

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2016112007

Project Title: Draft Eco-Energy Liquid Bulk Receiving Terminal Supplemental Environmental Impact Report Project

Lead Agency: San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Contact Name: Michael Corder

Email: Michael.Corder@valleyair.org Phone Number: (559) 230-6000

Project Location: Stockton San Joaquin County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

NuStar Terminals Operations Partnership, L.P.'s proposed modification is for the construction and operation for the portion of the pipeline system on NuStar's property, coming from the Eco-Energy Liquid Bulk Receiving Terminal. The pipeline will transfer ethanol from the Eco-Energy facility to the NuStar facility. The pipeline construction on NuStar property for the Nustar Modification would be approximately 2,600 feet in length for the new 12" pipeline and its associated pipelines.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Measure AQ-1 that is included in the Eco-Energy EIR remains valid for this NuStar Modification and the measure will be identified in the attached Mitigation Monitoring Plan as a condition for NuStar to comply with and is as follows:

- NuStar shall develop a plan demonstrating that the off-road equipment (owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles greater than 50 hp) to be used in the construction of the proposed project would achieve a project-wide fleet-average of 20% NOx reduction compared to the most recent CARB fleet average [California Environmental Quality Act, SEIR 20190182].

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Not applicable

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Air Resources Board

California Department of Conservation
Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

California Department of Transportation - District 10

California Department of Water Resources

California Energy Commission

California State Board of Forestry & Fire Protection

Native American Heritage Commission

Regional Water Quality Control Board
Central Valley Region 5S

City of Stockton
Department of Community Development

Port of Stockton