To:			From:		
\mathbf{X}	Office of Planning and Research	ch	Lead Agency: City of San Luis Obispo		
Į	J.S. Mail:	Street Address:	Address: 919 Palm Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401		
F	P.O. Box 3044	1400 Tenth St., Rm 113	0 4 4 0 11 7 4 4 4 7 7		
5	Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Sacramento, CA 95814	Contact: Callie Taylor, Associate Planner		
			Phone: (805)781-7016		
	County Clerk County of: San Luis Obispo		email: CLTaylor@slocity.org Lead Agency (Name of Document Signee);		
	Address: 1055 Monterey St., San	Luis Obispo, CA 93408	City of San Luis Obispo		
·			Address: 919 Palm Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401		
	**		Contact: Tyler Corey, Deputy Director Com. Dev.		
			Phone: 805-781-7169		
			Email: tcorey@slocity.org		
		Determination in complia	ance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public		
	ources Code. e Clearinghouse Number (if	submitted to State Clearing	nghouse): Addendum #2 to 2015081034		
	ject Title: Avila Ranch Deve		Ignouse). Addendam #2 to 2013001034		
	ect Applicant: Avila Ranch, \				
Appl	icant Email: dang@wcpdev.	com Applica	ant Phone: (805)595-5440		
		1000	n Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo County		
	ect Description:	was approved by the Can L	uis Obispo City Council on September 19, 2017. Project		
			EIR Addendum #2 includes a minor modification to the		
projec	ct description for a density bon	us to add additional 20 affor	dable housing units to the project. The updated project		
			d commercial, open space, and parks on a 150-acre area		
	is to be developed within six (6 is to advise that the	City of San Luis Obispo	has approved an		
71110		Lead Agency or □ Re			
	R Addendum to the above de rminations regarding the abo	escribed project on2/	14/2024 and has made the following (date)		
1. TI	ne project [Xwill will not]	have a significant effect of	on the environment.		
		_	is project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.		
			pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.		
		The same of the sa	dition of the approval of the project.		
	•				
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [X] was was not] adopted for this project.					
 5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [☒ was ☐ was not] adopted for this project. 6. Findings [☒ were ☐ were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. 					
б . FI	ndings [were were no	otj made pursuant to the p	rovisions of CEQA.		
	is to certify that the final EIR		onses and record of project approval, or the		
FE	IR Addendum #2 available at 9	19 Palm Street, San Luis Ob	ispo, CA		
Sign	ature (Public Agency):	Ti	tle: Tyler Corey, Deputy Director Community Development		
Date	e: <u>2/16/2024</u>	Date Recei	ved for filing at OPR:		



Second Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Avila Ranch Development Plan

1. Project Title:

Avila Ranch Development Plan

2. Lead Agency Name and Address:

City of San Luis Obispo 990 Palm Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

3. Contact Person and Phone Number:

Callie Taylor, Associate Planner 805-781-7016

4. Project Location:

175 Venture Drive San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

5. Project Applicant and Representative Name and address:

Avila Ranch WCP Developers, LLC Dan Garson, Director of Land Development 735 Tank Farm Rd Suite 100, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

6. General Plan Designation:

Special Focus Area SP-4. Per Avila Ranch Development Plan: Low-Density Residential, Medium-Density Residential, Medium-High Density Residential, High-Density Residential, Neighborhood Commercial, Open Space, Park

7. Zoning:

Per Avila Ranch Development Plan: R-1 Low-Density Residential, R-2 Medium-Density Residential, R-3 Medium-High Density Residential, R-4 High-Density Residential, C-N

Neighborhood Commercial, Conservation/Open Space C/OS, PF Public Facility, Airport Area Specific Plan

8. Description of the Project:

The Avila Ranch Development Plan was approved by the San Luis Obispo City Council on September 19, 2017. Project entitlements included certification of the Final EIR, approval of the Avila Ranch Development Plan, Airport Area Specific Plan Amendment, General Plan Amendment, Vesting Tentative Tract Map, Zone Change, Development Agreement, and establishment of a Community Facilities District. The project includes 720 residential units, 15,000 square feet of neighborhood commercial, open space, and parks on a 150-acre area which is to be developed within six (6) phases of development with a phased final map.

9. Project Entitlements Requested:

Previously approved by the City Council on September 19, 2017. Project implementation, permits, and construction are in process.

10. Previous Environmental Review:

The Avila Ranch Development Plan (ARDP) and associated Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) were approved and certified by the City Council on September 19, 2017, pursuant to Resolution No. 10832 (2017 Series). The significance of each impact resulting from implementation of the Project was determined based on impact significance criteria and applicable CEQA Guidelines for each impact topic. The EIR found that the Project would result in significant and unavoidable construction-related and long-term impacts to air quality, construction-related noise, and long-term transportation and traffic impacts. mitigation measures were adopted to reduce the potential impacts where feasible, and the City Council adopted CEQA findings and a Statement of Overriding Conditions to address the identified significant and unavoidable impacts described in the Final EIR.

An Addendum to the Final EIR ("First Addendum") was prepared in January 2024 to address a change in timing of the implementation of Mitigation Measure TRANS-11, which established an obligation for the project to install two separated bicycle bridges on each side of Buckley Road at Tank Farm Creek to improve access to safe bicycle routing along Buckley Road. The City Council approved that Addendum on January 23, 2024.

Buildout of the project is currently underway. The Phase 1 Final Map was recorded in December 2018, and the Phase 1 residential units are currently under construction. On- and off-site improvements have been constructed as part of Phase 1, including transportation improvements to mitigate for the increase in traffic generated by the Tract 3096 development.

11. Purpose of the Second Addendum:

Section 15164 of the State *CEQA Guidelines* allows a lead agency to prepare an addendum to a Final EIR when only "minor technical changes or additions" are necessary to address the effects of a minor change to the approved project since the Final EIR was certified. In addition, the lead agency is required to explain its decision not to prepare a subsequent EIR pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162, which requires subsequent EIRs when proposed changes would require major revisions to the previous EIR "due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects."

Subsequent to certification of the ARDP Final EIR, additional information has been identified which would apply a density bonus to the R-4 portion of the Avila Ranch project, and would consequently allow for an additional 20 dwelling units to be constructed in that area. This would raise the total potential buildout of the entire Avila Ranch project area from 720 to 740 dwelling units. These additional units were not considered in the original Final EIR. The purpose of this Addendum is to document this change to the original project, and to discuss the potential effects associated with this change, if any. The Second Addendum concludes that this change would not result in any new or more severe significant environmental effects not previously analyzed in the Final EIR, nor any new or modified mitigation measures. The evaluation below discusses the issue areas that are relevant to this Addendum and covered by the previously approved Final EIR.

12. Addendum Requirements:

Pursuant to Section 21166 of CEQA and Section 15162 of the State CEQA Guidelines, when a lead agency has adopted an EIR for a project, a subsequent EIR does not need to be prepared for the project unless the lead agency determines that one or more of the following conditions are met:

- Substantial project changes are proposed that will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
- Substantial changes would occur with respect to the circumstances under which the
 project is undertaken that require major revisions to the previous EIR due to the
 involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the
 severity of previously identified significant effects; or
- 3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was adopted shows any of the following:
 - a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR; or

- b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than identified in the previous EIR; or
- c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponent declines to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or
- d. Mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponent declines to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.

Preparation of an Addendum to an EIR is appropriate when none of the conditions specified in Section 15162 (above) are present and some minor technical changes to the previously certified EIR are necessary to address minor changes to an approved project. Because the new information would not result in any new or more severe significant impacts, an Addendum is the appropriate CEQA document.

NEW INFORMATION AND UPDATED PROJECT ELEMENTS

NEW INFORMATION NOT CONSIDERED IN THE FINAL EIR

As originally approved in 2017, the Avila Ranch Development Plan allowed up to 720 dwelling units, including 125 units within the R-4 portion of the plan area. The 150-acre plan area was to be developed in phases, with the design of each project component within the plan area to be reviewed by the Planning Commission. The R-1 and R-2 portions of the project, for example, have been previously reviewed by the Planning Commission, were found consistent with the parameters of the ARDP, and are currently under construction. Now the 4.05-acre R-4 (multifamily) portion of the ARDP is undergoing a similar review. In this case, the developer is seeking an allowable density bonus that would increase the development potential of that area from 125 to 145 units. These additional 20 dwelling units were not considered in the Final EIR for the Avila Ranch Development Plan in 2017, and are thus the subject of this Addendum.

CHANGED BASELINE CONDITIONS AND UPDATED PROJECT ELEMENTS

This Addendum considers the potential effects of increasing the overall buildout potential of the ARDP from 720 to 740 units, as a result of applying a density bonus to the R-4 portion of the plan area that would increase the development potential in that area from 125 to 145 units. The location of these 20 additional units would not change from the area where R-4 development had been previously anticipated in the FEIR, nor would any other project elements, including roadways, backbone infrastructure, or other public improvements identified in the ARDP. This increase in development potential would not alter the substance or timing of any existing project conditions or mitigation measures associated with the original project approval. This proposed

action would not alter any portion of the ARDP that was intended to be left in open space or agriculture under the original 2017 approval.

The proposed increase in development potential from 720 to 740 dwelling units represents a 2.8% overall increase in the total number of residences under the Avila Ranch Development Plan. However, this increased development would still be subject to the City's policies regarding the timing of growth, as well as the constraints of the housing market.

MINOR TECHNICAL CHANGES TO THE FINAL EIR

The proposed project revisions noted above would result in minor changes to the Final EIR and are therefore evaluated in this Addendum in the analysis that follows.

Environmental Issues for Consideration

The proposed change to the project does not anticipate any new development or ground disturbance in any area within the ARDP that was not already evaluated in the Final EIR. For that reason, impacts associated with issues related to ground disturbance will not change from what was described in the FEIR, including agricultural resources, biological resources, cultural resources, hazards, and hydrology/water quality. These issues will not be analyzed further in this Addendum.

Other impacts that are driven by an increase in the number or residents anticipated in the area are discussed in the paragraphs that follow. These include the issues of air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, noise, population and housing, public services, transportation and traffic, and utilities.

Analysis

For all issues, there were no new significant impacts, no increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact, nor any new or modified mitigation measures required. The following analysis of each issue discusses this in more detail, and provides support for this conclusion.

<u>Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions</u>. The FEIR identified the following significant impacts that could be affected by an increase in population or housing:

- AQ-1. Construction-related air quality impacts.
- AQ-2. Long-Term air quality impacts.
- AQ-4. Greenhouse Gas Emissions.
- AQ-5. Inconsistency with Clean Air Plan.

With respect to Impacts AQ-1 and AQ-2, both construction and operational air quality impacts were found to be significant and unavoidable. A series of programmatic mitigation measures were proposed to address impacts related to all future development in the area, either in terms

of construction practices or project design. The proposed project modification would increase buildout within the area by 20 dwelling units, a 2.8% increase in residential development potential within the ARDP. This minor increase would not change the severity of the identified impacts, nor any mitigation measures to address those impacts, which would still remain significant and unavoidable.

In the FEIR, impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions (Impact AQ-4) were found to be significant but mitigable. The minor increase in housing would not change this conclusion, nor would it change the programmatic mitigation measures related to reducing emissions, nor any of the measures related to requiring alternative forms of transportation, such as bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

With respect to Clean Air Plan consistency (Impact AQ-5), the FEIR determined that impacts are potentially significant and unavoidable, but mitigation measures related to air quality and transit were required. These conclusions would still be true with the minor increase in housing development within the ARDP.

Also refer to the discussion of transportation/traffic for additional information related to that issue, which tangentially relates to air quality impacts.

<u>Noise</u>. The FEIR identified the following significant impacts that could be affected by an increase in population or housing:

- NO-1. Construction noise impacts.
- NO-3. Noise generation from increased traffic.

Construction-related noise (Impact NO-1) was determined to be significant and unavoidable, although a series of programmatic mitigation measures were required to reduce the severity of possible impacts, which included limiting construction hours and the use of noise attenuation measures at construction sites. After all construction is completed, this impact would cease. The incremental increase in development would not increase the severity of this impact, nor would it alter any of the required mitigation measures.

Long-term noise impacts (Impact NO-3) are primarily a function of increased traffic, and were determined to be significant but mitigable to future project residents. No offsite impacts were identified. Mitigation measures related to individual project design were required to reduce impacts to project residents. The incremental increase in development would not alter these conclusions. Also refer to the discussion of transportation/traffic for additional information related to that issue, which tangentially relates to noise impacts.

<u>Population and Housing</u>. The FEIR did not identify any significant impacts related to this issue. Its conclusion that development will occur within the City's adopted growth rate (Impact PH-1) is still true for the proposed project modification, because development would still be subject to the City's policies related to growth, and subject to market constraints, as it is part of a long-term phased buildout of the ARDP. As a project that provides housing, other impacts related to

improving jobs-housing balance and the provision of affordable housing were found to be beneficial. Increased housing opportunities would tend to reinforce these conclusions.

<u>Public Services</u>. The FEIR did not identify any significant impacts related to this issue. Increased demand for police and fire services (Impacts PS-1 and PS-2) were found to be significant but mitigable with the payment of required fees to offset potential impacts, and in the case of impacts to law enforcement, the creation of a Security Plan for the ARDP. The small increase in development potential would still be subject to the same mitigation requirements. No increase in severity of impacts or new mitigation measures would be required.

Impacts related to schools and public parks (Impacts PS-3 and PS-4) were found to be less than significant, and with an incremental increase in population from these 20 additional units, impacts would remain less than significant.

<u>Transportation and Traffic</u>. The FEIR identified the following significant transportation impacts that could be affected by an increase in population or housing:

- TRANS-1. Short-term construction traffic.
- TRANS-2. Transportation deficiencies.
- TRANS-3. Turning movement conflicts and intersections and driveways.
- TRANS-4. Transportation volume threshold exceedances on certain roads.
- TRANS-5. Buckley/227 Operation.
- TRANS-6. South Street/Higuera impacts.
- TRANS-7. S. Higuera intersections impacts.
- TRANS-8. LOVR intersections.
- TRANS-10. Pedestrian level of service.
- TRANS-11. Bicycle facility demand.
- TRANS-12. Transit demand.
- TRANS-13. Near-term Buckley/227 Operation.
- TRANS-14. Near-term bike and pedestrian facility demand.
- TRANS-15. Cumulative impacts to intersections.

Some of these impacts were found to be significant and unavoidable, including those related to the operation of the intersection of Buckley Road/SR 227 (Impacts TRANS-5 and TRANS-13). All other impacts were all found to be significant but mitigable, either through the payment of appropriate fees toward future improvements, or by making some of those roadway improvements directly as requirements of project approval. These improvements are programmed into the approved Development Agreement for the project. The impact to operations on US 101 (Impact TRANS-9) was found to be less than significant.

The incremental increase in development would not change any of the conclusions or required mitigation measures described in the FEIR. Please refer to the attached October 2023 memorandum prepared by Central Coast Transportation Consulting and reviewed by City staff for more information about trip generation and related potential effects from these additional 20 units not addressed in the FEIR. That memorandum concludes that no new impacts would occur, nor would any new or modified mitigation measures be required.

<u>Utilities</u>. The FEIR did not identify any significant impacts related to this issue. Increased demand for utility infrastructure (Impact UT-2) was found to be significant but mitigable with a variety of mitigation measures to offset potential impacts, including city review and approval of infrastructure plans. The small increase in development potential would still be subject to the same mitigation requirements. No increase in severity of impacts or new mitigation measures would be required.

Impacts related wastewater collection (Impact UT-1) were found to be less than significant, and with an incremental increase in population from these 20 additional units, impacts would remain less than significant.

DETERMINATION

In accordance with Section 15164 of the State CEQA Guidelines, the City of San Luis Obispo has determined that this Addendum to the certified Final EIR is necessary to document changes or additions that have occurred since the Final EIR was originally certified. The changes proposed are relatively minor in nature and, as documented above, would not result in any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Additionally, no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous Final EIR was adopted has been identified.

The preparation of a subsequent environmental document is not necessary because:

- 1. None of the circumstances included in Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines have occurred which require a subsequent environmental document:
 - a. The project changes do not result in new or substantially more severe environmental impacts.
 - b. The circumstances under which the project is undertaken will not require major changes to the IS/MND.
 - c. The modified project does not require any substantive changes to previously approved mitigation measures.
- 2. The changes are consistent with City General Plan goals and polices that promote provision of additional housing within the City.

The City has reviewed and considered the information contained in this Addendum and finds that the preparation of subsequent CEQA analysis that would require public circulation is not necessary. This Addendum does not require circulation because it does not provide significant new information that changes the adopted Final EIR in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect. The City shall consider this

addendum with the certified Final EIR as part of the basis for potential approval of on- and of ite subdivision improvements for the Avila Ranch Project.	off-
attachment: Avila Ranch Transportation Analysis, October 19, 2023	

MEMORANDUM

Date:

October 19, 2023

To:

Carol Florence, Oasis Associates, Inc.

From:

Joe Fernandez and Summer Merrill, CCTC

Subject:

Avila Ranch Density Bonus Units -Transportation Analysis

This memorandum summarizes our transportation analysis for the revised project description under consideration for Avila Ranch Affordable Housing Apartments.

PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

The revised project description would add 20 new low-income housing units, resulting in a total of 60 affordable housing units, under the State's Density Bonus Law. Two land uses were considered for the trip generation estimate, Affordable Housing and Mid-Rise Multi-Family Housing. The latter option produced fewer trips and is therefore used in this analysis. The trip generation estimate is shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Trip Generation

				0 1 - 1 to	10 L	tlon	Trip Genera	Project	2. 10.10 公司 医外侧性 医
PM Out			In	Total	AM Out	In	Daily	Size	Land Use
3	3	3	5	7	5	2	91	20 units	Multi-Family Housing (Mid-Rise) ¹
						ites used.	se). Average ra	ily Housing (Mid-R	1. ITE Land Use Code #221, Multi-Fam Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th

The proposed units would generate 91 daily trips, seven AM peak hour trips, and eight PM peak hour trips.

CEQA ANALYSIS

This section presents analysis relevant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), notably analysis of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and impacts in the project's Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR).

Vehicle Miles Traveled

Transportation Impact Guidelines. They describe screening criteria for projects consistent with the General Plan presumed to have a less-than-significant impact based on project type, intensity, or location. Affordable housing can be pre-screened; generally, developments are assumed to improve the jobs-housing balance and consequently reduce commutes and vehicle use. The document states that if the project is in an area with low-VMT, per City guidelines, and shows evidence of low VMT-generating traits then it can be assumed to have a less than significant impact on VMT in the region. Small projects that generate fewer than 110 daily trips are assumed to have little to no impact. As seen in Table 1, this project produces 91 peak hour trips and is therefore would prescreened from further VMT analysis if the previously approved project is the CEQA baseline.

The Avila Ranch project's FEIR estimates VMT in Table 3.12-10. The addition of 20 affordable housing units would not result in new impacts to VMT, as affordable housing is generally presumed to be less impactful to VMT than market-rate housing per OPR guidance. Therefore, if the additional units had been included in the

previous project description the impacts to residential VMT per capita would have been lower than the approved project.

Mitigation Measures

The FEIR identified numerous CEQA mitigation measures associated with transportation impacts. The tables below summarize the project impacts, mitigation measures, and how the 20 affordable housing units would affect both.

Table 2: Project Mitigation Measures

IMPACTS	MITIGATION MEASURE SUMMARY	EFFECT OF 20 AFFORDABLE UNITS
TRANS-1	The Applicant shall prepare a Construction Transportation Management Plan for all phases of the proposed Project for review and approval by the City prior to issuance of grading or building permits to address and manage traffic during construction.	No effect.
TRANS-22	The Applicant shall create and submit a Transportation Improvement Phasing Plan to the City for review and approval, and shall ensure that construction of the Project follows the sequential phasing order utilized in the TIS for such improvements. The Plan shall address the timing and general design of all on and offsite transportation improvements.	No effect.
TRANS-32	Project roadway and driveway design shall be reviewed and approved by the City to ensure compliance with City engineering standards and not conflict with intersection functional areas (e.g., aligning driveways on opposite sides of the roadway, position driveways as far upstream from intersections as possible).	No effect.
TRANS-3b	The Applicant shall install traffic calming measures (e.g., speed bumps, pedestrian bulb- outs, etc.) to control speed levels along internal roadways of the Project site, including the extensions of Venture Drive, Horizon Lane, and Jespersen Road as required by Policy 8.1.3.	No effect.
TRANS-4	The Applicant shall prepare an improvement plan for Horizon Lane, Earthwood Lane, and Suburban Road, including roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements. Improvements shall be constructed by the Applicant in coordination with the phasing plan required by MM TRANS-2a, to ensure the Applicant constructs all offsite roadway improvements in a timely manner consistent with Project phasing.	No effect.
TRANS-5	The Applicant shall pay a pro-rata fair share fee to fund the installation of a roundabout at the Buckley Road/SR 227 intersection as identified in the SLOCOG SR 227 Operations Study. The City shall collect the fair share fee and coordinate payment of Project fair share fees to help fund improvements with the County. Alternatively, the City should consider an amendment of the AASP impact fee program to establish a new areawide fee to help fund improvements to the intersection to offset cumulative development impacts. If an amended AASP fee is created by the City it will suffice as appropriate mitigation for the Project's participation in these improvements.	Of total 8 PM peak hour trips, 5% will use the Buckley/227 corridor. This amounts to less than one peak hour trip using this intersection as a result of this project. The single added peak hour trip was conservatively assumed to go south on SR 227, traveling through the SR 227 intersections with Buckley Road, Crestmont Drive, and Los Ranchos Road. The combined County SR 227 road improvement fee cost for an additional peak hour trip through these three intersections is \$2,508.

IMPACTS	MITIGATION MEASURE SUMMARY	EFFECT OF 20 AFFORDABLE UNITS
TRANS-6	The Applicant shall design and construct the extension of the northbound right turn-lane at the South Street/Higuera Street intersection, to provide more storage capacity.	Completed, no effect.
TRANS-7a	The Applicant shall design and construct a second northbound left-turn lane at the intersection of South Higuera Street/Prado Road. The Applicant shall also pay a fair share fee for the widening of Prado Road Creek Bridge west of South Higuera Street by participating in the citywide transportation impact fee program.	Project payment of TIF constitutes fair share contribution. Of total 8 PM peak hour trips, 6% will use South Higuera Street/Prado Road. This amounts to less than one peak hour trip using this intersection as a result of this project.
TRANS-7b	The Applicant shall design and construct a second southbound left-tum lane at the Tank Farm Road/South Higuera Street intersection.	Completed, no effect.
TRANS-82	The Project is located within the Los Osos Valley Road interchange Sub Area fee program, and, as such, the Applicant shall pay the Los Osos Valley Road subarea fee, for the cost of reconstructing the Los Osos Valley Road/U.S. Highway 101 interchange project and improvements along Los Osos Valley Road. The fee shall be associated with the number of dwelling units and the square footage of commercial development in the Project site and shall be paid the time of building permit issuance.	Project payment of TIF constitutes fair share contribution.
TRANS-8b	In coordination with the Applicant, the City shall retime the traffic signal at Los Osos Valley Road/South Higuera Street intersection and installation of signage at the South Higuera Street/Buckley Road intersection (terminus of the Buckley Road Extension) to inform drivers of additional access to U.S. Highway 101 at Ontario Road. The City Public Works Department shall ensure the improvements and signage meet safety criteria.	Completed, no effect.
TRANS-10a	The Applicant shall design and construct ADA-compliant sidewalks and ADA ramps on the east side of South Higuera Street to provide continuous paths of travel from the City limit line to Los Osos Valley Road.	No effect.
TRANS-10b	The Applicant shall design and construct continuous sidewalks along the east side of South Higuera Street from Vachell Lane to Los Osos Valley Road including ADA ramps at the Vachell Lane and South Higuera Street intersection, as illustrated in Figure 3.12-6 in the FEIR.	No effect.
TRANS-10c	The Applicant shall design and construct continuous ADA-compliant sidewalks and ADA ramps along the south side of Suburban Road from South Higuera Street to Earthwood Lane. A receiving ramp shall be installed on the north side of Suburban Road at Earthwood Lane.	No effect.

IMPACTS	MITIGATION MEASURE SUMMARY	EFFECT OF 20 AFFORDABLE UNITS
TRANS-11	The Applicant shall construct two (2) separated bicycle bridges on each side of Buckley Road at Tank Farm Creek and provide connections to Buckley Road so as to provide continuous and safe bicycle routing along Buckley Road. These sections of roadway and creek crossings are under the jurisdiction of the County and would need to meet both City and County design standards to the greatest extent feasible and are subject to approval of the City's Public Works Director.	No effect.
TRANS-12	The Applicant shall coordinate with SLO Transit to ensure that adequate service would be provide to the two proposed bus stops and Project area. The bus stops shall be constructed by the Applicant within the respective phase's development area. To assure adequate service is provided to the two new bus stops onsite, the Applicant shall pay for and install any physical improvements to Earthwood Lane and Suburban Road needed to accommodate future service to the site. In addition, the proposed transit service onsite shall meet standards stated in Policy 3.1.6, Service Standards.	No effect.
TRANS-13	The City shall amend the Citywide TIF to include a fee program for the installation of a second southbound right-turn lane at the Los Osos Valley Road/South Higuera Street intersection, or create a separate mitigation fee for this purpose. The Applicant shall pay its fair share of the improvement costs through the payment of the Citywide TIF or the ad hoc mitigation fees, as appropriate, to the City prior to issuance of building permits.	No effect.
TRANS-14	If approved by City Council, the City shall amend the TIF, or some other fee program, to include a fee program for the installation of a Class I hicycle path from Buckley Road/South Higuera Street intersection to Los Osos Valley Road/U.S. Highway 101 southbound ramps intersection, connecting to the Bob Jones Trail. The Applicant shall pay its fair share fee to fund the improvement through the adopted fee program. Alternatively, the City may establish a special or ad hoc mitigation fee program to fund the Project's share of these improvements.	No effect
TRANS-152	The Applicant shall pay its fair share fee to the City to fund the widening of the Prado Road/South Higuera Street intersection to accommodate a dual left-turn lane, dual thru-lanes, and a right-turn lane on all approaches. Part of this share may be contained within existing fee programs or ultimately incorporated into the Citywide TIF program. If amended into the Citywide TIF an impact fee program, payment of those fees will address project impacts.	Project payment of TIF constitutes fair share contribution.
TRANS-15b	The Applicant shall pay its fair share mitigation fees to fund improvements to the intersection of Higuera/Tank Farm Road to provide: 1) the installation of a "pork chop" island to assist pedestrian crossings, and 2) widening on the south side of Tank Farm to provide a slip lane for right turning traffic. The City should consider incorporating this improvement into the AASP Fee program.	Project payment of TIF constitutes fair share contribution.
TRANS-15c	The City shall review the cross sections for improvements to Tank Farm Road/Horizon Lane intersection as proposed within AASP to ensure long-term geometrics meet the objectives of the General Plan. The Applicant shall pay fair share mitigation fees to fund the installation of an additional northbound right-turn lane or a roundabout at the Tank Farm Road/Horizon Lane intersection. The City should consider incorporating this improvement into the AASP fee program.	No effect.
TRANS-15d	The Applicant shall pay its fair share fees to fund the installation of a traffic signa or a single-lane roundabout at the Buckley Road/Vachell Lane intersection. While not required, this work may be implemented as part of the Buckley Road extension being installed as part of Phase 2 of the Project. The City should consider incorporating this improvement into the AASP fee program.	The previous fair share fee per the Development Agreement was \$107,250. The previously approved project generated 554 PM peak how trips, corresponding to \$193.59 per peak how trip. The 20 affordable units will generate eight PM peak how trips, corresponding to an additional fee of \$1,548.74. The Development Agreement also included a fair share mitigation fee of \$230,000 for future Buckley Road improvements (at Davenport). This corresponds to \$415.16 per PM peak hour trip, or \$3,321.30 for the eight additional trips generated by the 20 affordable housing units.

The 20 affordable housing units would not result in additional transportation impacts and would not require additional mitigation measures.

The transportation analysis in the FEIR was also reviewed to determine if the 20 proposed affordable units would trigger new impacts beyond those described above. The review found that due to the low trip generation of the additional units no new impacts to automobile, transit, bicycle, or pedestrian operations would result from the additional units.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

REFERENCES

City of San Luis Obispo. 2020. Multimodal Transportation Impact Guidelines, 2nd Edition.
2016. Avila Ranch Development Project Final EIR, Section 3.12 Transportation and Traffic.
Murray Duncan Architects, et al. 2023. Architectural Development Review, Avila Ranch Phase 3 Apartments.