of low mobility that would be injured or killed by grubbing or Project-related construction activities. It should be noted that the temporary relocation of on-site wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with habitat loss. If the Project requires species to be removed, disturbed, or otherwise handled, we recommend that the DEIR clearly identify that the designated entity shall obtain all appropriate State and federal permits.

CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP to assist the County in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact Felicia Silva, Environmental Scientist, at (562) 430-0098 or by email at Felicia.Silva@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

— DocuSigned by: Erinn Wilson-Olgin

B6E58CFE24724F5...

Erinn Wilson-Olgin Environmental Program Manager I South Coast Region

ec: CDFW

Erinn Wilson-Olgin, Los Alamitos – <u>Erinn.Wilson-Olgin@wildlife.ca.gov</u>
Victoria Tang, Los Alamitos – <u>Victoria.Tang@wildlife.ca.gov</u>
Felicia Silva, Los Alamitos – <u>Felicia.Silva@wildlife.ca.gov</u>
Andrew Valand, Los Alamitos – <u>Andrew.Valand@wildlife.ca.gov</u>
Ruby Kwan-Davis, Los Alamitos – <u>Ruby.Kwan-Davis@widlife.ca.gov</u>
Susan Howell, San Diego – <u>Susan.Howell@wildlife.ca.gov</u>
CEQA Program Coordinator, Sacramento – <u>CEQAcommentletters@wildlife.ca.gov</u>

State Clearinghouse, Sacramento – <u>State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov</u>

References

[Cal-IPC] California Invasive Plant Council. 2020. Responsible Landscaping. Accessed at: https://www.cal-ipc.org/solutions/prevention/landscaping/

[CDFWa] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. Scientific Collecting Permit. Available from: https://wildlife.ca.gov/Licensing/Scientific-Collecting#53949678

[CDFWb] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. Natural Communities. Accessed at: https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities#sensitive%20natural%20communities

DocuSign Envelope ID: A8166947-96BA-429A-86CB-89E1E80412A3

Steven Jones Los Angeles County December 16, 2020 Page 10 of 10

[CDFWc] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2020. Submitting Data to the CNDDB. Accessed at: https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Submitting-Data

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2018. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities. Accessed at: https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline

Sawyer, J. O., Keeler-Wolf, T., and Evens J.M. 2008. A manual of California Vegetation, 2nd ed. ISBN 978-0-943460-49-9. Accessed at: https://vegetation.cnps.org/