

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY CEQA REVIEW FORM

* OTHER COUNTIES *

insert name of local lead City/County here

Revisi	ion:	11	.19	.20)21

i. PROJECI	INFORIVI	ATION					
State Clearing	house #:	SCH# 1996121013					
Project Activi	ity Title:	OG California Re	sources (082022-2	09		
Permit App	licant:	California Resou	rces Elk H	Hills, LLC			
POC Inf	ō:	Adam Hipolito 562-999-8526 Adam.Hipolito@	crc.com				
Project Location:		Elk Hills Oil Field					
		Coul	nty: Ke	ern			
		District/Suba	<i>rea:</i> In	land			
		Additional in	nfo: Se	ee table l	below <i>(check</i>	on correct Baseline an	d Meridian for location)
Application #	API#	Well Name	Section	Townsh ip	Range/ Baseline	LAT, LONG	
376439	0403069537	64NEH-4G	04	315	24E/MD B&M	35.261988, -119.398749	OG California Resources 082022-209
		•					
New Drill Permit ☑ Geothermal □			ermal □				
Underground Injection Control			ection Control				

CalGEM Underground Gas Storage □ P&A Permit □ **Discretionary Approval Action:** WST Permit □ Wells PAL \square Project #: NO UIC # Wells: # Wells: CalGEM District: Inland CalGEM Program: OG _____ POC Name/Phone #: POC Name/Phone #:

Rework/Redrill Permit □

II. LEAD AGENCY ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

The approved project consists of drilling one (1) new wells in the Elk Hills oil field specifically within the Shallow Oil Zone (SOZ). All project activities are covered by the Elk Hills Oilfield Incidental Take Permit (ITP 2081-2014-019-04) and are subject to all pre-activity survey requirements, project monitoring, disturbance compensation and compliance reporting conditions within that permit. The project consists of a 1997 SEIS/PEIR which allows exploratory and development drilling in the Elk Hills Oil Field.

Pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement/Program Environmental Impact Report prepared and certified by the Lead Agency, Kern County and the U.S. Department of Energy, for the project *OG California Resources 082022-209* (SCH# 1996121013). The SEIS/PEIR for the project was approved on 12/15/1997 and became effective on 1/15/1997. An electronic copy of the final environmental document, including any Response To Comments, MMRP, Statement of Overriding Considerations, Findings, and all other related documents, may be accessed online at: N/A

Lead Agency:	Kern County and the U.S. Department of Energy			
State Clearinghouse #:	SCH# 1996121013			
Project Title: (CEQA doc relied upon)	OG California Resources 082022-209			
Document Type & Date:	☑ Certified EIR □ Adopted ND/MND □ Adopted ND/MND			
	Is the proposed Project Activity within the scope of the Lead Agency's CEQA document? If YES, identify the activity and page #s where it can be found within the document.			
Scope of Proposed Project Activity: Yes. Exploratory and development drilling is addressed on pages: # 440 of PDF (Page 45 for project location)				
	" No. A Supplemental or Subsequent environmental document may be required.			

III. IMPACT ANALYSIS

The Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (CalGEM), as the Responsible Agency under CEQA, conducts an environmental review limited to only those project activities which are within CalGEM's area of expertise, or, which are required to be carried out or approved by CalGEM, or, which will be subject to the exercise of powers by CalGEM (14 CCR 15096). In conducting the environmental review, CalGEM relies on the Lead Agency's environmental document and considers the environmental impacts (as determined by the Lead Agency) to the project areas over which CalGEM has jurisdiction. CalGEM also examines supplementary information during the review process, which would typically include, but not be limited to, the following documents (depending on various factors, such as type of project activity and location): permit application and approved permit(s) from the local Lead Agency; Field Check Report and other pertinent information from the applicable CalGEM District; project description and other information provided by the operator (such as Waste Management Plans, Water Management Plans, SPCC Plans, etc.); WST Permit Application, MOA Agency review comments, and ADSA results; UIC Permit Application, and AOR results; and project information from other agencies (e.g.; air or water monitoring results). Additionally, the CEQA Guidelines Environmental Checklist (Appendix G) was consulted during the environmental review to assist CalGEM in its determination as to whether there are any additional environmental impacts beyond those already determined by the Lead Agency in its certified EIR. The results of CalGEM's environmental review are as follows:

Project Description:	Was project description submitted by applicant adequate & complete?	☑ Yes	□ No
	Is project activity located in a densely developed oilfield?		
Environmental Setting:	Check approved local permit or lead agency's environmental document to verify. If NO , complete "Attachment A: Additional Impact Review" for other counties.		□ No
	Is area previously disturbed?		□ No
	Review of aerial imagery and topo map on WellSTAR map to:		
Мар:	(1) examine site characteristics;(2) identify any sensitive environmental features; and (3) determine if the project activity have the potential to impact nearby communities.	☑ Yes	□ No

WST Activity:	Results of the ADSA Review are found in the CEQA project file and inco	orporated herein.			
	Does the operator have a Groundwater Monitoring Plan (GWMP)?	<u>·</u>	☐ Yes	□ No	
Groundwater Protection:		Approved:In Review:	☐ Yes	□ No	
	Does the operator have a GWMP Exclusion?	In Review: Approved:	□ Yes	□ No	
		• In Review:	□ Yes	□ No	
Surface Water:	Is surface water present at or within 100 ft. of the proposed well?		☐ Yes	☑ No	
WST Base Fluid:	Is groundwater proposed for use in the WST base fluid?		☐ Yes	□ No	
WST Base Fluid Toxicity Results:	Did operator submit toxicity test results for WST base fluid?		□ Yes	□No	
Additional Impacts to the Environment:	Based on review of the project description, environmental setting, site proposed project activity, additional environmental impacts beyond the were determined, as follows:				
	☑ No additional impacts ☐ Additional	impacts (see below	v)		
IV. MITIGATION REVIEW					
the operator can be found in the o			□Yes		
or CCST Recommendations:	Are any SB4 EIR Mitigation Measures or CCST recommendations applica	able?			
Additional Mitigation Measures Required:	Does the project activity require additional Mitigation Measures to reduimpacts? If YES, list below	uce significant	□ Yes	☑ No	
	☑ No Additional Mitigation Measures				
MM Review Results:	Based on the results of this environmental review, CalGEM has determined that no additional significant impacts to the environment were associated with this project activity; therefore, no additional feasible mitigation measures are deemed necessary. Since no additional impacts were identified, CalGEM has determined that no additional mitigation measures are necessary, other than those already required by the Lead Agency, as identified in its CEQA document and approved local permit for this project activity.				
	Additional Mitigation Measures				
	Based on the results of this environmental review, CalGEM finds that add power are necessary to substantially lessen or avoid any potentially signif on the environment. These additional mitigation measures will be included Reporting Plan (MMRP) prepared by CalGEM, and/or included as a conditional mitigation measures.	icant impacts the pro led in the attached N	oject activity v	would have	
	" Permit Conditions Based on the results of this environmental review, CalGEM finds that add	itional permit condit	ions are nece	ssary for	

fulfill CCST recommendations or responsibilities outlined in PRC 3106).

reasons other than to lessen or avoid any potentially significant impact on the environment (e.g. information request to

	certified on 12/15/1997 prepared by Kern County and DOE in connection with 082022-209. The California Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources cons and the analysis therein, and issues this permit in its capacity as a Responsible the activities authorized by this permit.	idered the 19	997 SEIS/PEIR	
V. REVIEW CONCLUS	SIONS			
Consideration of the Lead Agency Environmental Document:	environmental review of the proposed project activity. Based on the results of this review, CalG Agency has reached its own conclusions, as follows:			
	☐ CalGEM accepts the Lead Agency findings, <u>only if</u> the Mitigation N Section IV of this document are incorporated as part of the permit			
	"Attached MMRP and/or "Permit Conditions of App			
	☐ CalGEM does not accept the Lead Agency findings.	,	,	
Additional Environmental Impacts:	Additional Environmental Impacts?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
Additional Mitigation Measures:	Additional Mitigation Measures required?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
Additional Findings of Fact:	Additional Findings of Fact required?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
Additional Statement of Override:	Additional Statement of Overriding Considerations required?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
-				
VI. REVIEW COMPL	ETED BY:			

Ensure the following legal CEQA language is in the Permit:

This permit is for an oil or gas activity within the scope of the analysis set forth in the 1997 SEIS/PEIR

☑ Included

8/26/22

Date:

Legal CEQA Language:

CEQA Project Reviewer:

Environmental Planner California Geologic Energy Management Div

Xinyi (Renee) Hu