Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2004062013

Project Title: Brooklyn Basin Marina Expansion Project

Lead Agency: City of Oakland

Contact Name: Catherine Payne

Email: cpayne@oaklandca.gov Phone Number: (510) 238-6168

Project Location: City of Oakland, Alameda County
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Zarsion-OHP 1, LLC. (Project Applicant), proposes the Brooklyn Basin Marina Expansion Project (Project Modifications) as a modification of the previously approved 64.2-acre project (Approved Project) analyzed under the 2009 Oak-to-Ninth Avenue EIR (2009 EIR). The Project Modifications include a residential density increase of 600 units (for a Project site total of up to 3,700 units), an update to the parking ratios to current zoning code requirements in other zoning districts, and an expansion of the approved marina infrastructure and operation including increasing the number of slips by 158, and incorporating provisions with the marina improvements to accommodate an existing water taxi/shuttle service currently operating on San Francisco Bay. Compared with the Approved Project, which includes 64.2 acres of land area and 7.95 acres of water surface for marina facilities and 167 boat slips, the marina expansion component would add 158 boat slips and approximately 10 acres of water surface to the Project site.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Land use change, General Plan and zoning consistency, community division
– Impact LU-1: The Project would develop a higher density of residential uses in buildings immediately adjacent to and surrounding Fifth Avenue Point but would not result in the physical division of an existing community. This impact would be reduced to a less-than-significant level with implementation of 2009 EIR Mitigation Measure A.1 requiring the Project Applicant to incorporate site plan design elements into the Project.
Conflict with adjacent land uses
– Impact LU-2: The Project would not fundamentally conflict with adjacent or nearby uses. This impact would be reduced to a less-than-significant level with implementation of 2009 EIR Mitigation Measures A.3a and A.3b requiring the Project Applicant to implement the specific regulations and standards of the proposed Planned Waterfront Zoning District along with all other mitigation measures identified throughout the SEIR.
Habitat Conservation Plan
– Impact LU-4: The Project would not fundamentally conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. This impact would be reduced to a less-than-significant level with implementation of 2009 Mitigation Measure I.2b requiring the Project Applicant to avoid or minimize adverse effects on jurisdictional waters to the extent practicable in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
? Land Use Cumulative
– Impact LU-5: The Project, in combination with other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects within

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Areas of potential controversy or interest addressed in the public comments and relevant to the CEQA analysis of the Project Modifications include: land use (consistency with the Estuary Policy Plan); hydrology (sea level rise); biological resources (wave frequency and adequate sediment supply; water turbulence effects on wildlife, wetlands, and other biological resources; effects on bird species, especially related to new sources of light; and cumulative impacts); aesthetics (shading on solar arrays and public open spaces; public views of the Estuary, the Bay and its shoreline including BCDC permit conditions); and utilities (water supply assessment).

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

City of Oakland
Port of Oakland